

Item ID	Category	Entity	Project Name	Phase	Start Date	End Date	Status	Priority	Impact	Responsible Party	Notes		
Pre-Discovery 15	CaPA	S&I WMP-03	CaPa_S&I WMP-03	8	CaPa_S&I WMP-03_08				0	NA	7.2	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy
Pre-Discovery 16	CaPA	S&I WMP-03	CaPa_S&I WMP-03	9	CaPa_S&I WMP-03_09				0	NA	7.2	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy
Pre-Discovery 17	CaPA	S&I WMP-03	CaPa_S&I WMP-03	10	CaPa_S&I WMP-03_10				0	NA	7.2	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy
Pre-Discovery 47	Green Power Institute (GPI)	001	Green Power Institute (GPI)_001	1	Green Power Institute (GPI)_001_01				0	NA	All	All	All
Pre-Discovery 26	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	1	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_01				0	NA	2023-2025 WMP 6.2.3	Vegetation Management	EVM
Pre-Discovery 27	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	2	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_02				0	NA	2023-2025 WMP 6.2.3	Vegetation Management	EVM
Pre-Discovery 28	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	3	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_03				1	NA	2022 WMP 7.3.5.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Enhanced Vegetation Management
Pre-Discovery 29	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	4	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_04				0	NA	2022 WMP 7.3.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Program Costs
Pre-Discovery 30	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	5	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_05				0	NA	2023-2025 WMP 6.2.3	Vegetation Management	NA
Pre-Discovery 31	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	6	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_06				1	NA	Vegetation Management	NA	NA
Pre-Discovery 32	CaPA	S&I WMP-06	CaPa_S&I WMP-06	7	CaPa_S&I WMP-06_07				1	NA	2022 WMP Section 7.3.3.17	Grid Design and System Handing	System Handing

Pre-Discovery 33	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	8	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q8	<p>Provide your description that describes where and when you will perform system hardening on distribution circuits in 2023. For projects that you expect to partially complete in 2023 (i.e., projects that started before 2023 and are expected to continue in 2023), or projects that are expected to be completed after 2023, please include the project and report the work that you forecast will actually be performed in calendar year 2023.</p> <p>For each project, include the following information in separate columns, as a minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Order number MAT code Program Circuit ID number Circuit segment name or ID number (if the project affects more than one circuit segment, please identify each one) Relevant wildfire risk scenario(s) from the wildfire risk model that you are using to estimate distribution risk in your 2023-2025 WMP filing The expected completion date of the project Length (in circuit miles) of covered conductor to be installed in 2023 Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be installed in 2023 Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2023 and replaced by underground conductor (note that this may differ slightly from the previous section due to differing overhead and underground routes) Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2023 and not replaced with overhead conductor or underground Length (in circuit miles) of any other type of system hardening project to be installed in 2023 that is greater than zero. 	<p>Please see attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA_California_008-008A1610CNF.xlsx"</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> See columns A (order number), and B (order description) See column C See column D See column E See column F See columns G, I, and K <p>Column Q shows the Applicable Risk Model that was used for selecting the project and putting it into scope. Risk Rank scores, shown in Columns I and K, are based on the Wildfire Distribution Risk Model (WDRM) for Version 2 and Version 3, respectively. The Risk ranking outcomes are the results of the relevant risk model (e.g., WDRM V2, WDRM V3) where circuit segments are ranked on a 1 to N basis, where 1 is the highest risk circuit segment and N is the lowest risk.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> See column H See column J See column L See column M See column N See column O See column P See column R See column S See column T See column U See column V See column W See column X See column Y See column Z <p>The data includes project information from prior to 2022 and 2022 where projects overlap with these years. Data is provided in the same file for 2024 that is responsive to Question Q008.</p> <p>Additionally, because the question is associated with the System Hardening section only, this data does not include underground mileage associated with the Bulkhead.</p>	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	1	NA	2023 WMP Section 8.1.2.5	System Hardening	NA
Pre-Discovery 34	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	9	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q9	Provide your description that describes where and when you will perform system hardening on distribution circuits in 2024. For projects that you expect to partially complete in 2024 (i.e., projects that started before 2024 and are expected to continue in 2024), or projects that are expected to be completed after 2024, please include the project and report the work that you forecast will actually be performed in calendar year 2024. <p>For each project, include the following information in separate columns, as a minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Order number MAT code Program Circuit ID number Circuit segment name or ID number (if the project affects more than one circuit segment, please identify each one) Relevant wildfire risk scenario(s) from the wildfire risk model that you are using to estimate distribution risk in your 2023-2025 WMP filing The expected completion date of the project Length (in circuit miles) of covered conductor to be installed in 2024 Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be installed in 2024 Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2024 and replaced by underground conductor (note that this may differ slightly from the previous section due to differing overhead and underground routes) Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2024 and not replaced with overhead conductor or underground Length (in circuit miles) of any other type of system hardening project to be installed in 2024 that is greater than zero. 	See attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA_California_008-008A1610CNF.xlsx"	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	0	NA	2023 WMP Section 8.1.2.5	System Hardening	NA
Pre-Discovery 35	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	10	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q10	For each of your 2023-2025 WMP system hardening initiatives, please provide disaggregated information related to completed circuit miles tracked in the attached file, California PGE-2023WMP-06 Attachment 1. Add columns as needed.	Please see details on the cost and outage breakdown in attached file "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA_California_008-0019A1610CNF.xlsx"	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	1	NA	2023 WMP Section 4.3	Proposed Expenditures	System Hardening
Pre-Discovery 36	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	11	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q11	Provide a spreadsheet listing (see notes) each undergrounding project completed during the period of January 1, 2022, through December 31, 2022. For each project, provide the following information in separate columns: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Project ID number or other identifier ID of each circuit segment that was entirely undergrounded in the project ID of each circuit segment that was partially undergrounded in the project County or counties where undergrounding took place Project completion date Total life-cycle costs of the project, including costs attributable to non-electric facilities, including costs for planning, design, permitting, and construction Total life-cycle costs of the project, including costs attributable to non-electric utilities, including costs for planning, design, permitting, and construction Whether this was a Risk 20 project (yes/no) Whether this was a WMP project (yes/no) Whether this was a non-electric utility project (yes/no) Whether you shored trenches for this project with geotextile fabric (yes/no) 	See "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA_California_008-0011A1610CNF.xlsx"	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	1	NA	8.1.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
Pre-Discovery 37	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	12	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q12	Provide a spreadsheet file with a single file for each undergrounding project completed during the period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022. In addition to the spreadsheet completion, please provide the following information in separate columns: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Project ID number or other identifier, matching part of the previous question Project completion date 	See attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA_California_008-0012A1610CNF.xlsx"	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	1	NA	8.1.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
Pre-Discovery 38	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	13	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q13	Identify any ignitions in 2022 associated with assets where you had an existing corrective notification at the time of the ignition. Please provide a spreadsheet listing each such ignition (see notes) with the following information in separate columns: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Ignition ID Date of ignition Case of ignition Type of asset associated with the ignition Asset location Number of alternative burned, if any Asset ID of asset associated with ignition Circuit ID number of circuit associated with ignition Notification number(s) for the existing notification on the asset in question 	Please see the table below identifying 2022 CPUC-reportable ignitions where the asset involved in the ignition was associated with an existing open corrective notification modification at the time of the event:	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	0	NA	2022 WMP Section 7.3.4	Asset Management and Inspections	NA
Pre-Discovery 39	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	14	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q14	Has PGE's Asset Failure Analysis Team causally connected any ignitions that occurred in 2022 to assets with existing asset or equipment corrective notification at the time of ignition? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> If the answer is part (a) in yes, please provide the following information on each such ignition: Date of ignition Case of ignition Type of corrective notification that was issued to the ignition (i.e., the priority level and whether it related to asset management or equipment management) Copy of associated reports or investigations performed by the Asset Failure Analysis Team 	Please note the data reflected in the GIS geographical file will not match the data set from Q11 due to the process time lag between completion and being fully ingested to GIS.	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	0	NA	2022 WMP 7.3.7	Data Governance	Asset Failure Analysis
Pre-Discovery 40	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	15	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q15	Has PGE's response to Data Request CaliforniaPGE-2022WMP-17, Question 13, March 24, 2022, PGE's inspection strategy in 2022 was to complete detailed inspections on all assets in HFTD Tier 3 and Zone 1, and approximately one-third of assets in HFTD Tier 2? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please describe any changes to the above strategy for PGE's detailed distribution inspections in 2023. Please describe any changes to the above strategy for PGE's detailed distribution inspections in 2024. Please describe any changes to the above strategy for PGE's detailed distribution inspections in 2024. 	At Beginning in 2023, PGE's detailed inspection of distribution structures in high fire areas will be informed by wildfire consequence as provided PGE's Wildfire Distribution Risk Model V3. PGE will complete a detailed inspection of each structure every one to three years. For additional details on this strategy please refer to PGE's most recent response to our energy customer's last year's transmission-related inspection Q22. As mentioned by previous models of asset health and wildfire consequence HFTD Tier 3, Tier 2, and Zone 1 and HFTD structures have a baseline inspection frequency of once every three years. In addition to this baseline inspection frequency, PGE will conduct detailed inspections on all structures in high fire areas that are identified as high fire areas in the wildfire consequence model.	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	0	NA	2022 WMP 7.3.4 and 7.3.4.14	Asset Management and Inspections	NA
Pre-Discovery 41	CA/PA	Sat WMP-06	CaPA_Sat WMP-06	16	CaPA_Sat WMP-06_Q16	Regarding your PSPS circuit modeling capabilities: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please describe any improvements to the current PPS circuit modeling capabilities that you expect to implement in 2024. Please describe any improvements to the current PPS circuit modeling capabilities that you expect to implement in 2024. Please describe the expected state of your PPS circuit modeling capabilities at the conclusion of the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. 	At all of our substations, PGE's customers' circuit modeling is maintained at the level of generally at which utility you model the configuration of its electrical assets and design them in each.	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/29/2023	3/29/2023	0	NA	PSPS	NA	NA

Pre-Discovery 42	CaPA	Sai WMP-06	CaPA_Sai WMP-06	17	CaPA_Sai WMP-06_C17	<p>a) Have you developed Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) risk scores at the circuit segment level?</p> <p>b) Have you developed Enhanced Safety Settings (ESSS) risk scores at the circuit segment level?</p> <p>c) If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores, including the following attributes for each circuit segment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Circuit Identification Number 2. Circuit Name 3. Circuit Segmentation Identifier 4. Circuit segment-level PSPS Risk Score (if applicable) 5. Circuit segment-level ESSS Risk Score (if applicable) <p>d) If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores, including the following attributes for each circuit segment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Circuit Identification Number 2. Circuit Name 3. Circuit Segmentation Identifier 4. Circuit segment-level PSPS Risk Score (if applicable) 5. Circuit segment-level ESSS Risk Score (if applicable) 	<p>a) Yes. This is cited in Section 6.2.1, Figure 6.2-1-3. b) No.</p> <p>c) Please see "WMP-Discovery22_OIR_CaPA/Enclosures_006-007/AM01/CONV_26" which is a geospatial file containing the circuit segments along with PSPS risk values and ESSS risk values. CaPA is in different circuit segments categories represented by the color of the circuit segments are not defined. If yes, please see "WMP-Discovery22_OIR_CaPA/Enclosures_006-007/AM01/CONV_26" which provides the circuit segment PSPS risk values.</p> <p>d) Please see "WMP-Discovery22_OIR_CaPA/Enclosures_006-007/AM01/CONV_26" which provides the circuit segment ESSS risk values.</p>	Holly Whitman	2/10/2023	3/20/2023	3/20/2023	2	NA	PSPS/ESSS	NA	NA
						<p>e) Please confirm that the "used date" is (a) the operational system of record for the circuit segment at the time of the PSPS or ESSS risk scores, or (b) if the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores, including the following attributes for each circuit segment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Circuit Identification Number 2. Circuit Name 3. Circuit Segmentation Identifier 4. Circuit segment-level PSPS Risk Score (if applicable) 5. Circuit segment-level ESSS Risk Score (if applicable) <p>f) If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores for operational systems of record other than those included in the WMP-06_C17 spreadsheet.</p> <p>g) If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores for operational systems of record other than those included in the WMP-06_C17 spreadsheet.</p>	<p>a) All distribution asset data utilized in the Wildfire Distribution Risk Model (WDRM) v.3 was extracted from PG&E's EGIS system on January 1, 2022, with the exception of the transformer data which was extracted from EGIS on February 2, 2022.</p> <p>b) The answer to part (a) is yes. The answer to part (b) is no.</p> <p>c) Not applicable. Please see responses to 2c.</p>	Joshua Borwick	3/27/2023	3/30/2023	3/30/2023	0	NA	6.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk Analysis Framework
1	CaPA	Sai WMP-07	CaPA_Sai WMP-07	1	CaPA_Sai WMP-07_C1	<p>a) Please confirm the data that the WDRM v.3 was finalized. If not finalized, please provide the date that the WDRM v.3 was finalized. If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores, including the following attributes for each circuit segment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Circuit Identification Number 2. Circuit Name 3. Circuit Segmentation Identifier 4. Circuit segment-level PSPS Risk Score (if applicable) 5. Circuit segment-level ESSS Risk Score (if applicable) 	<p>a) The Wildfire Distribution Risk Model (WDRM) v.3 was finalized by approval of the Wildfire Risk Governance Steering Committee (WRGSC) on April 13, 2022.</p> <p>b) The answer to part (a) is yes. The answer to part (b) is no.</p> <p>c) Not applicable. Please see responses to 2c.</p>	Joshua Borwick	3/27/2023	3/30/2023	3/30/2023	0	NA	6.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk Analysis Framework
						<p>d) Please confirm the date that the WDRM v.3 was finalized. If not finalized, please provide the date that the WDRM v.3 was finalized. If the answer to either part (a) or (b) is yes, please provide a spreadsheet that lists (or lists each circuit segment) that you have developed PSPS or ESSS risk scores, including the following attributes for each circuit segment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Circuit Identification Number 2. Circuit Name 3. Circuit Segmentation Identifier 4. Circuit segment-level PSPS Risk Score (if applicable) 5. Circuit segment-level ESSS Risk Score (if applicable) 	<p>a) The Wildfire Distribution Risk Model (WDRM) v.3 was finalized. Model review and approval is scheduled for Q2 2023.</p> <p>b) The answer to part (a) is yes. The answer to part (b) is no.</p> <p>c) Not applicable. Please see responses to 2c.</p>	Joshua Borwick	3/27/2023	3/30/2023	3/30/2023	0	NA	6.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk Analysis Framework
88	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	002	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_002_01	1	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_002_01	<p>Provide Attachment 2023-03-02_P02_WMP_09_Appendix A to PG&E-2016_AAM01_CONF_P02E1-2020-2020 (Underwriting Work)</p>	<p>The CONFIDENTIAL attachment is being provided pursuant to the confidentially declaration "DRU11407.003_ConfidentialityDeclaration.pdf". An appendix, please see attachment "2023-03-02_P02_WMP_09_Appendix A to PG&E-2016_AAM01_CONF_P02E1-2020-2020".</p>	Kieran Miller	4/4/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	Approv O	ACI PG&E-20-18 - Progress and Timeline on Safety and Risk Prioritization		
13	CaPA	Sai WMP-08	CaPA_Sai WMP-08	1	CaPA_Sai WMP-08_C1	<p>a) Please describe how PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of the EVM program. Please provide a summary of the EVM program, including the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	<p>a) PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The EVM program is designed to ensure that EVM projects are completed on time and within budget. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.6	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Discouraged
						<p>b) Please describe how PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of the EVM program. Please provide a summary of the EVM program, including the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	<p>a) For the program the use of "Transitions" represents the program transition from EVM to our new Tree Inventory Program, which will focus on working down the risk associated with the remaining 350K trees. These units were identified under EVM guidelines and will be covered a portion of time based on resolution of constraints or other factors that impeded completion of work.</p> <p>b) Yes. We do not under the Tree Removal Inventory program, which is focused on removing risk from previously listed trees with removal preparation as part of the EVM program. The new program, Vegetation for Operational Mitigation (VOM) and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) will identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program. Additionally, if any priority trees are discovered while completing the FTI scope of work, they would be listed for work consistent with all other VOM programs.</p> <p>c) For VOM, PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Tree Removal Inventory
14	CaPA	Sai WMP-08	CaPA_Sai WMP-08	2	CaPA_Sai WMP-08_C2	<p>a) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>b) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Tree Removal Inventory
						<p>d) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>e) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>f) If the answer to part (e) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) For the program the use of "Transitions" represents the program transition from EVM to our new Tree Inventory Program, which will focus on working down the risk associated with the remaining 350K trees. These units were identified under EVM guidelines and will be covered a portion of time based on resolution of constraints or other factors that impeded completion of work.</p> <p>b) Yes. We do not under the Tree Removal Inventory program, which is focused on removing risk from previously listed trees with removal preparation as part of the EVM program. The new program, Vegetation for Operational Mitigation (VOM) and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) will identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program. Additionally, if any priority trees are discovered while completing the FTI scope of work, they would be listed for work consistent with all other VOM programs.</p> <p>c) For VOM, PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Tree Removal Inventory
15	CaPA	Sai WMP-08	CaPA_Sai WMP-08	3	CaPA_Sai WMP-08_C3	<p>a) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>b) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.3	Vegetation Management and Inspections	VIM for Operational Mitigation
						<p>d) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>e) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>f) If the answer to part (e) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) For the program the use of "Transitions" represents the program transition from EVM to our new Tree Inventory Program, which will focus on working down the risk associated with the remaining 350K trees. These units were identified under EVM guidelines and will be covered a portion of time based on resolution of constraints or other factors that impeded completion of work.</p> <p>b) Yes. We do not under the Tree Removal Inventory program, which is focused on removing risk from previously listed trees with removal preparation as part of the EVM program. The new program, Vegetation for Operational Mitigation (VOM) and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) will identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program. Additionally, if any priority trees are discovered while completing the FTI scope of work, they would be listed for work consistent with all other VOM programs.</p> <p>c) For VOM, PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
16	CaPA	Sai WMP-08	CaPA_Sai WMP-08	4	CaPA_Sai WMP-08_C4	<p>a) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>b) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
						<p>d) Please explain what is meant by the term "transitions" in the first sentence.</p> <p>e) Does PG&E intend to identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program?</p> <p>f) If the answer to part (e) is yes, please provide PG&E's methodology and strategy for doing so.</p>	<p>a) For the program the use of "Transitions" represents the program transition from EVM to our new Tree Inventory Program, which will focus on working down the risk associated with the remaining 350K trees. These units were identified under EVM guidelines and will be covered a portion of time based on resolution of constraints or other factors that impeded completion of work.</p> <p>b) Yes. We do not under the Tree Removal Inventory program, which is focused on removing risk from previously listed trees with removal preparation as part of the EVM program. The new program, Vegetation for Operational Mitigation (VOM) and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) will identify new trees for the sort of work identified in the EVM program. Additionally, if any priority trees are discovered while completing the FTI scope of work, they would be listed for work consistent with all other VOM programs.</p> <p>c) For VOM, PG&E is addressing the risk associated with the completion of EVM through the EVM program. The program includes the following components:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. EVM program description 2. EVM program objectives 3. EVM program scope 4. EVM program timeline 5. EVM program results 	Holly Whitman	3/30/2023	4/5/2023	4/5/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Falm Migration

ID	Agency	Report Title	Page	URL	Author	Start Date	End Date	Review Date	Score	Notes	Comments	Category
32	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	1	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_01	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	1	Executive Summary & Overview
33	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	2	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_02	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	5.3-4.2	Overview of the Service Territory
34	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	3	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_03	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	1	NA	8.3-4.2	Structural Assessments and Inspections
35	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	4	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_04	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	3	NA	6.2-2.3	Risk Methodology and Assessment
36	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	5	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_05	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	6.2-2.1	Risk Methodology and Assessment
37	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	6	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_06	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	6.4-1.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment
38	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	7	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_07	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	4.4	Overview of WMP
40	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	9	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_09	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	8.2-2.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections
41	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	10	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_10	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	2	NA	6.1-2.2	Grid Design and System Planning
42	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	11	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_11	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	Appendix D	Area for Contingency Improvement
43	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	12	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_12	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	0	NA	8.1-2.2	Grid Design and System Planning
44	CaPA	Sat WMP-09	13	CaPA_Sat_WMP-09_13	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/7/2023	4/7/2023	1	NA	6.1-2.2	Grid Design and System Planning

100	TURN	003	TURN_003	1	TURN_003_01	<p>Please provide data in PG&E's possession that includes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) for the years 2016-2022 for underground distribution facilities. The MAIFI (Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index) for the years 2016-2022 for underground distribution facilities. The SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) for the years 2016-2022 for overhead distribution facilities with covered conductor. The MAIFI (Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index) for the years 2016-2022 for overhead distribution facilities with covered conductor. The SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) for the years 2018-2022 for overhead distribution facilities without covered conductor. The MAIFI (Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index) for the years 2018-2022 for overhead distribution facilities without covered conductor. 	<p>Please see the attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-001-041-01" for the requested information. Please note that PG&E does not capture distribution conductor status in our current outage reporting, so SAID/MAIFI data for covered conductor equipment cannot be provided at this time.</p>	Tom Long	4/5/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	1	NA	NA	NA	NA
101	TURN	003	TURN_003	2	TURN_003_02	<p>Please provide all reports or studies in PG&E's possession prepared from January 1, 2018 to the present that discuss the reliability of underground distribution facilities, overhead distribution facilities with covered conductor, or overhead distribution facilities without covered conductor, including but not limited to a discussion of SAIDI and MAIFI data.</p>	<p>PG&E publishes an annual reliability report which provides a detailed report on the system-wide reliability performance. Please see the following attachments for the "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-01.pdf", "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-02.pdf", "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-03.pdf", "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-04.pdf", "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-05.pdf", and "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-002-041-06.pdf".</p> <p>This study will assess the recorded reliability improvements at locations that have been underground and/or have been hardened with covered conductor. It is important to note that the focus of our overhead system hardening and underground conversion to data has been primarily on state-wide evaluation.</p>	Tom Long	4/5/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	5	NA	NA	NA	NA
102	TURN	003	TURN_003	3	TURN_003_03	<p>Regulating Table 7-3.2, p. 296, the bottom row as follows:</p> <p>1) Please confirm that the target for reduced customer impacts in 2023, 2024 and 2025 are cumulative, i.e. that the 23,000 figure for 2024 includes the 15,000 reduced impacts for 2023, and so on.</p> <p>2) Please confirm that the target for reduced customer impacts for the estimates of reduced PDP impacts in 2023 (15,000 customer events), 2024 (33,000 customer events), and 2025 (35,000 customer events) provide the data in line Excel format provided.</p> <p>3) The table states that the targeted reductions are "based on WSPRE mitigation projects including but not limited to 380 substations and underground cables." For each of 2023, 2024, and 2025, please provide a breakdown of the reduced customer events by the mitigation measure to which PG&E attributes the reduced customer events, including but not limited to covered conductor installation. Explain how PG&E determined this breakdown.</p> <p>4) Provide equivalent data regarding reduced PDP impacts for the years 2019 through 2022 and provide the supporting data for those figures in line Excel format if possible. In addition, for each of these years, please provide a breakdown of the reduced customer events by the mitigation measure to which PG&E attributes the reduced customer events, including but not limited to covered conductor installation. Explain how PG&E determined this breakdown.</p>	<p>a) We can confirm the targets for reduced customer impacts are cumulative for individual PDP in Table 7-3.2. Please see Table P&E-23-35 (2023 WMP p. 27) for the details of reduced customer impacts for each response year.</p> <p>b) Please see attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-003-041-01" for supporting data for the estimates of reduced PDP impacts in 2023-2025 for the five-year period, 2019-2022.</p> <p>c) For breakdown of reduced customer events by mitigation measure, please see Table P&E-23-35 of our 2023 WMP or attachment "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_TURN_003-003-041-01" in this attachment. Column "Covered Conductor Mitigation" provides the number of annual customer events and column "Cumulative Customer Mitigation" provides the cumulative figure for customer mitigation. For an explanation of how this calculation was performed, please see the response to ACQ P&E-23-49 in our 2023 WMP. Covered conductor installation is not the only mitigation measure available to reduce customer events. For Covered Conductor Effectiveness, please see the response to ACQ P&E-23-11.</p> <p>d) The PDP report reductions for the five-year lookback period of 2019-2022. Completion of undergrounding and Neutral Switch Operator (NSO) installation in each year from 2023-2025 will reduce the customer impact in the five-year look back period.</p>	Tom Long	4/5/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	1	NA	6.1.5	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Performance Metrics Identified by the Electrical Corporation
48	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	1	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_01	<p>Table 3-3 on p. 332 of PG&E's WMP states that PG&E will make capacity for Down Conductor Detection (DCC) 1500 devices in 2024 and 2025.</p> <p>4) How many devices in 2024 and 2025?</p> <p>5) Please explain the reasoning for the decreasing number of devices made available for DCC from 2023-2025. Do approximately how many circuit miles in the FTU will be protected by DCC as of the end of 2023?</p>	<p>At DCC is capable of seeing from the device to "end of line", therefore we are able to provide DCC protection on most eligible High Fire Risk Area lines miles by the end of 2023, then supplementing that coverage in 2024 and 2025, including in the EPSS Buffer Area. The number of devices decrease in 2024 and 2025 due to the limited number of devices available in the EPSS Buffer area. We anticipate approximately 21,000 circuit miles in HFRAs will be protected by DCC as of the end of 2023.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.2	Grid Design, Operations, and Maintenance	Targets
49	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	2	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_02	<p>Table 6.5 on p. 332 of PG&E's WMP shows a forecast reduction in the number of EPSS events due to one covered service line from 2023 to 2025.</p> <p>4) What factors does PG&E expect to contribute to the reduction in the number of EPSS events forecasted to 2025?</p> <p>5) WSPRE mitigation projects including but not limited to 380 substations and underground cables. For each of 2023, 2024, and 2025, please provide a breakdown of the reduced customer events by the mitigation measure to which PG&E attributes the reduced customer events, including but not limited to covered conductor installation. Explain how PG&E determined this breakdown.</p> <p>6) Provide equivalent data regarding reduced PDP impacts for the years 2019 through 2022 and provide the supporting data for those figures in line Excel format if possible. In addition, for each of these years, please provide a breakdown of the reduced customer events by the mitigation measure to which PG&E attributes the reduced customer events, including but not limited to covered conductor installation. Explain how PG&E determined this breakdown.</p>	<p>At 2023, factors contributing to the reduction in the number of EPSS related outages are based on actions to install additional Line Restorers (LR) and Power Swaps on the highest priority circuits. These will be installed in locations that are within the HFRAs in a prioritized equities plan for HFRAs. The planned works will provide reliability benefits on low loss lines within the scope of the EPSS program. PG&E will also undertake reliability mitigation intended to reduce outage frequency on the most protection zones (CPDs) that experienced the greatest number of outages since EPSS was enabled in 2022. This will include vegetation management such as increased mowing and vegetation management on CPDs that experienced elevated outages in 2022. Reactive vegetation management work will also be conducted in areas we identified based on elevated vegetation related outages. Annual mitigation work will also be performed on CPDs that experienced another or other annual outages in 2022.</p> <p>7) WSPRE mitigation projects including but not limited to 380 substations and underground cables. For each of 2023, 2024, and 2025, please provide a breakdown of the reduced customer events by the mitigation measure to which PG&E attributes the reduced customer events, including but not limited to covered conductor installation. Explain how PG&E determined this breakdown.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.3	Grid Design, Operations, and Maintenance	Performance Metrics Identified by the Electrical Corporation
50	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	3	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_03	<p>4) Does PG&E forecast a change in the average duration of EPSS events during the 2023-2025 period?</p> <p>5) If the answer is part (a), please provide the expected average duration of EPSS events to 2023, 2024, and 2025.</p> <p>6) If the answer is part (a), explain why not.</p> <p>7) Please provide any available workpapers that support PG&E's forecasts regarding the duration of EPSS events in 2023-2025.</p>	<p>At Not at this time.</p> <p>b) We require more operating experience before being able to accurately forecast reduction in average duration for EPSS outages. We have lowered the target of four minutes in 2023.</p> <p>c) PG&E does not have any applicable workpapers available.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.3	Grid Design, Operations, and Maintenance	Performance Metrics Identified by the Electrical Corporation
51	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	4	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_04	<p>P. 364 of PG&E's WMP states, with respect to DTS-FAST:</p> <p>A prototype fast test installation was completed on a 15kv tower to Martinez and a wood pole in Santa Cruz in 2021. The reliable lessons learned have been updated to iterative designs, increase reliability, and reduce costs. In 2022, we filed a non-monetary patent application for DTS-FAST. For 2023, we have a fast test installation plan but will be working through the patent application process.</p> <p>4) Please provide data on the results of the fast test installation in Martinez.</p> <p>5) When does PG&E expect to begin additional DTS-FAST installations?</p> <p>6) Through the end of 2022, how much has PG&E spent on DTS-FAST?</p> <p>7) What portion of your response to part (c) is related to the patent application and examination process?</p> <p>8) What are your forecast costs for DTS-FAST through the 2023-2025 period?</p> <p>9) What portion of your response to part (c) is related to the patent application and examination process?</p>	<p>a) DTS-FAST is an integrated system of sensors and microprocessors that are established and installed on the market, working together to mitigate wildfire risk. Testing focused on validating sensor functionality in wildfire and utility user scenarios, encompassing functional testing, environmental testing, and long-term resilience testing. Long-term resilience testing is ongoing.</p> <p>b) Key findings from the Martinez installation and testing include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Reliability testing verified the consistency and reliability of sensor measurements by repeating measurements multiple times and checking the results for consistency. This test confirmed that the testing device provides consistent results. 2) Reliability testing evaluated the sensor's ability to detect and respond to small changes or variations in time. This is achieved by varying the input parameters and testing of the sensor's analog change accuracy. 3) Reliability testing evaluated the sensor's operating range by evaluating its performance across its specified range of operation. This involves testing the sensor at its input and output ranges, as well as at different points within its operating range. 4) Reliability testing evaluated the sensor's stability over time by monitoring its output for a prolonged period under normal operating conditions. This can help identify any drift or instability in sensor readings. 5) Reliability testing evaluated the sensor's performance under different conditions that may affect its operation, such as temperature, humidity, vibration, and electromagnetic interference. This can help ensure that the sensor is robust and reliable in real-world operating conditions. 6) Failure testing evaluated the sensor's response to failure conditions, such as sensor malfunction, signal loss, or power failure, and verify if the sensor's behavior is appropriate and safe during such scenarios. <p>c) The key takeaway is to test multiple trends of similar devices to verify vendor specifications on operating range and performance. During our testing, approximately 20% tests successfully. Keep a small stock of these devices more extensively developed to be installed on 15KV electric towers. We first must build due to long exposure to high saturated EMF (Electric Magnetic Field) disturbance, or environmental conditions (i.e., temperature, humidity, dust, etc. fog, vibration). Based on the extensive testing conducted (both field installation and lab environment) and after installation at Martinez, and the lessons learned from these results, it has been determined that relying solely on manufacturer specifications may not be sufficient. It is recommended to conduct reliability testing of the equipment based on the specific installation range, but our lower installation site cases, the data shows 800 feet is the maximum functional operating distance before we get false alarms. Due to the safety requirements of the equipment, it is not clear if our false alarm and/or our one case. It is important to conduct thorough testing to ascertain the functionality of the equipment under various conditions.</p> <p>d) Telecommunications - the Microwave network performed successfully, but was complex to install, configure, and operate. The wireless Field Area Network (FAN) did not perform as well as the Microwave network and is not being used. The system learned to be a back-up emergency technology that did not need constant high bandwidth telecommunications, and only transmit critical data, such as alarms.</p> <p>e) Project - we installed a power transfer system to the tower control box and device. The power is reliable, but the installation required some structural modifications to be able to support the 800lb transformer, and additional electrical grounding upgrades. The lessons learned to be to use power device that is closer to the tower from the tower to reduce the weight of the tower.</p> <p>f) The DTS-FAST test area conditions have been established and installed on the market, working together to mitigate wildfire risk. Testing focused on validating sensor functionality in wildfire and utility user scenarios, encompassing functional testing, environmental testing, and long-term resilience testing. Long-term resilience testing is ongoing.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Emerging Grid Hardening Technology and Plans
52	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	5	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_05	<p>P. 367 of PG&E's WMP states, "In addition, DTS-FAST could have a significant impact on wildfire risk where installed."</p> <p>4) Please quantify the phrase "a significant impact on wildfire risk" in the above quote.</p> <p>5) Please provide any workpapers or studies to support your answer to part (a).</p>	<p>a) The DTS-FAST test area conditions have been established and installed on the market, working together to mitigate wildfire risk. Testing focused on validating sensor functionality in wildfire and utility user scenarios, encompassing functional testing, environmental testing, and long-term resilience testing. Long-term resilience testing is ongoing.</p> <p>b) The deployed sensor system is designed to actively monitor the environment for potential wildfire risks. For instance, the sensors are capable of detecting vegetation that has fallen onto the power line or is being swept by the wind. When such an event is detected, the sensor will trigger an alarm at the location allowing for operational decisions to be made such as re-energizing the line before a potential fire hazard arises. The key differentiator of the system is that it is deployed outside of the traditional, highly fire-prone areas, and could detect hot spots and electrical hot spots that occurred.</p> <p>c) Please provide any workpapers or studies to support your answer to part (a). We do not have any workpapers or studies to provide. The sensor's detection speed is a direct relationship to the sensor's accuracy and the actual delivery of the alarm message to operations is dependent on the fastest telecommunications service after the sensor. In our trials, we detected false vegetation alerting emergency conductors within one second. Our field testing with good telecommunications service target 1-2 minutes.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.2.1	Grid Design and System Planning	Emerging Grid Hardening Technology and Plans
53	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	6	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_06	<p>P. 404 of PG&E's WMP states, "By the end of 2022, we reduced the Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) and Customer Experience (CX) for customers served by EPSS-enabled lines when compared to data from the 2021 program year."</p> <p>4) Please provide the CAIDI value for all FTU customers for each year from 2018-2022.</p> <p>5) Please provide the CX score for all FTU customers for each year from 2018-2022.</p>	<p>Please see "WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CaPA/Customer_010-000A01-01.xlsx".</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	1	NA	6.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Equipment Settings to Reduce Wildfire Risk
54	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	7	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_07	<p>P. 404 of PG&E's WMP states, "By the end of 2022, we responded to 90 percent of outages on EPSS-enabled lines within 60 minutes, responding on average within 42 minutes."</p> <p>The statement above refers to results achieved "by the end of 2022." What does this data draw from? In other words, the 42-minute figure is an average of response times in what period of time?</p>	<p>The 42-minute figure is an average of the response time to all outages on EPSS-protected circuits in 2022 since EPSS Outage Response time tracking began. The timeframe covered is May 23, 2022 - December 31, 2022.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Equipment Settings to Reduce Wildfire Risk
55	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	8	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_08	<p>P. 404 of PG&E's WMP states, "By the end of 2022, we responded to 90 percent of outages on EPSS-enabled lines within 60 minutes, responding on average within 42 minutes."</p> <p>4) Provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Average response time 2) 25th percentile response time 3) Median (50th percentile) response time 4) 75th percentile response time 5) Longest response time 	<p>2022 EPSS OUTAGE RESPONSE AVERAGE RESPONSE TIME 25TH PERCENTILE RESPONSE TIME MEDIAN (50TH PERCENTILE) RESPONSE TIME 75TH PERCENTILE RESPONSE TIME LONGEST RESPONSE TIME</p> <p>Minutes 47 Minutes 38 Minutes 32 Minutes 52 Minutes 408</p> <p>Note: Table values reflect available data since EPSS Outage Response time tracking began. The timeframe for tracking in 2022 was May 23, 2022 - December 31, 2022.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Equipment Settings to Reduce Wildfire Risk
56	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	9	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_09	<p>P. 404 of PG&E's WMP states, "By the end of 2022, we responded to 90 percent of outages on EPSS-enabled lines within 60 minutes, responding on average within 42 minutes." For the 11 percent of outages listed in the quote on EPSS-enabled lines that PG&E did not respond to within 60 minutes, provide the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Average response time 2) Longest response time 	<p>2022 EPSS OUTAGE RESPONSE LONGEST RESPONSE TIME FOR RESPONSES > 60 MINUTES 75TH PERCENTILE RESPONSE TIME</p> <p>Minutes 47 Minutes 408</p> <p>Note: Table values reflect available data since EPSS Outage Response time tracking began. The timeframe for tracking in 2022 was May 23, 2022 - December 31, 2022.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Equipment Settings to Reduce Wildfire Risk
57	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_10	<p>P. 441 of PG&E's WMP states, "We plan to implement a CA (Quality Assurance) program for systems inspections."</p> <p>4) Please discuss the program PG&E has made so far in implementing a CA program for systems inspections.</p> <p>5) When does PG&E expect to implement a CA program for systems inspections?</p> <p>6) Please describe the main features of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement.</p> <p>7) Please describe the main features of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement.</p> <p>8) What are the potential limitations of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement?</p>	<p>a) The quality team is currently undergoing a thorough review of the prior QV procedures as an initial step in the development of updated procedures.</p> <p>b) Expected completion of the work by the end of the calendar year 2023.</p> <p>c) The primary updates improve upon PG&E's existing QV procedures by accelerating the QV role in the holistic system inspection throughout.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	Quality Assurance
58	CaPA	Sat WMP-10	CaPA_Sat WMP-10	11	CaPA_Sat WMP-10_11	<p>P. 441 of PG&E's WMP states, "We plan to update existing QV (quality verification) procedures for systems inspections."</p> <p>4) Please discuss the program PG&E has made so far in updating QV procedures for systems inspections.</p> <p>5) When does PG&E expect to update existing QV procedures for systems inspections?</p> <p>6) Please describe the main features of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement.</p> <p>7) Please describe the main features of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement.</p> <p>8) What are the potential limitations of the CA program that PG&E plans to implement?</p>	<p>a) The quality team is currently undergoing a thorough review of the prior QV procedures as an initial step in the development of updated procedures.</p> <p>b) Expected completion of the work by the end of the calendar year 2023.</p> <p>c) The primary updates improve upon PG&E's existing QV procedures by accelerating the QV role in the holistic system inspection throughout.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/4/2023	4/10/2023	4/10/2023	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	Quality Assurance

128	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	5	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_05	<p>Temporary Distribution Monrogs available to operate in 2020</p> <p>Number of 2020 PSPS events supported</p> <p>Approx. qty of service po's energized per 2020 PSPS event</p> <p>Shinglerway 19</p> <p>Calagita 1156</p> <p>Powerline Temporary configuration without a pre-installed interconnection hub</p> <p>2021</p> <p>Chavasta North (temporary configuration without a pre-installed interconnection hub)</p> <p>Chavasta South (temporary configuration without a pre-installed interconnection hub)</p> <p>2021</p> <p>Temporary Distribution Monrogs available to operate in 2021</p> <p>Number of 2021 PSPS events supported</p> <p>Approx. qty of service po's energized per 2021 PSPS event</p> <p>Shinglerway 19</p> <p>Calagita 1156</p> <p>Powerline 1.01</p> <p>Georgetown via Power Lines 19</p> <p>Fernside 0 n/a</p> <p>McIntosh 0 n/a</p> <p>2022</p> <p>Temporary Distribution Monrogs available to operate in 2022</p> <p>Number of 2022 PSPS events supported</p> <p>Approx. qty of service po's energized per 2022 PSPS event</p> <p>Shinglerway 19</p> <p>Calagita 0 n/a</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.7.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Temporary Distribution Monrogs
129	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	6	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_06	<p>P. 365 of PG&E's WMP states, "The Reduced Coast Airport Microgrid (RCAM) was built through a California Energy Commission (CEC) grant to the National Energy Center and Sun from United States of America to the Reduced Coast Energy Authority (Community Choice Aggregator), in collaboration with PG&E, EPIC 3.11, Multi-Use Microgrid, project."</p> <p>1) What was the total cost of the RCAM project?</p> <p>2) Please provide a breakdown of the RCAM project.</p> <p>3) Please provide a breakdown of the RCAM project.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.7.3	Grid Design and System Hardening	Community Microgrid Enablement Program and Microgrid Incentive Program
130	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	7	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_07	<p>P. 365 of PG&E's WMP states, "The successful deployment of RCAM provides a model for other communities to take advantage of multi-tenancy microgrid energy resilience."</p> <p>1) How does PG&E determine the success of the RCAM?</p> <p>2) Please provide data to support the success of the RCAM.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	4	N/A	8.12.7.3	Grid Design and System Hardening	Community Microgrid Enablement Program and Microgrid Incentive Program
131	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	8	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_08	<p>P. 365 of PG&E's WMP states, "For 2023, we have planned to install devices that will provide significant reliability benefits on the line that is the focus of EPIS."</p> <p>1) Please quantify the "significant reliability benefit" that will be provided from devices installed in 2023.</p> <p>2) Please provide any available resources or studies to support your response to item 1).</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.8.1	Grid Design and System Hardening	Installation of System Automation Equipment - Distribution Protective Devices
132	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	9	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_09	<p>P. 385 of PG&E's WMP states that it will perform a "Substation Animal Abatement Effectiveness Study" in 2023.</p> <p>1) When does PG&E expect to begin the Substation Animal Abatement Effectiveness Study?</p> <p>2) When does PG&E expect to complete the Substation Animal Abatement Effectiveness Study?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.12.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Other Technologies and Systems - Substation Animal Abatement
133	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	10	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_10	<p>P. 391 of PG&E's WMP states, "To 2022 PG&E implemented various measures to TD-2326, which incorporated reliability best practices as well as adjusted the risk injection criteria." Please list the adjustments that PG&E made to the WMP criteria.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.15	Asset Inspections	Invasive Pole Inspection
134	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	11	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_11	<p>P. 402 of PG&E's WMP states, "PG&E assigned field staff to assess, examine, high, medium, or low based on the average wildfire consequence of the structures within that grid map."</p> <p>1) In the description described above based on the wildfire consequence scores from the WORM of the WORM 4?</p> <p>2) How frequently does PG&E plan to be updated the grid map designations described above?</p> <p>3) When PG&E re-evaluates the grid map designations, what steps will PG&E take to re-evaluate a grid map that has designations assigned to structures at a high risk?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.2.1	Asset Inspections	Detailed Ground Inspection
135	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	12	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_12	<p>Table PG&E 8.1.7.4 on page 454 of PG&E's WMP states that PG&E added 41,869 distribution work orders to its WMP backlog in 2022.</p> <p>1) What measures has PG&E implemented to ensure that it will be able to resolve its backlog in 2023 by closing new high risk items?</p> <p>2) What factors may prevent PG&E from meeting its target regarding backlog reduction in 2023?</p> <p>3) How does PG&E plan to ensure that PG&E does not miss any high risk items that are in the backlog in 2023?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.7.2	Open Work Orders	Open Work Orders - Distribution Tags
136	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	13	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_13	<p>P. 462 of PG&E's WMP states, "EPSS does not cause a power outage. Given that EPSS sagging can de-energize a feeder without phasing, and without an apparent cause, please explain what will prevent this from occurring."</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
137	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_14	<p>Per PG&E's January 2023 EPSS monthly report, PG&E experienced 2,975 EPSS outages in 2022.</p> <p>1) Of the EPSS-Reported outages in 2022, how many of these outages did PG&E find that corrective actions were not taken or required further work? Were there any uncorrected conditions that PG&E needed to resolve upon the location of the outages?</p> <p>2) How many EPSS-Reported outages in 2022 did PG&E determine were triggered by events that did not occur on the grid map?</p> <p>3) If the answer to part 1) is yes, please state the reason for the decision.</p> <p>4) If the answer to part 2) is yes, how many such EPSS-Reported outages occurred in 2022?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
138	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	15	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_15	<p>P. 462 of PG&E's WMP states, "To 2022, we expanded the scope of EPSS to all HPA and in some territories are select selected EPSS buffer areas."</p> <p>1) In 2022, did PG&E expand the scope of EPSS to all HPA and all EPSS?</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is yes, please state the reason for the decision.</p> <p>3) In 2022, did PG&E expand the scope of EPSS to all HPA and all EPSS?</p> <p>4) If the answer to part 3) is yes, please state the reason for the decision.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
139	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	16	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_16	<p>CAIPAs understanding is that a critical segment that has been underground may still experience EPSS outages if equipment operation or abandonment of the underground segment are subject to EPSS.</p> <p>1) In the above understanding correct? If yes, please correct that has been underground.</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is no, please explain why.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	9.15	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Performance Metrics Identified by the Electrical Corporation
140	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	17	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_17	<p>Has PG&E performed a study or back cast to predict the likelihood that an underground segment will be subject to EPSS due to equipment operation or abandonment of the underground segment?</p> <p>1) If the answer to part 1) is yes, please explain why.</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is no, please explain why.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	9.15	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Performance Metrics Identified by the Electrical Corporation
141	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	18	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_18	<p>Has PG&E performed a study or back cast to predict the likelihood that an underground segment will be subject to EPSS due to equipment operation or abandonment of the underground segment?</p> <p>1) If the answer to part 1) is yes, please explain why.</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is no, please explain why.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
143	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	20	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_20	<p>During the period from 2020-2022, did PG&E replace any distribution poles as part of its WMP activities for which PG&E had not fully recovered the original cost of the pole?</p> <p>1) If the answer to part 1) is yes, what was PG&E's practice regarding cost recovery on the unrecovered portion of the pole associated with the replaced transformer?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.3	Grid Design and System Hardening	Distribution Pole Replacements and Reinforcements
144	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	21	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_21	<p>During the period from 2020-2022, did PG&E replace any distribution conductor as part of its WMP activities for which PG&E had not fully recovered the original cost of the conductor? If yes, please include information on the cost associated with the replaced conductor?</p> <p>1) If the answer to part 1) is yes, what was PG&E's practice regarding cost recovery on the unrecovered portion of the pole associated with the replaced conductor?</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is no, please explain why.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.12.5.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Traditional Overhead Hardening - Distribution
145	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	22	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_22	<p>During the period from 2020-2022, did PG&E replace any distribution transformer as part of its WMP activities for which PG&E had not fully recovered the original cost of the transformer?</p> <p>1) If the answer to part 1) is yes, what was PG&E's practice regarding cost recovery on the unrecovered portion of the pole associated with the replaced transformer?</p> <p>2) If the answer to part 1) is no, please explain why.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	8.14.11	Equipment Maintenance and Repair	Transformers
146	CAIPA	Sat WMP-14	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14	23	CAIPA_Sat WMP-14_23	<p>In 2022, how many gridlines did PG&E experience related to overhead covered conductor distribution lines?</p> <p>2) In 2022, how many gridlines did PG&E experience related to overhead bare conductor distribution lines?</p> <p>3) In 2022, how many gridlines did PG&E experience related to overhead distribution lines?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/11/2023	4/17/2023	4/17/2023	0	N/A	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E 02-08 - Addressing Increases in EPSS Events

308	TURN	010	TURN_010	7	TURN_010_07	<p>PG&E WMP (R) at page 251 states "The type of mitigation needed and effectiveness analysis we conduct informed PG&E's decision to transition away from the Enhanced Vegetation Management (EVM) program"</p> <p>a) Please provide all documentation and internal communications regarding the transition away from the EVM program.</p> <p>b) Please provide the "effectiveness analysis" conducted by PG&E that informed its decision to discontinue the EVM program.</p> <p>c) Please provide annual total spending on the EVM program from 2018-2022.</p>	Tom Long	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/turn/010/turn_010_07	3	Yes	8.2.3	Vegetation Management and Inspectors	Vegetation and Fuel Management
275	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	1	CaPA_Set WMP-20_01	<p>a) Describe PG&E's standard process for retiring an asset from service.</p> <p>b) Describe how PG&E records the retirement of an asset from service.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_01	1	NA	8.1.5	Asset Management and Inspection Enterprise Systems)	NA
276	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	2	CaPA_Set WMP-20_02	<p>a) In 2022, as part of a WMP system hardening activities, did PG&E retire from service (i.e., replace, remove, destroy, or decommission) any assets that had not been fully depreciated at the time of retirement?</p> <p>b) Please describe how PG&E recorded the retirement of assets during 2022 system hardening activities.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_02	0	NA	8.1.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	AS
277	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	3	CaPA_Set WMP-20_03	<p>a) In 2023, as part of a WMP system hardening activities, does PG&E intend to retire from service (i.e., replace, remove, destroy, or decommission) any assets that are not fully depreciated at the time of retirement?</p> <p>b) Please describe how PG&E will record the retirement of assets during 2023 system hardening activities.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_03	0	NA	8.1.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	AS
278	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	4	CaPA_Set WMP-20_04	<p>What is PG&E's standard practice for tracking assets that are retired from service before they are fully depreciated?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_04	0	NA	8.1.5	Asset Management and Inspection Enterprise Systems)	NA
279	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	5	CaPA_Set WMP-20_05	<p>a) If PG&E retires from service an asset that has not been fully depreciated, does it remove the remaining undepreciated value of the asset by its last year?</p> <p>b) How does PG&E determine the remaining undepreciated value of an asset at the time the asset is retired from service?</p> <p>c) Please describe any scenario in which PG&E would retire from service an asset that has not been fully depreciated, but would keep the remaining undepreciated value of the asset in its rate base.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_05	0	NA	8.1.5	Asset Management and Inspection Enterprise Systems)	NA
280	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	6	CaPA_Set WMP-20_06	<p>a) As of the date of this data request, does PG&E's rate base currently include any portion of the value of any assets that are no longer in service?</p> <p>b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please explain why.</p> <p>c) If the answer to part (a) is no, list the criteria in place that ensure PG&E's rate base does not currently include any portion of the value of assets that are no longer in service.</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_06	0	NA	8.1.5	Asset Management and Inspection Enterprise Systems)	NA
281	CaPA	Set WMP-20	CaPA_Set WMP-20	7	CaPA_Set WMP-20_07	<p>In its response to data request CaliforniaPGE-PGE-2023WMP-14, questions 20-22, PG&E stated, "We cannot provide the requested data. Our asset registry and work execution systems are not set up to enable the cross-referenced data consolidation and do not track the volume of assets retired that have not been fully depreciated."</p> <p>a) Please explain what is meant by the statement, "Our asset registry and work execution systems are not set up to enable the cross-referenced data consolidation."</p> <p>b) Please explain what is meant by the statement, "we do not track the volume of assets retired that have not been fully depreciated."</p> <p>c) In PG&E advice of the current cost of undepreciated in-rod and sleep assets?</p> <p>d) In PG&E advice to determine the number of assets that have not been fully depreciated that it retired from service as part of its 2020-2022 WMP activities?</p> <p>e) In PG&E advice to determine the total remaining undepreciated value of assets that retired from service as part of its 2020-2022 WMP activities?</p>	Holly Whitman	4/26/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-20_07	0	NA	8.1	Grid Design, Operations and Maintenance	Distribution Poles and Requirements Traditional Overhead Hardware Transformers
313	CaPA	Set WMP-22	CaPA_Set WMP-22	1	CaPA_Set WMP-22_01	<p>During the general discussion portion of the Grid Operations, Design, and Maintenance session of the WMP workshop held on April 27, 2023, PG&E estimated that, during wildfire season (May through November) in 2022, EPSS was enabled on approximately 42.6% of circuit days.</p> <p>a) In the above estimate, correct if you please provide an estimate of the percentage of circuit days that EPSS was enabled during the season in 2022.</p> <p>b) Does PG&E have a forecast of the percentage of circuit days when EPSS will be enabled during the season in 2023? If so, please provide it.</p> <p>c) Please define "circuit days."</p>	Holly Whitman	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-22_01	0	NA	8.1.1.1	Grid Design and System Hardware	Protection Equipment and Device Settings
314	CaPA	Set WMP-22	CaPA_Set WMP-22	2	CaPA_Set WMP-22_02	<p>During the general discussion portion of the Grid Operations, Design, and Maintenance session of the WMP workshop held on April 27, 2023, a wider asset concern about the feasibility of undergrounding in-rod and sleep assets and in wetlands. In response, PG&E stated that it was evaluating both and techniques to perform undergrounding in these areas.</p> <p>a) Please list and describe the current difficulties or obstacles to undergrounding in-rod and sleep assets?</p> <p>b) Please list and describe the current difficulties or obstacles to undergrounding in-rod and sleep assets?</p> <p>c) Please state whether the net cost provided in response to part (c) is based on overhead circuit days retirement of underground circuit days.</p> <p>d) Regarding the net cost given in response to part (c) of this question, when does PG&E expect to be able to reduce the net cost to less than \$3 million per mile?</p> <p>e) If the WMP undergrounding projects that PG&E plans to execute in 2023-2024, do any involve installing a significant amount (greater than \$1 million) of underground conductors in-rod and sleep assets?</p> <p>f) If the answer to part (e) is yes, please list each such project.</p>	Holly Whitman	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-22_02	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardware	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
315	CaPA	Set WMP-22	CaPA_Set WMP-22	3	CaPA_Set WMP-22_03	<p>During the Q&A portion of the Grid Operations, Design, and Maintenance session of the WMP workshop held on April 27, 2023, a wider asset concern about the feasibility of undergrounding in-rod and sleep assets and in wetlands. In response, PG&E stated that it was evaluating both and techniques to perform undergrounding in these areas.</p> <p>a) Please list and describe the current difficulties or obstacles to undergrounding in wetlands.</p> <p>b) Please list and describe the current difficulties or obstacles to undergrounding in wetlands?</p> <p>c) What is PG&E's estimate of the current net cost of undergrounding in wetlands?</p> <p>d) Please state whether the net cost provided in response to part (c) is based on average of overhead circuit days retirement or mileage of underground circuit days.</p> <p>e) Regarding the net cost given in response to part (c) of this question, when does PG&E expect to be able to reduce the net cost to less than \$3 million per mile?</p> <p>f) If the WMP undergrounding projects that PG&E plans to execute in 2023-2024, do any involve installing a significant amount (greater than \$1 million) of underground conductors in-rod and sleep assets?</p> <p>g) If the answer to part (f) is yes, please list each such project.</p>	Holly Whitman	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	5/3/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/global/capa/set_wmp-22_03	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardware	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution

327	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_01	1	OEIS_004_01	Regarding Ignition Probability Weather Model a. Provide a WMP if status is "PWP framework analysis positive and negative changes in grid performance and reliability year-over-year and provide a thoroughgoing approach to weigh most recent years of grid performance more heavily in the final model output." (p. 785) b. How does the WMP account for the year-over-year changes in grid performance and reliability? c. Provide a description (i.e. changes in event, ignition, and outage numbers) and controls of changes PG&E has observed in grid performance based on implementing system hardening mitigations, including the amount of time to look to observe any statistical changes that would account for changes in PSPS decision-making. d. How do you use weather variables according to the analysis of year-over-year changes in grid performance and reliability?	a. The WMP model assesses changes in performance through the hourly relationship between outage occurrence and the weather conditions present. We use evaluation metrics like the AURDC, which are published in our WMP, to assess model skill for model development. b. To date, system hardening is not an explicit feature, or input, of the WMP model. Any changes in the current model due to system hardening would come from the outage occurrence to weather relation changing rather than from an engineering, subject matter expertise or presumed change. We are currently exploring new features for future WMP models such as the age of the assets. For example, when a line with old poles is replaced with new poles, an occurrence under the system hardening program, changes in the outage to weather relation would be expected to be reflected in the final model. c. The WMP model is trained with hourly weather data from each PG&E 202 km grid cell and whether an outage occurred or not at that time and area. Thus, the WMP changes in the outage to weather relation to weather, but learning hourly variation in outage occurrence given the hourly weather conditions present. The time-weighted averaging approach of the WMP model balances learning any changes in the outage to weather relation over time with preserving information of historic events. For example, if the WMP model is trained with data from 2010 to 2022, but learning that the model should be able to account for changes in weather relation that have occurred in other eras, the WMP model will learn to account for changes in weather relation (e.g., an area that had significant weather in the 1980s and 1990s was not heavily affected by the 2010-2022 data set).	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	0	N/A	9.2.1	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Risk Thresholds (e.g., WS, FPL, etc.) and Decision-Making Process The Determine the Need for a PSPS.
328	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_02	2	OEIS_004_02	Regarding EPPS in WMP Model a. How does the WMP Model account for EPPS-related outages? b. How does the WMP Model account for EPPS-related outages? c. How does the WMP Model account for EPPS-related outages?	a. The WMP model includes a separate section for EPPS-related outages. This section is based on historical data and is updated annually. b. The WMP model includes a separate section for EPPS-related outages. This section is based on historical data and is updated annually. c. The WMP model includes a separate section for EPPS-related outages. This section is based on historical data and is updated annually.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	0	N/A	9.2.1	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Risk Thresholds (e.g., WS, FPL, etc.) and Decision-Making Process The Determine the Need for a PSPS.
329	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_03	3	OEIS_004_03	Regarding After Action Reports for Emergency Preparedness Provide the most recent After Action Report from emergency training exercises for the following exercises: a. Table 8-1: Personnel Training b. EPR: Emergency Preparedness Training Program c. EPR: Restoration Process d. EPR: Execution for Distribution Center (DCC) Operators e. EPR: Execution for External Contractor Training f. TD 14445 g. Table 8-4: Internal DCS, Simulation, And Tabletop Exercise Program h. Operations Based Wildfire FE i. Operations Based PSPS FE j. Table 8-4: External DCS, Simulation, And Tabletop Exercise Program k. Operations Based Wildfire FE l. Operations Based PSPS FE	The confidential attachments are being provided pursuant to the accompanying confidentiality declaration. a. After Action Reports are not created for Personnel Training, including the form identified in Table 8-3. b. After Action Reports are not created for External Contractor Training, including the form in Table PG&E 8-4. c. Please see attachments "WMP-Overview2023_DR_OEIS_004-0005A001-CONF.pdf" and "WMP-Overview2023_DR_OEIS_004-0005A002-CONF.pdf" for the PSPS/Wildfire Full Scale Exercise After Action Report and the PSPS Tabletop Exercise After Action Report. Internal drills and external drills are not separate components of the exercises include both internal and external settings. d. Please see the attachments provided in our response to Q033 Support 1 above. An internal drills and external drills are not separate. The exercises included both internal and external settings. e. Please see the attachments provided in our response to Q033 Support 1 above. An internal drills and external drills are not separate. The exercises included both internal and external settings.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	2	N/A	8.4.2.2.2	Emergency Preparedness	Personnel Training
330	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_04	4	OEIS_004_04	Regarding Customer Group or PSPS Objective PS-05 a. How does PG&E address the fact that it focuses on a group of customers 'not limited to AFN, MEL, and self-identified customer populations'? b. How does PG&E address the fact that it focuses on a group of customers 'not limited to AFN, MEL, and self-identified customer populations'? c. How does PG&E address the fact that it focuses on a group of customers 'not limited to AFN, MEL, and self-identified customer populations'?	a. In addition to access and function needs (AFN), medical baseline (ME), and self-identified vulnerable (SV) populations, PG&E intends to focus on customers more frequently impacted by PSPS or EPPS. Additionally, since permanent burdens are more costly to implement than portable burdens, PG&E intends to additionally focus on low-income customers (i.e. CARE, FEPA, MEL, and SV) populations and other customers who may lack the financial means to acquire backup power. Currently, PG&E is exploring to support permanent burdens for customers who have experienced the greatest number of EPPS outages in recent years. One-line items of financial support would be provided to CARE, FEPA, MEL, and SV customers. While these burdens may be more costly over the long-term outlook, PG&E anticipates continuing to focus on the programs more frequently impacted by outages and who lack the means to acquire backup power. b. As mentioned in our 2023 WMP, PG&E focuses on customers who are most impacted by EPPS outages in recent years. Currently, the population is estimated to be approximately 1,000 customers, approximately 1,000 of which are CARE, FEPA, MEL, or SV customers. These customer counts may vary over time based on customer experience, weather events, and changes in EPPS. c. PG&E focuses on customers who are most impacted by EPPS outages in recent years. Currently, the population is estimated to be approximately 1,000 customers, approximately 1,000 of which are CARE, FEPA, MEL, or SV customers. These customer counts may vary over time based on customer experience, weather events, and changes in EPPS.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	0	N/A	8.5.3	Community Outreach and Engagement	Engagement With Access and Functional Needs Populations
331	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_05	5	OEIS_004_05	Regarding Areas of Concern and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) a. How will PG&E address risk from green hazard trees (those not obviously dead, dying, or declining) in non-AFCN or DCC areas? b. How will PG&E address risk from green hazard trees (those not obviously dead, dying, or declining) in non-AFCN or DCC areas? c. How will PG&E address risk from green hazard trees (those not obviously dead, dying, or declining) in non-AFCN or DCC areas?	The confidential attachment is being provided pursuant to the accompanying confidentiality declaration. a. As outlined in PG&E's Vegetation Management Distribution Inspection Procedure, provided as "WMP-Overview2023_DR_OEIS_004-0005A001-CONF.pdf", if a VM identifies a hazard tree during at least 1 inspection, a Level 2 inspection will be performed to determine if tree removal is required to maintain system health. b. At this time, PG&E does not have a finalized inspection procedure for FTI. Once that is available, we can provide the facts that will be entered into OnVim. c. Level 1 inspections are performed on all trees within the AFCN. If a Level 1 assessment cannot sufficiently determine the severity of conditions or defects, a Level 2 inspection is performed. d. Approximately 81 miles of trees are included under the EVM program. e. On average 28% of PG&E's WMP 4 miles. Our Operational Mitigation includes programs such as Enhanced Positive Safety Settings (EPSS) and Focused Tree Inspections (FTI) is not described as an "operational objective" under the WMP. PG&E's attachments.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	1	N/A	8.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
332	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_06	6	OEIS_004_06	Regarding Enhanced Vegetation Management a. Provide the following table with information regarding EVM: Year #FTD Miles Completed Inspected Stake Potential Trees Tracked Average Trees Per Mile % of Miles in Top 20% of Risk 2019 2020 2021 2022 Total b. Provide a GIS layer of the features showing where EVM work was completed.	Year #FTD Miles Completed Inspected Stake Potential Trees Tracked Average Trees Per Mile % of Miles in Top 20% of Risk 2019 2020 2021 2022 Total 2019 2498 miles 1,119,969 156,243 79 56% 2020 2159 miles 1,262,242 161,271 16% 2021 1985 miles 1,246,174 386,018 109 64% 2022 1925 miles 1,119,018 271,432 141 59.9% Total a. Please note for column "average trees per mile", we interpreted that as average number of trees worked per mile. We obtained this number by taking the number of trees worked divided by #FTD miles completed for the corresponding year. b. Please note: "n" % of Miles in Top 20% of Risk", the 2021 and 2022 percentages were based on 2019-2023 risk ranking and the 2020 percentage were based on 2020 risk ranking. c. Please see supporting attachment "WMP-Overview2023_DR_OEIS_004-0005A001-CONF.pdf" for the GIS of #FTD miles completed between 2019-2022.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	1	N/A	8.2.2.6	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Discontinued Programs
333	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_07	7	OEIS_004_07	Regarding Vegetation-Caused Outages a. Provide the following table of vegetation-caused outages by mode of failure in the HFTD between 2015 and 2022 broken out by year. PG&E may add additional rows (i.e., mode of failure) if needed. MODE OF FAILURE FOR VEGETATION-CAUSED OUTAGE MODE OF FAILURE 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Branch (total, n=120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Dead Tree Tree Fall (insulator-system defect) Tree Fall (light defect) Tree Fall (no defect) Tree Close Into Overhead DATA b. Provide a GIS layer of the features showing where EVM work was completed.	PG&E interprets this question as identifying vegetation-related damage and hazards after patrolling and inspecting circuits impacted by PSPS. PG&E started implementing PSPS in 2015, therefore, did not collect data from 2015-2019. While PG&E would like to share this data, it is not available. PG&E has a vegetation-related database, but because the protection are designed to prevent potential problems from vegetation contact, PSPS prohibits do not assess vegetation failure modes. PSPS is designed to prevent and mitigate potential problems from vegetation-related damage or hazards regardless of whether a PSPS is implemented. PG&E does include PSPS vegetation-related damage or hazards when submitting 10-Day Post-Event Reports to the CPUC and on the Quarterly Data Standard Filing to OEIS.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	1	N/A	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E-22-06 - Progression of Effectiveness of Enhanced Clearances Joint Study
334	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_08	8	OEIS_004_08	Regarding Vegetation Hazards Mitigated by PSPS a. Does PG&E have data on vegetation hazards mitigated by PSPS? If so, please provide the following table of vegetation hazards mitigated by mode of failure in the HFTD between 2015 and 2022 broken out by year. PG&E may add additional rows (i.e., mode of failure) if needed. MODE OF FAILURE FOR VEGETATION HAZARDS MITIGATED BY PSPS 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Branch (total, n=120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Branch (with outfall, 4-120) Dead Tree Tree Fall (insulator-system defect) Tree Fall (light defect) Tree Fall (no defect) Tree Close Into Overhead DATA b. Provide a GIS layer of the features showing where EVM work was completed.	PG&E interprets this question as identifying vegetation-related damage and hazards after patrolling and inspecting circuits impacted by PSPS. PG&E started implementing PSPS in 2015, therefore, did not collect data from 2015-2019. While PG&E would like to share this data, it is not available. PG&E has a vegetation-related database, but because the protection are designed to prevent potential problems from vegetation contact, PSPS prohibits do not assess vegetation failure modes. PSPS is designed to prevent and mitigate potential problems from vegetation-related damage or hazards regardless of whether a PSPS is implemented. PG&E does include PSPS vegetation-related damage or hazards when submitting 10-Day Post-Event Reports to the CPUC and on the Quarterly Data Standard Filing to OEIS.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	0	N/A	9.2.2	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Method Used to Compare and Evaluate the Relative Consequences of PSPS and Wildfire
335	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_09	9	OEIS_004_09	Regarding Coordination with Other Utilities on PSPS Wild Threats a. How does PG&E coordinate with other utilities on PSPS Wild Threats? b. How does PG&E coordinate with other utilities on PSPS Wild Threats? c. How does PG&E coordinate with other utilities on PSPS Wild Threats?	a. The Joint DU Covered Conductor Working Group Report was provided in the original submission as part of attachment "Attachment 2023-02-27_PG&E_2023_WMP_RB_Appendix D ACI PG&E-22-11_Attch01.pdf". b. In its response to ACI PG&E-22-11, PG&E stated: "In collaboration with the joint DU teams, PG&E has performed effectiveness studies to evaluate how covered conductors can reduce system risk compared to bare conductors". c. In its collaboration with the Covered Conductor Effectiveness Study (Table B-E3, Line 17) PG&E is offering, if any, collaboration efforts with the investor-owned utilities at evaluating the effect of covered conductors. d. Has PG&E specifically discussed reducing PSPS wild threats in any of its covered conductor collaboration efforts? e. List the collaboration efforts, if any, where adjusting PSPS wild threats for covered conductor was discussed. f. Provide a list of PG&E's contacts that are fully briefed with covered conductor.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	1	N/A	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E-22-31 - PSPS Wild Threat Change Evaluation
336	OEIS	004	004	OEIS_004_10	10	OEIS_004_10	Regarding Tree Fall and PSPS a. How does PG&E address the risk of tree fall during PSPS? b. How does PG&E address the risk of tree fall during PSPS? c. How does PG&E address the risk of tree fall during PSPS?	Based on PG&E's review of potential ignition events during a PSPS event, vegetation-related hazards pose the highest risk for ignition. Please reference Table 5 and Table 6 of the Quarterly Data Standard Filing to OEIS. PG&E has a vegetation-related database, but because the protection are designed to prevent potential problems from vegetation contact, PSPS prohibits do not assess vegetation failure modes. PSPS is designed to prevent and mitigate potential problems from vegetation-related damage or hazards regardless of whether a PSPS is implemented. PG&E does include PSPS vegetation-related damage or hazards when submitting 10-Day Post-Event Reports to the CPUC and on the Quarterly Data Standard Filing to OEIS.	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A001-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A002-CONF.pdf https://www.pge.com/legal/global/communications/press-releases/2023-05-04-0005A003-CONF.pdf	0	N/A	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E-22-31 - PSPS Wild Threat Change Evaluation

340	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	14	OEIS_004_014	<p>Regarding PGO&E's Use of Downed Conductor Detection (DCD) and Partial Voltage Detection (PVD)</p> <p>1. Provide any analysis completed on reliability impacts due to DCD, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. The number of outages that occurred due to DCD in 2022 and 2023b. The number of outages broken down by cause based on ignition drivers listed in Table 6 of the GOR that occurred due to DCD in 2022 and 2023c. Criteria used for DCD treatment (if applicable)d. The number of total customer impacts mitigated from DCD outagese. Any mitigations PGO&E is using to reduce reliability impacts from DCD implementation, including lessons learned from testingf. Provide any analysis completed on reliability impacts due to PVD, including:g. The number of outages that occurred due to PVD in 2022 and 2023h. The number of outages broken down by cause based on ignition drivers listed in Table 6 of the GOR that occurred due to PVD in 2022 and 2023i. Criteria used for PVD treatment (if applicable)j. The number of total customer impacts mitigated from PVD outagesk. Any mitigations PGO&E is using to reduce reliability impacts from PVD implementation, including lessons learned from testing <p>2. When evaluating outages due to EPSS, are DCD and PVD outages included as part of that evaluation?</p> <p>3. If so, what is the number of additional outages caused by PVD and DCD re-energized in 2023?</p> <p>4. If not, how does PGO&E account for and track any associated reliability event safety impacts from DCD and PVD implementation, and how does that inform changes to the feasibility program?</p>	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	<p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-014-014.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-014-014.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-014-014.html</p>	0	NA	8.1.2.10.1	Grid Design and System Hardening	Downed Conductor Detection Devices
341	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	15	OEIS_004_015	<p>Regarding Feasibility Constraints</p> <p>PG&E must provide an analysis of how, if at all, feasibility constraints impact the decision making of its Wildlife Governance Steering Committee in selecting a portfolio of mitigation measures to decarbonize from the risk drivers identified. This should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. A flowchart or explanation of decision-making as processed by the Wildlife Governance Steering Committee.b. The correlation between WFS and feasibilityc. The correlation between WFS and WFSd. Any associated shifts in prioritization due to implementing feasibility constraintse. A list of any projects not included within WFS scope to be feasibility constraints	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	<p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-015-015.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-015-015.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-015-015.html</p>	1	NA	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E-23-34 - Review Process of Feasibility Mitigation
342	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	16	OEIS_004_016	<p>Regarding Effectiveness of EPSS</p> <p>1. Provide the formula and calculations used by PGO&E to determine the effectiveness of EPSS.</p> <p>2. Provide any analysis demonstrating alternate mitigation beyond EPSS risk and wildfire risk impacts PGO&E's mitigation are directly addressing wildfire risk opposed to reliability.</p> <p>3. Provide PGO&E's rationale for selecting EPSS as the primary mitigation measure, including risks and work hours shifted around from wildfire risk mitigation. This should also include any associated reliability related mitigations.</p>	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	<p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-016-016.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-016-016.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-016-016.html</p>	2	NA	8.1.8.1.1	Grid Design, Operations and Maintenance	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
343	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	17	OEIS_004_017	<p>Regarding PGO&E's Underpinning Program</p> <p>1. Provide the correlation of V2 and V3 risk scores of the 2022 WMP vs. 2023 WMP underpinning scope for 2023. This should not include nor account for feasibility.</p> <p>2. Provide the analysis on the remaining list of risks no longer scoped for underpinning, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Internal mitigations being put into place to scope for underpinning in the futureb. The number of risks scoped for the future (per 2023)c. Alternative mitigations being used if no longer scoped for underpinning	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	<p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-017-017.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-017-017.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/oeis/oeis-004-017-017.html</p>	2	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Underpinning of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
309	TURN	011	TURN_011	1	TURN_011_01	<p>1. PGO&E's WMP (R1) page 6 references WDRM v3.</p> <p>2. Please explain and quantify the difference in risk scoring results between WDRM v3 and WDRM v3. Please provide all supporting data and analysis in Excel with working formulae.</p> <p>3. Please provide all results of WDRM v3 in Excel at the circuit segment, circuit protection zone, or most granular level available. This should include, at minimum, the following information in separate columns for all overhead HTD and self-identified HFRA risks that have been evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Circuit segment identifier that can be used to cross-reference with PGO&E's underpinning spreadsheet, provided in WMP-2023-04-06_PGE_2023_WMP_R1_Appendix D1 ACI PG&E-23-34_A2611. Please add the unique identifier to the worksheet if necessary and provide in Excel if not already available. This unique identifier should also be incorporated into the response to question 2.b. Total wildfire risk scorec. Total overall risk score (wildfire + PSPS)d. Total PSPS risk scoree. Mean wildfire risk score (please explain in the response how this is calculated)f. Mean PSPS risk score (please explain in the response how this is calculated)g. Risk Rank (please explain in the response how this is determined)h. Customer number of the circuit segmenti. Customer number of underground risks (underground risks are not included for currently scoped projects) <p>4. Please add a column to the spreadsheet provided (part b) for the number of overhead risks expected to be underground in 2023, 2024, and 2025, respectively, corresponding to each circuit segment.</p>	Tom Long	5/1/2023	5/9/2023	5/9/2023	<p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/turn/turn-011-01-01.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/turn/turn-011-01-01.html</p> <p>https://www.pge.com/legal_global/external/turn/turn-011-01-01.html</p>	2	NA	6.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk Analysis Framework

224	DEIS	003	DEIS_003	10	DEIS_003_010	<p>Regarding PG&E's Asset Inventory</p> <p>1. Provide a list of all fields that PG&E's asset inventory captures (e.g., equipment, equipment type, age, installation date).</p> <p>2. Provide a list of all types of equipment captured within PG&E's asset inventory.</p> <p>3. Provide a percentage to indicate PG&E's missing data for each data field based on (a) within its asset inventory.</p> <p>4. Provide an estimated percentage for the amount of assets missing from PG&E's asset inventory.</p>	Colin Lang	4/01/2023	6/01/2023	5/01/2023	2	N/A	8.1.5	Asset Management and Inspection (Systems)	N/A
344	TURN	012	TURN_012	1	TURN_012_01	<p>1. Please confirm that the Simplified Withfire Risk Spaced Efficiency (SWRSE) and Withfire Feasibility Expenditure (WFE) measures discussed on page 968 of PG&E's WMP.</p> <p>2. Please describe any differences in wildfire mitigation programs proposed in relation to wildfire mitigation not included within the WMP and GRC for the years 2023-2025, and:</p> <p>a. For any differences not described in (c) above, please state that above, on a program by program basis, the WMP proposed, the GRC proposed, and a description of the differences between the two, including without limitation differences in relation to or with the table above. The table should include any wildfire mitigation programs that are proposed in one of the proceedings but not in the other.</p>	Tom Long	5/6/2023	5/11/2023	5/11/2023	0	N/A	Appendix D	Areas for Continued Improvement	ACI PG&E-22-34 - Review Process of Pending Withfire Mitigations
352	CaPA	Set WMP-24	CaPA_Set WMP-24	1	CaPA_Set WMP-24_01	<p>In reference to your response to Question 11 of GRC CallComments-PGE-2023WMP-16, in the email attachment WMP-Discovery 2023_DR_018-Q01146001, it is noted that the CH to US conversion projects that have no adjacent circuit are:</p> <p>On Table (a) through (c), please identify the adjacent circuits that tie to the circuits with CH to US conversion projects in Table (a) through (c).</p>	Holly Whitman	5/6/2023	5/12/2023	5/11/2023	2	N/A	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Understanding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment
345	TURN	012	TURN_012	2	TURN_012_02	<p>2. Comparing the wildfire mitigation plan proposed in PG&E's WMP with the wildfire mitigation plan proposed in PG&E's proposed 2023 GRC (21-24-021)</p> <p>1. Please describe any differences in wildfire mitigation programs proposed in relation to wildfire mitigation not included within the WMP and GRC for the years 2023-2025, and:</p> <p>a. For any differences not described in (c) above, please state that above, on a program by program basis, the WMP proposed, the GRC proposed, and a description of the differences between the two, including without limitation differences in relation to or with the table above. The table should include any wildfire mitigation programs that are proposed in one of the proceedings but not in the other.</p>	Tom Long	5/6/2023	5/12/2023	5/12/2023	0	N/A	7.2.1	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Overview of Mitigation Initiatives and Activities
322	CaPA	Set WMP-22	CaPA_Set WMP-22	10	CaPA_Set WMP-22_010	<p>In response to data request CallComments-PGE-2023WMP-02, question 1, PG&E provided its 2022 Quality Verification Distribution Audit report (WMP-Discovery2023_DR_CallComments_022-Q01046001CONF.pdf).</p> <p>1. For each of the 15 "zero tolerance & high-risk findings," identified on page 4 of the above report, what action has PG&E taken to mitigate these non-conformances in the future?</p> <p>2. For each category of the "Top Three Critical attribute findings" identified on page 4 of the above report, describe when and how PG&E addressed the non-conformances to mitigate wildfire risk.</p> <p>3. For each category of the "Top Three Critical attribute findings" identified on page 4 of the above report, what action has PG&E taken to mitigate these non-conformances in the future?</p> <p>4. For each category of the "Top Three non-Critical attribute findings" identified on page 4 of the above report, what action has PG&E taken to mitigate these non-conformances in the future?</p> <p>5. Please describe all actions PG&E has taken to reduce the rate of critical attribute non-conformances in future distribution system inspections.</p> <p>6. What is PG&E's target Quality Pass Rate for 2023?</p> <p>7. Please compare and contrast the 2022 Quality Verification Distribution Audit mentioned above and the QA program for system inspections that PG&E plans to implement (section 8.1.1 in PG&E's WMP).</p>	Holly Whitman	5/02/2023	5/12/2023	5/12/2023	2	N/A	8.1.6.1	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
353	MGRA	Data Request No. 5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5	1	MGRA_Data Request No. 5_01	<p>Is the sole source of this POI data the machine learning algorithm described in WDRM documentation? If not, what other inputs go into the POI?</p>	Joseph Michalek	5/10/2023	5/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	Appendix C (8.4.1.1, 8.4.1.2)	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Geospatial Maps of Top Risk Areas Within the HPFA
354	MGRA	Data Request No. 5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5	2	MGRA_Data Request No. 5_02	<p>Is the fire-prone POI distribution a result of the localization of specific historical outages, characteristics of assets, or environment, or both?</p>	Joseph Michalek	5/10/2023	6/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	Appendix C (8.4.1.1, 8.4.1.2)	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Geospatial Maps of Top Risk Areas Within the HPFA
355	MGRA	Data Request No. 5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5	3	MGRA_Data Request No. 5_03	<p>Which of the following characteristics is known or suspected to contribute to the fire-prone localization of POI above, and to what degree:</p> <p>1. Topography and height</p> <p>2. Assets</p> <p>3. Assets health</p> <p>4. Assets type</p> <p>5. Distribution location</p>	Joseph Michalek	5/10/2023	5/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	Appendix C (8.4.1.1, 8.4.1.2)	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Geospatial Maps of Top Risk Areas Within the HPFA
356	MGRA	Data Request No. 5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5	4	MGRA_Data Request No. 5_04	<p>As an example of "localized" effects, if a vehicle were to collide with a utility pole and cause an outage in the vicinity of the impact, and if the POI were to be recalculated, would the area where the outage occurred show an elevated POI or would conversely the incremental increase rate of vehicle collision outage be generally distributed over the entire landscape, or a portion of the landscape?</p>	Joseph Michalek	5/10/2023	5/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	Appendix C (8.4.1.1, 8.4.1.2)	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Geospatial Maps of Top Risk Areas Within the HPFA
357	MGRA	Data Request No. 5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5	5	MGRA_Data Request No. 5_05	<p>Are the weather events included in the WDRM GRC model any other terms than that described in WDRM-02 discussion, or other aggregated party variables such as annual treatment or annual days over peak are used as repository variables?</p>	Joseph Michalek	5/10/2023	5/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	Appendix C (8.4.1.1, 8.4.1.2)	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Geospatial Maps of Top Risk Areas Within the HPFA

332	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	REV	OEIS_004_REV	<p>Regarding Enhanced Vegetation Management</p> <p>a. Provide the following table with discussion regarding EVM:</p> <p>Year</p> <p>HFTD Miles Completed</p> <p>Inspected</p> <p>Stakeholder</p> <p>Percent</p> <p>Tasks Times Worked</p> <p>Percentage</p> <p>Tasks Per</p> <p>% of Miles in</p> <p>2019</p> <p>2020</p> <p>2021</p> <p>2022</p> <p>Total</p> <p>b. Provide a GIS layer of the features showing where EVM work was completed.</p>	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/15/2023	5/15/2023	0	N/A	8.2.2.8	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Discontinued Programs
329	OEIS	005	OEIS_005	1	OEIS_005_01	<p>Regarding Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.2 Question 48</p> <p>Regarding the Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.2, Question 48, PG&E answered "yes" that "What sections of Company Emergency Response Plan (CERP) does PG&E provide a discussion of gaps, limitations, and improvement areas with remedial or corrective action plans in 4 weeks to 6 weeks and is CERP?" In its discussion is contained in other documents, provide those and clarify what sections the discussion is contained in.</p>	Colin Lang	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	3	N/A	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey
360	OEIS	005	OEIS_005	2	OEIS_005_02	<p>Regarding Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.4 Question #2</p> <p>Regarding the Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.4 Question #2, PG&E answered "yes" that an external third party evaluation is conducted every five years.</p> <p>Please provide a copy of the most recent third party evaluation.</p>	Colin Lang	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey
361	OEIS	005	OEIS_005	3	OEIS_005_03	<p>Regarding Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.4 Question #7</p> <p>Regarding the Maturity Survey responses to Section 6.1.4 Question #7, PG&E answered "yes" that Subject Matter Expert (SME) reviews are completed every five years.</p> <p>Please provide a copy of the most recent SME evaluation(s).</p>	Colin Lang	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	1	N/A	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey	Maturity Survey
362	TURN	013	TURN_013	1	TURN_013_01	<p>1. Following up on TURN DR 10-23) and PG&E's response to:</p> <p>a. Please explain how PG&E determined that a risk per the HV risk model above 720 constitutes the top 20% of risk-related segments? Why does 720 represent the 20% threshold? Please explain. Please provide a copy of the model used in the analysis. The top 20 percent of risk-related circuit segments in this response is 717 which PG&E reported to WMP-2023_DR_TURN10-23Q04A001.001. The 5-83 circuit segments are HFTD and HFRA.</p> <p>b. Please explain how PG&E determined that a risk per the HV risk model above 727 constitutes the top 20% of risk-related segments? Why does 727 represent the 20% threshold? Please explain. Please provide a copy of the model used in the analysis. The top 20 percent of risk-related circuit segments in this response is 727 which PG&E reported to WMP-2023_DR_TURN10-23Q04A001.001. The 5-83 circuit segments are HFTD and HFRA.</p> <p>c. Please explain how PG&E determined that a risk per the HV risk model above 737 constitutes the top 20% of risk-related segments? Why does 737 represent the 20% threshold? Please explain. Please provide a copy of the model used in the analysis. The top 20 percent of risk-related circuit segments in this response is 737 which PG&E reported to WMP-2023_DR_TURN10-23Q04A001.001. The 5-83 circuit segments are HFTD and HFRA.</p>	Tom Long	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.1.2.2	Grid Design, Operations, and Maintenance	Underground of Electric Lines and Equipment
363	Green Power Institute (GPI)	002	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002	1	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002_01	<p>Please provide:</p> <p>The number of trees removed in each year from 2019-2022 and the program under which the removals will occur.</p> <p>The number of planned tree removals for 2023, 2024, and 2025, and the program under which the removals will occur.</p> <p>The number of remaining trees in PG&E's tree inventory that are listed for removal.</p>	Zoe Harwood	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.2.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Tree Removal Inventory
364	Green Power Institute (GPI)	002	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002	2	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002_02	<p>Please provide the number of distribution line miles PG&E will perform trimming on to achieve enhanced clearances (> 12').</p>	Zoe Harwood	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.2.3.3	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Clearance
365	Green Power Institute (GPI)	002	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002	3	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002_03	<p>Please provide any existing qualitative metrics (e.g., bushiness, etc.) on the total amount of vegetation management "waste" (or residuals) produced each year from 2020 - 2022, and the annual amounts that are disposed of at existing facilities, landfill, biomass facilities, or other facilities.</p>	Zoe Harwood	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.2.3.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Wood and Slash Management
366	Green Power Institute (GPI)	002	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002	4	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002_04	<p>Please provide the number of customer requests to retain woody biomass resulting from vegetation management activities on private property, state property, and federal property.</p>	Zoe Harwood	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.2.3.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Wood and Slash Management
367	Green Power Institute (GPI)	002	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002	5	Green Power Institute (GPI)_002_05	<p>Please describe current agreements and any recent (2021-Present) contractual terms with state and federal agencies regarding fuels and slash management (e.g., state and federal laws, respectively).</p>	Zoe Harwood	5/11/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	8.2.3.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Wood and Slash Management
338	OEIS	004	OEIS_004	12	OEIS_004_12	<p>Regarding the PG&E memo for PPSB on:</p> <p>The sections that relate to metrics PPSB-C, PPSB-D, PPSB-E, and PPSB-F do not sufficiently describe the methodologies that ultimately result in a PPSB Risk Score. The Guidelines for section 8.2 Risk Analysis Framework require detailed discussion of likelihood, consequence, exposure potential and vulnerability for Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) Risk:</p> <p>6.1.1 Overview: The electrical corporation must provide a brief narrative describing its methodology for quantifying the overall utility risk of wildfire and Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS).</p> <p>6.2.2.1 Likelihood: The electrical corporation must discuss how it calculates the likelihood that its equipment through normal operation or failure will result in a catastrophic wildfire and the resulting likelihood of causing a PSPS.</p> <p>6.2.2.2 Consequence: The electrical corporation must discuss how it calculates the consequences of a fire originating from its equipment and the consequence of implementing a PSPS event in order to understand PG&E's appropriate calculations that ultimately result in the PPSB Risk Score, please provide the following, including via Excel file as applicable:</p> <p>a. Regarding PPSB Likelihood:</p> <p>1. Provide details on the inputs to the PPSB model, and calculation.</p> <p>a) In the LARF assessment (described in Figure 6-2.1) used to calculate likelihood of a PSPS event?</p> <p>b) The PPSB Likelihood section fully discusses applying current PSPS protocols against historical climatological data to inform the PPSB model, and refers to the WTRM data flow in Figure 6.2.2.5.</p> <p>c) Explain the current PPSB protocols, PFI and PPI models, and the WTRM data flow as required to produce the likelihood of a PSPS event.</p> <p>d) Explain the historical baseline used to quantify the likelihood of a PSPS event.</p> <p>2. Regarding PPSB Consequence:</p> <p>Provide details on the inputs to the PPSB-C model.</p> <p>a) Provide explanation of the PPSB Consequence schemata, Figure 6.2.1-3.</p> <p>b) Provide an explanation of PPSB Consequence Risk Score calculation.</p> <p>c) Describe the output of the PPSB likelihood (provide an example of 12-year customer distribution).</p> <p>d) How does Customer Classification & Weighting affect the result?</p> <p>e) Provide more detailed schematics similar to the CARE Process Sheet (Figure 6.2.2.5) to illustrate model flow.</p> <p>f) Please provide a PPSB Consequence section with a similar level of detail as the Wildlife Consequence section. Highlighting figures and tables for transparency (using common keys).</p>	Colin Lang	5/4/2023	5/16/2023	5/16/2023	0	N/A	6.2	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk Analysis Framework
368	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	007	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_007	1	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_007_01	<p>1) What types of covered conductor (list of conductor material, conductor, voltage rating of conductor - if PG&A can't provide data from a manufacturer, then provide the conductor) does PG&E use and does PG&E choose different types of covered conductor types over another?</p>	Henry Bernal	5/17/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	3	N/A	8.1.2.1	Grid Design and System Hardware	Covered Conductor Installation - Distribution
369	MGRA	Date Request No. 5	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 5	1	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 5_01	<p>These were delivered with an Excel spreadsheet containing outage IDs.</p> <p>These were delivered with an Outlook file containing the DOA tags that it is to be used to create a report of OI. Please provide the file and its name to OI-04 or as soon as possible.</p>	Joseph Michael	5/15/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	1	N/A	8.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
369	MGRA	Date Request No. 6	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 6	2	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 6_02	<p>Please add (or re-add) a sample "cascades" attribute to the outage file.</p>	Joseph Michael	5/15/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	0	N/A	8.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
370	MGRA	Date Request No. 8	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 8	3	MGRA_Data_Request_No. 8_03	<p>Lowering, please add a "cascades" attribute to the outage data in the GIS file issued in response to MGRA DR1. Alternatively, provide an Excel file in which "cascades" is cross-referenced to OutageID(s).</p>	Joseph Michael	5/15/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	0	N/A	8.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings

305	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_02	2	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_02	<p>a. Was the statement is classified broadly PSPS?</p> <p>b. The CPUC operates independently of PSPS and is based on different criteria and benchmarks designed to mitigate hazards and threats that can lead to loss of systems and fires under non-PSPS conditions. Does PG&E's 2023 WMP, Section 8.1.6 PSPS indicators of operational maturity, flexibility, and system resilience is based on what is intended to:</p> <p>Operational Maturity</p> <p>Developed procedures in the PSPS decision making process by reviewing information provided by our SMEs and determining when there is an imminent or significant risk of device areas impacting PG&E areas and a significant risk of large, destructive wildfires should ignition occur (see section 7.2.3 of PG&E's 2023 WMP)</p> <p>Calibration for weather forecasting and scoring capabilities by utilizing CalFire's Fire Probability model which employs granular scoring processes to help determine the public safety risk posed by the emerging smaller segments of the grid within the close confines of the fire critical weather footprint, rather than re-estimating larger amounts of weather.</p> <p>• Making extensive use of Advanced Notifications and outreach tools to notify impacted customers of the expected de-energization plan (see 4.2.4 of PG&E's 2023 WMP)</p> <p>• Using an extensive camera, weather station, and satellite weather monitoring network and on the ground personnel to collect real-time observations to inform and speed the identification of weather "At-Risk" times in more precise, smaller areas, to get customers back in service faster (see section 7.3.2.1 of PG&E's 2023 WMP)</p> <p>• Revising and increasing resources for restoration efforts, including use of helicopters and fleet wing arrivals to conduct low safety paths after the weather "At-Risk" warning service to safe lines as quickly as possible subject to operational safety and ability to access equipment for public and any needed repairs (see section 7.3.5 of PG&E's 2023 WMP)</p> <p>• Supporting vulnerable customers through California Foundation for Independent Living Centers (CILC) and Community Based Organizations (CBO) response</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-02-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-02-003 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-02-004	0	NA	8.1.2	Public Safety Power Shutoff	Identification of Frequently De-Energized Circuits
306	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_03	3	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_03	<p>SPRGE has less than the required number of personnel with required training for several categories in Table B-8: PG&E's Personnel Training Programs for Critical and PSPS Events. Other states related to staffing include for example, all staffing will complete training on time and seasons for all being completed in the timing of a required position. Why are there less than required values of personnel for completing the training?</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-03-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-03-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-03-003	0	NA	8.1.3	Grid Operations and Procedures	Personnel Work Procedures and Training in Conditions of Elevated Fire Risk
307	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_04	4	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_04	<p>SPRGE provides means to verify message receipt in Table B-9: PG&E's Protocols for Emergency Communication to Stakeholder Groups. How accurate is the receipt information with regard to sending messages are reaching intended recipients/aware to act in intended early actions (e.g., including, but not limited to, those that are being sent to a new number or persons no longer in the household)?</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-04-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-04-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-04-003	0	NA	8.4.1	Emergency Preparedness	Protocols for Emergency Communications
308	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_05	5	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_05	<p>SPRGE assesses notifications to AFNMB members. How does PG&E know that these notifications are received and that contact information is up to date?</p> <p>How does PG&E have a way to continuously verify that the contact information on file is current to help ensure such important notices are being received by the intended recipients?</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-05-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-05-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-05-003	0	NA	8.5	Community Outreach and Engagement	Engagement With Access and Functional Needs Populations
309	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_06	6	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_06	<p>SPRGE mentions pre-pandemic in-person engagement. Does PG&E have data comparing pre-pandemic engagement to pandemic limitations engagement efforts and among other things, attendance? For instance, are there metrics regarding non-APNMB and APNMB?</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-06-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-06-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-06-003	0	NA	8.5.1	Community Outreach and Engagement	Engagement With Access and Functional Needs Populations
400	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	009	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_07	7	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_009_07	<p>SPRGE states that if an AFN customer does not answer the door, the notification is considered successful if a door hanger is left. What relay technology is PG&E using that classifies a door hanger as a successful notification?</p>	Kevin Miller	6/20/2023	6/8/2023	6/7/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-07-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-07-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/06/20/2023-06-20-009-07-003	0	NA	8.5	Community Outreach and Engagement	Engagement With Access and Functional Needs Populations
372	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_01	1	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_01	<p>Regarding cost in PG&E's undergrounding grid hardening mitigation initiative projects, used in calculating cost-efficiency and project feasibility as described in the 2022-2023 WMP (p. 340 and p. 358), is data and looking forward?</p> <p>What was the average cost per circuit mile for undergrounding in 2022, 2021, and 2020, in the HFTD, non-HFTD, and battery-aided?</p> <p>What was the average cost per circuit mile expected in 2023, 2024, and 2025, in the HFTD, non-HFTD, and battery-aided?</p> <p>For non-battery-aided, explain expected average year-over-year cost changes.</p>	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-01-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-01-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-01-003	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
373	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_02	2	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_02	<p>How does the utility's cost estimate breakdown for undergrounding per mile. Provide the cost estimate in a commonly used cost-estimating format (e.g., Uniform). If the utility uses a different format, provide internal documentation on that format so SPD can understand the cost estimate.</p>	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-02-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-02-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-02-003	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
374	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_03	3	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_03	<p>How is PG&E recognizing subsurface variability (e.g., encountering hard rock, slope, or other conditions) providing significant, physical obstacles into undergrounding cost calculations? Provide an example.</p>	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-03-001 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-03-002 https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/info/about/communications/updates/2023/05/15/2023-05-15-005-03-003	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution

375	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_4	4	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_4	RCOG has stated that CalTrans bench depth requirements exceeded PG&E bench depth requirements. How has PG&E managed and planned? For planning purposes, what percentages of additional underground circuit miles will be impacted by the CalTrans bench depth requirements for 2023-2025?	PG&E has not made changes to our per mile cost forecasts related to CalTrans bench depth requirements. Planning for CalTrans bench requirements is incorporated into individual project design packages. Of the approximately 2,700 circuit miles planned in the 2023-2025 Underground Planning (UGP) with the 2023-2025 WMP, 204 circuit miles are on projects where PG&E has determined that the CalTrans bench depth requirements will have to be applied. Currently, this makes up less than 8% of the underground circuit miles planned in our WMP. Engineers incorporate CalTrans bench depth requirements into the individual projects during the project design phase. The cost and planning impacts of the CalTrans requirements is based on those standards subject to the details of individual projects.	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
376	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_5	5	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_5	How does service the impact cost calculation?	PG&E's underground forecasts represent the capital costs to construct projects. Service is not considered in these calculations, but is expected to be longer than overhead lines. PG&E also expects that by undergrounding distribution lines, there will be long-term costs for operation and maintenance, vegetation management, and other related considerations.	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
377	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_6	6	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_6	What is the estimated multiplier for conversion from overhead (OH) line to underground (UG) line (e.g., 1.25 Miles converts to 1.50 Miles UG)? How was the conversion factor derived? How was it established as the accepted average for project planning purposes?	a. The original published conversion of overhead to underground mileage (1.25) was based on historical experience. In April 2022, PG&E conducted a review of 19 projects completed in 2022 to validate this estimate. In these 19 projects, we averaged approximately 1.27 overhead miles and returned 16.13 underground miles based on this subset of data, which is generally consistent with the estimated multiplier of 1.25. Please also see response to 2023 WMP Division TURM (01-001 - subject 01). b. This multiplier is based on: i. In 2019, PG&E completed two pilot projects to convert overhead primary conductor to underground primary conductor. The total all-in cost per mile for each pilot project is noted in the below table. Project Order # 20220718-2056880 Total Link Cost Per Mile (in \$MM): \$2,115.49 ii. PG&E breaks down actual costs slightly differently than the format suggested by SPD in the question. For undergrounding at the project level PG&E uses a format agreed on by partnership with other C&As. The following components contribute to the total: 1. Labor (internal) 2. Materials 3. Contractor (contract, corporate, etc.) 4. Other 5. Financing Costs The costs for each of the two pilot projects by cost component are shown in the below table. Project Order # 20220718-2056880 Cost Component Labor (internal) \$24,336.70 \$312,187.82 Materials \$84,638.84 \$1,504.57 Contractor \$508,081.67 \$81,087.68 Finance \$75,611.73 \$633,707.10 Other \$44,967.19 \$27,863.32 Financing \$16,170.82 Total Cost \$924,843.83 \$1,876,174.70 Undergrounded Miles 6,452.80 Total Link Cost Per Mile (in \$MM): \$2,115.49	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
378	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_07	7	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_07	7. On pilot projects completed to date: a. What is the total all-in cost per mile? b. What is the breakdown of project costs per mile? SPD expects to see the following components inside of the cost, although SPD understands they may not be broken down in the exact format: (Supporting i.e., primary line, secondary line, etc. as noted above) (Capacity i.e., labor, materials, other costs) (Design i.e., labor, materials, other costs) (Distribution i.e., permits, construction, long-term materials) (Construction i.e., design, construction, electric conductor) (Other) (e.g., direct payments to homeowners as homeowners may complete work such as landscaping or road repair)	PG&E has not made changes to our per mile cost forecasts related to CalTrans bench depth requirements. Planning for CalTrans bench requirements is incorporated into individual project design packages. Of the approximately 2,700 circuit miles planned in the 2023-2025 Underground Planning (UGP) with the 2023-2025 WMP, 204 circuit miles are on projects where PG&E has determined that the CalTrans bench depth requirements will have to be applied. Currently, this makes up less than 8% of the underground circuit miles planned in our WMP. Engineers incorporate CalTrans bench depth requirements into the individual projects during the project design phase. The cost and planning impacts of the CalTrans requirements is based on those standards subject to the details of individual projects.	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
379	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_08	8	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_08	8. Please provide WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, used to address TURM Data Request 7, Question 1, discussing TSC calculation for system hardening.	Please see "WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx."	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	1	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
380	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	005	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_09	9	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_005_09	9. On page 15 of the 2023-2025 WMP, PG&E states that the WDOM of ignition sources is "PG&E's historical ignition data, 2015-2021 (approximately 1,200 CPUC-reportable ignitions and approximately 1,000 non-reportable ignitions)." a. Describe how PG&E is using the ~1,900 non-CPUC-reportable ignitions in its risk modeling. b. Provide the ~1,900 non-CPUC-reportable ignition data as an spreadsheet in format similar to the existing CPUC-reportable ignitions data (see CPUC SPD, PG&E, 2023, UG& and OH/UG and Wildlife Safety Program, under the Ignition Data).	a. The PG&E historical ignitions data described on page 15 of PG&E's WMP is used as the starting data for the probability of ignition model portion of the WDOM v.4. For applicable data, PG&E has provided a report attached to each load forecast. b. The approximately 1,900 non-CPUC-reportable ignitions used in the development of the WDOM v.4 is a "WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx" file. This information has been aligned with the format used for the CPUC-reportable ignitions, to increase clarity, not all data is available for these additional non-reportable ignitions. c. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. d) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. e. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Kevin Miller	5/15/2023	6/12/2023	6/12/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	6.2.1	Risk Methodology and Assessment	Risk and Risk Component Identification
405	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_01	1	CaPA_Set WMP-26_01	a) Please describe your general process or strategy for developing load forecasts. b) Do you have a written process or procedure for developing load forecasts? c) If the answer to (a) is "yes", provide a copy. d) If the answer to (b) is "no", explain why not.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	2	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
406	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_02	2	CaPA_Set WMP-26_02	a) Do you consider load growth projections when you determine which system hardening measures to deploy for wildfire mitigation purposes? b) If the answer to (a) is "yes", explain how load growth impacts your mitigation selection process. c) If the answer to (a) is "no", explain why not.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
407	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_03	3	CaPA_Set WMP-26_03	a) When you plan system hardening projects for wildfire mitigation purposes, do you design projects to accommodate forecasted load growth? b) If yes, what degree of load growth do you design for? c) Describe your process for incorporating forecasted load growth into the design of system hardening projects (for instance, which scenarios of possible load growth are considered).	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
408	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_04	4	CaPA_Set WMP-26_04	a) In a typical bare conductor to covered conductor conversion project, is the intention to maintain, increase, or decrease the load capacity at peak operating temperatures? b) Explain the reasoning for your response to (a).	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
409	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_05	5	CaPA_Set WMP-26_05	a) Are all new covered conductor installation projects designed to accommodate loads greater than current covered capacity for the same span? b) If the answer to (a) is "yes", explain how. c) If the answer to (a) is "no", explain why not.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
410	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_06	6	CaPA_Set WMP-26_06	a) Are all overhead to underground conductor conversion projects designed to accommodate loads greater than current capacity for the same span? b) If the answer to (a) is "yes", explain how. c) If the answer to (a) is "no", explain why not.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
411	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_07	7	CaPA_Set WMP-26_07	Describe the challenges or advantages entailed in increasing load capacity on a circuit that has previously been hardened with covered conductor.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
412	CaPA	Set WMP-26	CaPA_Set WMP-26_08	8	CaPA_Set WMP-26_08	Describe the challenges or advantages entailed in increasing load capacity on a circuit that has previously been hardened with underground conductor.	a. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7. b. PG&E has provided a description of the Distribution Planning Process. This document was submitted as part of the 2023 GRC Phase 1 Call of Service, Testimony as Chapter 4, Distribution Expansion Planning Process and Proposed Costs. Part 2 of the document includes a description regarding load forecasts. In this, PG&E has a section for producing annual distribution load forecasts. f) Please see WMP/Division2023_DR_TURM_007-001-14444-CONF.xlsx, under the Distribution Planning Process, Section 7.	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/10/2023	8/10/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal_global/common/pdfs/ugp-planning-report-2023-2025-wmp-204-circuit-miles-impacted-by-caltrans-bench-depth-requirements.pdf	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Underground of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution

433	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	12	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_012	<p>RN-PSAE-23-04 PSAE states that isolation zone is "similar to a circuit protection zone" (footnote 16 on page 52). a) Define "isolation zone". b) In an isolation zone, an isolation device is a circuit protection device. c) If the answer to part (a) is no, describe the difference.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.8	GND Operations and Procedures	NA
435	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	14	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_014	<p>RN-PSAE-23-04 Table RN-PSAE-23-04 on page 59 of PS&E's response estimates PS&E created 73,000 level two tags in 2023, 14,000 level two tags in 2024, and 10,300 level two tags in 2025. a) Break the bars in the redacted number of level two PS&E responses being created in 2024 and 2025 compared to 2023.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.8	GND Operations and Procedures	NA
436	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	15	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_015	<p>RN-PSAE-23-04 Page 61 of PS&E's response states, "For example, we have found certain splices (e.g., splices within her hot lead of an insulator, and number of splices per span) do not pass an increased risk of ignition. Instead of issuing a non-priority risk maintenance tag, the splices are briefly addressed by the asset management team as they are a potential indicator of a holistic asset health issue." a) Describe how the asset management team will track splices if a maintenance tag is not issued. b) Describe the circumstances under which PS&E would repair splices that do not pass an ignition risk, and how often does not have a maintenance tag. c) How does PS&E's asset management team use splices as an indicator of "holistic asset health" and under what circumstances does the asset management team take action based on the indicator?</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.8	GND Operations and Procedures	NA
437	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	16	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_016	<p>RN-PSAE-23-05 Page 68 of PS&E's response states, "There are 79 circuit segments that are not included in an underground plan and have not been hardened." PS&E chose to add different circuit segments to the portfolio that could be undergrounded more efficiently. PS&E manages utilities risk on these 79 circuit segments. a) Has PS&E considered overhead hardening on the 79 circuit segments described in this section? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, why did PS&E not do overhead hardening as a mitigation for these 79 circuit segments? c) If the answer to part (a) is no, explain why not.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment
438	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	17	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_017	<p>RN-PSAE-23-05 Table RN-PSAE-23-05 on page 72 of PS&E's response compares the mileage in the top 20% of WFE, the top 20% of WORM (A), and the top 20% of WORM (B). a) Is an understanding from PS&E's response to ACI PS&E-22-04 in its 2023-2025 WMP that the top of circuit segments ranked by WFE is based on the risk score from WORM (A) and the healthiness score of undergrounding? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, how does the WORM (A) risk score appear in the numerator and the healthiness of undergrounding appears in the denominator? c) Does the list of circuit segments ranked by WFE represent risk scores from WORM (A) if yes, describe how. d) Additional comments were submitted to PS&E about the WORM (A) model results.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment
439	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	18	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_018	<p>RN-PSAE-23-05 Page 72 of PS&E's response states, "Based on our further evaluation, the preliminary updated mitigation effectiveness for undergrounding, considering the residual risk from secondary and service lines, is approximately 87.7 percent compared to the 89 percent." a) Describe how PS&E calculated the effectiveness of 87.7 percent. b) Provide supporting data and worksheets for your response to part (a).</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	1	NA	8.2.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Vegetation Management Inspections
440	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	19	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_019	<p>RN-PSAE-23-07 Page 103 of PS&E's response states, "The TAT was developed to fit the scope of the EVM program. While the development of EVM goals has decided to decrease the scope of the TAT, it will be revised based on industry expert assessments using the TRAQ tool." a) Does this, beginning of 2024, the scope of FTI will be similar to the scope of EVM (approximately 1,800 miles), please explain why the TAT is not appropriate for the scope of FTI. b) Describe the ways in which the TAT and TRAQ form are similar. c) Describe the ways in which the TAT and TRAQ form are different.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	2	NA	8.2.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Vegetation Management Inspections
441	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	20	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_020	<p>RN-PSAE-23-07 Page 104 of PS&E's response states, "Given that we began working with the ISA TRAQ in 2023, data does not exist for the comparison of effectiveness differences between the TRAQ and the TAT." a) Does PS&E plan to perform a study or analysis to compare the effectiveness of the TAT and the ISA TRAQ? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please describe the study PS&E plans to perform, and the data PS&E plans to compare the data? c) If the answer to part (a) is no, please explain why not.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.2.2	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Vegetation Management Inspections
434	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	13	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_013	<p>RN-PSAE-23-04 Page 52 of PS&E's response states, "Inspectors can also recommend that modification be cancelled if they believe it was created in error or if it was already completed." a) Describe the procedure by which an inspector performing a field safety assessment can recommend a modification be cancelled. b) If an inspector recommends a field safety assessment recommendation that a modification be cancelled, do any additional checks or verifications take place prior to cancelling the modification? c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, describe what checks or verifications. d) If the answer to part (b) is no, explain why not.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/10/2023	8/15/2023	8/15/2023	0	NA	8.1.8	GND Operations and Procedures	NA
413	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	9	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_009	<p>Provide a list of all circuits in your system. For each circuit, provide: a) Peak load in Amps observed since January 1, 2014. b) Circuit Capacity in Amps.</p>	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/17/2023	8/17/2023	1	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution
414	CAPA	Sat WMP-28	CaPA_Sat WMP-28	10	CaPA_Sat WMP-28_010	<p>Provide updated GIS layers of primary distribution, secondary distribution, and transmission lines, with the following attributes: a) Circuit ID Number b) Peak load in Amps observed since January 1, 2014. c) Circuit Capacity in Amps.</p>	Holly Whitman	7/27/2023	8/17/2023	8/17/2023	1	NA	8.1.2.2	Circuit Design and System Hardening	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution

415	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_01	1	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_01	<p>The article states the following: The California utility company PG&E spent about \$2.5 billion on a yearlong effort aimed at reducing wildfire risk by cutting or clearing more than a million trees growing alongside power lines. 3 I now say that work was largely ineffective and is undermining the program, according to an internal analysis reviewed by The Wall Street Journal and interviews with utility executives.</p> <p>a) Did PG&E provide an internal analysis to the Wall Street Journal as described in the article? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please provide a copy of the internal analysis described in the article. c) If the answer to part (a) is no, please state when PG&E provided a copy of the internal analysis to the Wall Street Journal. d) If the answer to part (a) is no, please provide a copy of the internal analysis described in the article.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	1	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
416	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_02	2	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_02	<p>The article states the following: The California utility company PG&E spent about \$2.5 billion on a yearlong effort aimed at reducing wildfire risk by cutting or clearing more than a million trees growing alongside power lines. I now say that work was largely ineffective and is undermining the program, according to an internal analysis reviewed by The Wall Street Journal and interviews with utility executives.</p> <p>a) Please list the utility executives who were interviewed by The Wall Street Journal as described in the article. b) For each executive listed in part (a), please provide the date or dates the interview occurred. c) For each executive listed in part (a), please provide transcripts of the interview, if available.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	1	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
417	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_03	3	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_03	<p>The article states the following: PG&E now says that work was largely ineffective and is undermining the program, according to an internal analysis reviewed by The Wall Street Journal and interviews with utility executives.</p> <p>a) Please explain what is meant by the italicized quoted above that the work described in the article was "largely ineffective." b) Please explain "largely ineffective."</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	0	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
418	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_04	4	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_04	<p>The article states the following: The California utility giant says the program, which involved creating wide areas between live wires and potentially hazardous trees, resulted in a 1% reduction in ignition events during periods when the risk was highest, typically in autumn, according to the company's internal analysis. Measured across a full year, the work resulted in a 7% reduction in ignitions.</p> <p>a) Please provide the analysis and data to support the 1% reduction in ignitions during periods when fire risk was highest. b) Please provide the analysis and data to support the 7% reduction in ignitions across a full year.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	2	NA	8.2.2.2.5	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Focused Tree Inspections
419	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_05	5	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_05	<p>It requires to date request California/PG&E-20230817-14, question 6, on April 17, 2023. PG&E stated that it expected to complete the Substation Annual Abatement Effectiveness Study by July 18, 2023.</p> <p>a) Has PG&E completed the Substation Annual Abatement Effectiveness Study? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please provide a copy of any reports or other output from the Substation Annual Abatement Effectiveness Study. c) If the answer to part (a) is no, please state when PG&E currently expects to complete the Substation Annual Abatement Effectiveness Study.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	0	NA	8.1.12.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Other Technologies and Systems - Substation Annual Abatement
420	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_06	6	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_06	<p>It requires to date request TRN/PG&E-3, question 2, on April 10, 2023. PG&E asked the following: Additionally, we are in the process of finalizing study that is planned to be completed by June 30, 2023. This study will assess the economic reliability improvements to locations that have been undergrounded and have been hardened with conductor coating.</p> <p>a) Has PG&E completed the study described above? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please provide a copy of any reports or other output from the study described above. c) If the answer to part (a) is no, please state when PG&E currently expects to complete the study described above.</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
421	CaPA	Sat WMP-27	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_07	7	CaPa_Sat WMP-27_07	<p>Please provide a copy of PG&E's 2022 Annual Electric Reliability Report. This should be similar to the documents provided to TRN in response to TRN/PG&E-2, question 1, on April 10, 2023.</p> <p>Please see "WMP-Disclosure2022_DR_California_027-0007401.pdf" for a copy of the 2022 Annual Electric Reliability Report.</p> <p>Please see the table below for responses to subparts (i) and (ii): P&I Map Consequence Rank/ HFTD Tier Low Medium High Severe Extreme Tier 1 238,988 55,645 37,621 4,256 480 Tier 2 134,899 33,724 28,889 2,349 809</p>	Holly Whitman	8/4/2023	8/16/2023	8/16/2023	1	NA	NA	NA	NA
422	OEBIS	011	OEBIS_011	1	OEBIS_011_01	<p>Regarding distribution detailed ground inspections On page 464 of revised WMP, PG&E states that it will shift from inspecting all HFTD tier 3 distribution assets annually and tier 2 assets every three years, to inspecting tier 2 assets and tier 3 assets annually and tier 1 assets every two years. Please provide the number of assets/structures (using the same asset/structure definition as WMP 8.1.3.3.3, page 465) located in HFTD tier 3. Please provide the number of assets/structures (using the same asset/structure definition as WMP 8.1.3.3.3, page 465) located in HFTD tier 2.</p>	Delecia Smith	8/16/2023	8/23/2023	8/23/2023	0	NA	8.1.3.2.1	Asset Inspections	Detailed Ground Inspection
443	OEBIS	011	OEBIS_011	2	OEBIS_011_02	<p>Regarding PG&E's Grid Design and Maintenance Quality Control In its Revision Notice Response, PG&E states that it is "working to integrate QC with [its] execution processes. This approach will create real-time learnings to coach and guide workers..." and that minimum sample sizes and pass rate targets would be "PG&E's flexibility." (Page 35)</p> <p>1. Describe the approach, including the similarities and differences from the current and previous approach to QC. 2. Provide the estimated sample size for this approach. These sample sizes may differ from physical assets. 3. Describe the pass rate for QC (i.e., the criteria for when and where PG&E performs QC). 4. Describe any performance metrics PG&E has developed related to the approach and any targets for performance for 2023-2025. 5. Explain why PG&E can provide year-to-date pass rate results for its QC program (Table RN-PG&E-23-02-1) but not pass rate targets for the 2023-2025 WMP cycle.</p>	Delecia Smith	8/16/2023	8/23/2023	8/23/2023	0	NA	8.1.6	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	NA
444	OEBIS	011	OEBIS_011	3	OEBIS_011_03	<p>Regarding PG&E's Vegetation Management Quality Control In its Revision Notice Response, PG&E states that it is "working to integrate QC with [its] execution processes. This approach will create real-time learnings to coach and guide workers..." and that minimum sample sizes and pass rate targets would be "PG&E's flexibility." (Page 35)</p> <p>1. Describe the approach, including the similarities and differences from the current and previous approach to QC. 2. Provide the estimated sample size for this approach. These sample sizes may differ from physical assets. 3. Describe the pass rate for QC (i.e., the criteria for when and where PG&E performs QC). 4. Describe any performance metrics PG&E has developed related to the approach and any targets for performance for 2023-2025. 5. Explain why PG&E can provide year-to-date pass rate results for its QC program (Table RN-PG&E-23-02-1) but not pass rate targets for the 2023-2025 WMP cycle.</p>	Delecia Smith	8/16/2023	8/23/2023	8/23/2023	0	NA	8.1.6	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	NA

451	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	2	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_02	<p>PG&E's response to Data Request No. Cal Advertiser_028-0001's on August 15, 2023, states "QC is integrating with execution processes by completing QC on an alternate basis than has been historically associated, allowing for greater opportunities for re-bearing inspection, sharing warnings, and making corrections, as necessary."</p> <p>What was the minimum, maximum and average QC completion timeline for detailed ground distribution inspections in 2022?</p> <p>What was the minimum, maximum and average QC completion timeline for detailed ground distribution inspections in 2021?</p> <p>What was the minimum, maximum and average QC completion timeline for detailed ground distribution inspections in 2020?</p> <p>What was the expected target minimum, maximum, and average QC completion timelines for detailed ground distribution inspections after integration with execution processes?</p>	<p>a) Please see attachment "WMP-Discussion023_08_CalAdvertiser_028-0001007" for the requested information.</p> <p>b) PG&E continues to be committed to moving our QC program closer to the execution but does not have requirements in place to provide the additional details that need to be finalized to complete the process. PG&E has implemented new QC logging - as described in the September 27, 2023 WMP implementation log - to help demonstrate our progress in this area and commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	1	NA	8.1.8	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	NA
452	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	3	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_03	<p>PG&E's response to Data Request No. Cal Advertiser_028-0001's on August 15, 2023, states "QC is integrating with execution processes by completing QC on an alternate basis than has been historically associated, allowing for greater opportunities for re-bearing inspection, sharing warnings, and making corrections, as necessary."</p> <p>Does PG&E have an internal standard for the minimum amount of time between a detailed ground distribution inspection and subsequent QC?</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is yes, please provide any procedures, handbooks, checklists, or job aids that define the amount of time between a detailed ground distribution inspection and subsequent QC under PG&E's current QC process.</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is no, how does PG&E determine when to perform QC following a detailed ground distribution inspection?</p>	<p>a) There is no internal requirement/standard for the minimum amount of time between a detailed ground distribution inspection and subsequent QC.</p> <p>b) Not applicable.</p> <p>c) PG&E determines when to perform QC following a detailed ground distribution inspection according to the applicable sampling process within the SIOC procedure. This typically occurs within 14 days but could be sooner or later depending on field conditions, business need, and sampling methodology, but similar to our response to subject (a), there is no requirement/standard for timing of sampling.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	8.1.8	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	NA
453	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	4	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_04	<p>Page 63 of PG&E's response states, "For example, we have found certain splices (e.g., splices within less feet of insulator, and number of splices per span) do not pose an increased risk of ignition. Instead of issuing a non-ignition risk maintenance tag, the splices are better addressed by the asset management team as they are a non-ignition risk."</p> <p>PG&E's 2022 Electric Asset Management Plan (EAMP) Electric Distribution Overhead Assets (referred to as EAMP) provides in response to Data Request No. CIESO/Cal Advertiser/PG&E/Down Power Lines, question 1, on June 29, 2022, "through a risk-based approach, the presence of splices and the likelihood of wires down for small conductors (4 ACSSR, 4 Cu, 6 Cu). See slides 12-14 of the AMP."</p> <p>Has PG&E performed a study on the correlation between the presence of splices and the likelihood of wires down for larger conductors (span)? If yes, please provide the results of the study.</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is no, does PG&E plan to perform such a study? If yes, please provide the approximate date the study will be completed.</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is no, please explain why.</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is yes, please explain why.</p> <p>How did PG&E come to the conclusion that splices within less feet of an insulator did not pose an increased risk of ignition?</p> <p>How did PG&E come to the conclusion that the number of splices per span did not pose an increased risk of ignition?</p> <p>Please provide any studies, analyses, or reports to support your response to part (e).</p> <p>If not applicable, please see the response to subject (b) above.</p> <p>PG&E's response quoted above refers to "certain splices" and raises two examples. Are there other types of splices that PG&E has concluded "do not pose an increased risk of ignition"?</p> <p>If the answer to part (h) is yes, please list all such types of splices.</p>	<p>Please note the attachments to the response contain confidential material.</p> <p>a) PG&E has not performed a formal study on the correlation between the presence of splices and the likelihood of wires down for larger conductors (span).</p> <p>b) The current wire down database tracks conductor attributes for wire down incidents caused due to a conductor equipment failure or a communication failure. The database does not include the status of splices.</p> <p>c) Analysis of the database risk shows that presence of splices is one of the contributing factors for likelihood of equipment failure wire down. Furthermore, data shows that there is a higher failure rate of smaller wire conductors (4 ACSSR, 4 Cu, 6 Cu) at locations with overlapping conflicting conditions: corrosion areas, splice presence, and thermal aging associated (TA). Therefore, these asset health attributes are useful in assessing the holistic asset health of conductor segments.</p> <p>d) This dataset also shows that the wire down equipment failure rate per year for small conductors is 0.008 WDM/year compared to 0.004 WDM/year for larger conductors (data as of September 2023). Small conductor failure rate is 2 to 3 times the larger conductor. Over the 5 years approximately 87% (data as of September 2023) of the failed conductors are small wire conductors. Therefore, given the significantly higher rate of failure of small wire conductors, PG&E is currently applying and prioritizing replacement of small wire conductors through protective replacement program.</p> <p>e) PG&E is currently establishing an Integrated Grid Planning program that assesses the holistic condition of all conductor segment in four categories: wildfire risk, capacity constraint, asset health, and reliability. Any part of the IGP process we are establishing an asset health risk score for all conductor segments (smaller conductors and larger conductors).</p> <p>f) Not applicable, please see the response to subject (b) above.</p> <p>g) In 2023, PG&E completed an analysis of effects of splice location on distribution circuits. The objective of the project was to evaluate the effects of splice proximity to dead ends and insulators, specifically due to weather-related and age development cycles from wire aging. The testing was performed for compression splices with ACSSR, ACSR, and 6 Cu copper conductors. Splice location investigation included 8 inches to 14 inches from the splice to the physical loading and monitoring points that define dead end and cut-in or increased tension. The results showed that the presence of splices near dead ends and cut-in or increased tension did not increase the frequency of wire down incidents.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	1	NA	NA	NA	NA
454	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	5	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_05	<p>Please provide a copy of PG&E's 2022 Electric Asset Management Plan for Electric Distribution Overhead Assets (EAMP). If not available, please provide the date it becomes available.</p> <p>Please provide a copy of PG&E's 2023 Electric Asset Management Plan for Electric Distribution Overhead Assets (EAMP). If not available, please provide the date it becomes available.</p> <p>Page 107 of PG&E's response states, "Detection of partial voltage conditions allows Control Center Operators to respond faster to locations where equipment may be in a condition that increases wildfire risk. This technology helps PG&E detect and locate a wire down condition within minutes that may reduce the amount of time a line is energized while down before it can be repaired and allow that operators to identify non-weather-related systems more quickly if they occur."</p> <p>Has PG&E performed a study to determine whether detection of partial voltage conditions has reduced the amount of time a line is energized while down? Please provide the results of the study if yes.</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is no, does PG&E plan to perform such a study? Please provide the approximate date the study will be completed.</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is no, please explain why.</p> <p>Since January 2022, how many wire down events has PG&E experienced in its HTD/HFRA areas on lines that have partial voltage detection enabled?</p> <p>Of the events in part (c), what was the average time the lines remained energized while down?</p>	<p>a) PG&E's 2022 Electric Asset Management Plan (EAMP) has not published due to internal operational changes and approvals. As a result, PG&E did not plan to publish the 2022 EAMP and will reinitiate publication by the end of 2023.</p> <p>b) PG&E's 2023 EAMP has not yet been provided. We anticipate publication by the end of 2023.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	NA	NA	NA
455	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	6	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_06	<p>Page 107 of PG&E's response states, "Detection of partial voltage conditions allows Control Center Operators to respond faster to locations where equipment may be in a condition that increases wildfire risk. This technology helps PG&E detect and locate a wire down condition within minutes that may reduce the amount of time a line is energized while down before it can be repaired and allow that operators to identify non-weather-related systems more quickly if they occur."</p> <p>Has PG&E performed a study to determine whether detection of partial voltage conditions has reduced the amount of time a line is energized while down? Please provide the results of the study if yes.</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is no, does PG&E plan to perform such a study? Please provide the approximate date the study will be completed.</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is no, please explain why.</p> <p>Since January 2022, how many wire down events has PG&E experienced in its HTD/HFRA areas on lines that have partial voltage detection enabled?</p> <p>Of the events in part (c), what was the average time the lines remained energized while down?</p>	<p>a) The Partial Voltage Force Out protocol has been utilized for a short time, having been operational since PG&E control centers in 2022. No formal study has been conducted to determine whether detection of partial voltage conditions has reduced the amount of time a line is energized while down.</p> <p>b) We will evaluate the history of response to wire down conditions in the HFRA/HTD, occurring during the traditional peak wildfire season of May 1 and November 1, going back to 2020. We can complete that analysis by December 31, 2023.</p> <p>c) See (a) and (b). Data for wire down conditions in the HFRA/HTD will be included as part of the formal study. While EPSS protection settings have been analyzed, Distribution Control Center operators installed a Partial Voltage Force Out 30 lines in 2022 and 17 more, through September 25, 2023.</p> <p>d) The average response time to a control center operator to initiate a PVPF was 11 minutes in 2022 and 14 minutes on average, year to date in 2023.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	8.2.4	Vegetation Management and Inspections	Fauna Mitigation
456	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	7	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_07	<p>Page 107 of PG&E's reply comments filed on September 1, 2023, state, "EPSS generally does not create outage events that would not have otherwise occurred. EPSS settings enable a line to be more quickly than standard settings, but EPSS settings do not increase the number of outage events on the line."</p> <p>These data are the basis for the above claim that EPSS generally does not create outage events that would not have otherwise occurred.</p> <p>Please provide any supporting studies, analyses, reports, or other documentation to support your response to part (a).</p>	<p>a) To achieve EPSS ignition reduction benefit, EPSS protection settings are designed to provide: (1) faster fault detection and clearing within 100ms; (2) reduced fuse single-phase operation; and (3) higher impedance fault detection. Accordingly, by definition our EPSS device protection settings must overreach smaller installed zones on our circuits (such as fused feed lines) and detect faults beyond fuses and de-energize all three phases within 100ms when a fault is detected, such as a wire or branch connection, in contact with the line.</p> <p>b) While EPSS active outages that would otherwise occur normally are isolated on smaller areas within our system (e.g., such as fused tap outage) may result in higher rates of circuit-level outages impacting a greater number of customers across a larger geographic area but not necessarily resulting in an increase in the number of outage events. Accordingly, these outages generally occur under normal operating conditions but are electrically isolated to smaller portions of our system. In a small number of instances, we have experienced "hotspot" outages related to switching activities associated with planned work. In these instances, we have proactively worked with our existing staff and restoration procedures to expedite the restoration of those outages.</p> <p>c) The number of outages in the HFRA from May to October decreased significantly from 2021 to 2022. Additionally, the number of outages in the HFRA during the same time period was only slightly higher in 2022 (8,140 outage events) than in 2020 (8,120 outage events) before EPSS was enabled.</p> <p>d) Please see the graphic below showing how specific fused tap that, when EPSS settings are enabled and a fault occurs downstream of either of the fuses, the system would de-energize the LMS have an impact to limiting the energizing to the respective fuses.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	8.1.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
457	CaPA	Sat WMP-29	CaPA_Sat WMP-29	8	CaPA_Sat WMP-29_08	<p>Page 2 of PG&E's reply comments filed on September 1, 2023, states, "The number of outages in the HFRA from May to October decreased significantly from 2021 to 2022. Additionally, the number of outages in the HFRA during the same time period was only slightly higher in 2022 (8,140 outage events) than in 2020 (8,120 outage events) before EPSS was enabled."</p> <p>Has PG&E's quarterly data reports, PG&E generally experienced fewer RP-W or cut-out days in 2022 than in 2020?</p> <p>2020: 021 021 021 04-01-02-03-04 Red Flag Warning occurred circuit mile days - HFDT bar 2 14,708 16,126 105,188.00 38,182.774 0 Red Flag Warning occurred circuit mile days - HFDT bar 3 0.246 29.214 68,204.000 8,359,749.0</p> <p>Has PG&E performed a study to compare the weather-normalized number of outages in 2020, 2021, and 2022 to determine changes in the weather-normalized outage count across the three years? This may include, for example, normalizing the number of outages by RP-W days, high wind days, high temperature days, or some other metric or set of metrics.</p> <p>If the answer to part (a) is yes, please explain how PG&E normalized the outage counts by weather.</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is no, please explain why not.</p> <p>Q01: Registering Section 6.1.1 risk score calculations.</p>	<p>a) No, PG&E has not performed a study regarding weather-normalized HFRA outage counts in 2020, 2021, and 2022 relative to EPSS Reliability Mitigation program.</p> <p>b) Not applicable, please see the response to subject (a) above.</p> <p>c) PG&E has been using the method and the method of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standard 1369 (IEEE 1369) of excluding major event days. This has been PG&E's method of excluding outage events that occur on very extreme days, such as very high temperature days, significant storm days, etc. This methodology is the industry standard practice for identifying trends in reliability metrics.</p>	Holly Whitman	9/7/2023	9/27/2023	9/27/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/27/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	7.2.1	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Overview of Mitigation Initiatives and Activities
458	OEIS	013	OEIS_013	1	OEIS_013_01	<p>It is unclear from statements in the revised 2023-2025 WMP (printed 8/7) whether PG&E uses probability distributions or maximum values in its risk score calculations--likelihood (CuRE) multiplied by consequences (CuRE). On pages 173-174 (last) of PG&E discussion how a classifier system is used to calculate mean (average) MAVs for joint which are being aggregated to a risk score.</p> <p>These calculations of the risk consequences are calculated in section 8 appears inconsistent with Table 8.2.1 on page 168 (last) of the table below maximum impact from Technovision simulation is used to calculate consequence components and the maximum buildings impact from Technovision simulation is used to calculate consequence components.</p> <p>To address this data request:</p> <p>1. Please indicate whether the consequence component of PG&E's risk score calculations (CuRE) uses averages or maximum values.</p> <p>2. If PG&E uses maximum values in the consequence component of its risk score calculations, please indicate what maximum values it uses and whether its maximum values are used instead of averages.</p>	<p>a) As indicated on page 173 of the Second Revised 2023-2025 WMP, the wildfire consequences used in the Wildfire Distribution Risk Model (WDRM) utilize mean (average) MAV, CuRE, values, which are based on historical data. The WDRM provides an annual wildfire risk value, and, as such, utilizes mean (average) values to represent the wildfire risk over the period.</p> <p>b) The safety and wildfire consequences values described in Table 8.2.1 on page 168 of the Second Revised 2023-2025 WMP are for the PFRS (that Scenario 1) quantify the risk and benefits associated with reducing or not reducing a PFRS (that Scenario 2) wildfire risk conditions. As described on page 167, the resulting consequences are to estimate the consequences of wildfire risk and PFRS risk during the high wildfire risk conditions (assuming a PFRS event). To better represent from low-frequency/high-consequence conditions, the maximum values for safety and wildfire consequences are used.</p>	Debra Smith	9/8/2023	9/13/2023	9/13/2023	https://www.pge.com/legal/attestations/attestation_documents/2023/09/13/2023%20WMP%20Implementation%20Log.pdf	0	NA	6.1.1.1	Risk Score Calculations	NA

474	CAIPA	Set WMP-31	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_02	2	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_02	The following questions pertain to PG&E's 2023-2025 WMP Revision 3, submitted on September 27, 2023. Section 8.1.7 - Open Work Orders. On page 530 of your 2023-2025 WMP R3, PG&E provided a table (Table 8-6-1) showing the total number of past due transmission asset work orders by age and HFTD tier. Please provide a similar table for past due distribution asset work orders by age and HFTD tier, as of September 30, 2023. Number of Past Due Distribution Asset Work Orders Categorized by Age Through September 30, 2023 HFTD Area 0 - 30 Days 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 180 Days Non - HFTD HFTD Tier 2 HFTD Tier 3	Please see the table below for the requested information. Number of Past Due Distribution Asset Work Orders Categorized by Age Through September 30, 2023 HFTD Area 0 - 30 Days 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 180 Days HFTD Tier 2 HFTD Tier 3 HFTD Tier 3	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	10/26/2023	10/26/2023	0	N/A	8.1.7	Open Work Orders	NA	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
475	CAIPA	Set WMP-31	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_03	3	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_03	The following questions pertain to PG&E's 2023-2025 WMP Revision 3, submitted on September 27, 2023. Section 8.1.7 - Open Work Orders. On page 557 of your 2023-2025 WMP R3, PG&E asked with regard to distribution asset work orders, "PG&E is unable to provide the number of past due asset work orders, categorized by age, in the HFTD from Q1 2023 through Q3 2022." Please list the reasons why PG&E was unable to provide the number of past due asset work orders, categorized by age in the HFTD, as stated above. Please list any steps PG&E has taken to improve its ability to provide the number of past due asset work orders, categorized by age in the HFTD.	At the time of filing the 2023-2025 WMP, PG&E did not have the capability to collect the data at the granularity requested. Therefore, PG&E was unable to provide the number of past due asset work orders, categorized by age in the HFTD from Q1 2023 through Q3 2022. PG&E has improved its data collection capabilities and is now able to provide this data at the requested granularity. This capability has improved by employing additional data systems and creating automated reporting capabilities. This semi-annual process will now allow us to pull data more readily and at the granularity desired.	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	10/26/2023	10/26/2023	0	N/A	8.1.7	Open Work Orders	NA	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
476	CAIPA	Set WMP-31	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_04	4	CaIPA_Set WMP-31_04	The following questions pertain to PG&E's 2023-2025 WMP Revision 3, submitted on September 27, 2023. Section 8.1.7 - Open Work Orders. Section 8.1.2 - Open Work Orders - Distribution Taps in PG&E's 2023-2025 WMP R3 discusses a subset of open work orders referred to as "spillover" taps. Please provide a table similar to Table 8-6-1 for all past due, spillover-risk, distribution asset work orders by age and HFTD tier, as of September 30, 2023. Number of Spillover Risk Past Due Distribution Asset Work Orders Categorized by Age Through September 30, 2023 HFTD Area 0 - 30 Days 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 180 Days Non - HFTD HFTD Tier 2 HFTD Tier 3	Please see the table below for the requested information. Number of "Spillover Risk" Past Due Distribution Asset Work Orders Categorized by Age Through September 30, 2023 HFTD Area 0 - 30 Days 31 - 60 Days 61 - 90 Days 91 - 180 Days HFTD Tier 2 HFTD Tier 3	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	10/26/2023	10/26/2023	0	N/A	8.1.7	Open Work Orders	NA	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
477	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	011	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_011_01	1	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_011_01	Provide calculations that justify Table RN-PG&E-23-05-3. Explain specifically how Risk Assessment over Lifetime Benefits is calculated from Total Risk (page 85 of PG&E's 2023-2025 Wildlife Mitigation Plan (WMP)). Supplemental Revision Notice Responses)	In Critical Issue RN-PG&E-23-05, PG&E explained that in response to the Commission decision in the Risk-Based Decision-Making Framework (RDMF) we are in the process of conducting a benefit-cost model. The model will incorporate benefit elements of the mitigation lifecycle including processes like an analytical model. RN-PG&E calls the Wildlife Benefit Cost Analysis (WBCA) tool. RN-PG&E also provided an example of the output from the WBCA model for two mitigation alternatives at two crucial segments of Table RN-PG&E-23-05-3. PG&E responded to an energy stakeholder request for more information about the WBCA. In that response, PG&E explained that the WBCA had not been fully developed, approved or implemented under PG&E. We also explained that the worksheet submitted in the 2023-2025 WMP is based on the Wildlife Distribution Risk Model (WRDM) and one of the 2023-2025 projects included in the WMP worksheet were selected using the WBCA. The WBCA is being developed to support PG&E's 10-year (2034) underground plan and we anticipate finalizing the WBCA for that submission in 2024. We anticipate eventually using the WBCA to inform project selection for PG&E's long-term underground plan and future WMPs. Because the WBCA is still in development, PG&E is not in a position to respond to either the original or supplemental data requests.	Henry Sewell	10/1/2023	10/17/2023	10/17/2023	0	N/A	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
477	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	012	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_012_01	1	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_012_01	Provide calculations that justify Table RN-PG&E-23-05-3. Explain specifically how Risk Assessment over Lifetime Benefits is calculated from Total Risk (page 85 of PG&E's 2023-2025 Wildlife Mitigation Plan (WMP)). Supplemental Revision Notice Responses)	Please see WMP-Discovery012_DR_SPD_012-0007 Attach A for the visual and Please see WMP-Discovery012_DR_SPD_012-0007 Attach B for the visual and Please note, there is a non-material correction to the visual below with the original and supplemental data. Details are included in the attached.	Henry Sewell	11/1/2023	11/1/2023	11/1/2023	1	N/A	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
478	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)	011	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_011_02	2	CPUC - SPD (Safety Policy Division)_011_02	Provide a rationale justification that shows the risk from (subsets or other sources) for EPES compared to benefits of EPES (see wildfire, other) SPD model under the analysis performed using cost-benefit ratios (similar to that shown in Table RN-PG&E-23-05-3).	Please see PG&E's response to Question 1 of this data request.	Henry Sewell	10/1/2023	10/17/2023	10/17/2023	0	N/A	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
479	CAIPA	Set WMP-32	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_01	1	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_01	Provide the following data for the years 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2023: a) Please see the LG Miles Completed, included are the miles of underground primary distribution lines installed each year 2020-2022 for the purposes of wildfire risk reduction. The data provided in 2023 is year to date through November 1, 2023. In addition to the miles completed, PG&E also has approximately 200 miles currently in progress (i.e., current complete, in construction, trench complete, overhead installed, ready for cable pulling). b) Please see row (c) OH Miles Replaced (estimated), included are the estimated miles of overhead primary distribution lines PG&E has removed as part of undergrounding projects for the purposes of wildfire risk reduction. PG&E historically did not track exactly the overhead miles replaced by each project. Therefore, the overhead miles replaced is calculated based on LG Miles Completed using a standard conversion factor for all other undergrounding projects. For Community-related projects (Subs and Greenfields) for every 1.57 miles of LG installed, one mile of existing OH lines has been removed. For all other projects, 1.25 miles of LG installed equates to one mile of existing OH removed. 2020-2021-2022 Total a) LG Miles Completed 42.4 79.2 179.8 208.6 503.9 b) OH Miles Replaced (M) 22.8 32.3 124 158.6 373.6	Please see the table below with the data requested for subjects a and b. a) Please see the LG Miles Completed, included are the miles of underground primary distribution lines installed each year 2020-2022 for the purposes of wildfire risk reduction. The data provided in 2023 is year to date through November 1, 2023. In addition to the miles completed, PG&E also has approximately 200 miles currently in progress (i.e., current complete, in construction, trench complete, overhead installed, ready for cable pulling). b) Please see row (c) OH Miles Replaced (estimated), included are the estimated miles of overhead primary distribution lines PG&E has removed as part of undergrounding projects for the purposes of wildfire risk reduction. PG&E historically did not track exactly the overhead miles replaced by each project. Therefore, the overhead miles replaced is calculated based on LG Miles Completed using a standard conversion factor for all other undergrounding projects. For Community-related projects (Subs and Greenfields) for every 1.57 miles of LG installed, one mile of existing OH lines has been removed. For all other projects, 1.25 miles of LG installed equates to one mile of existing OH removed. 2020-2021-2022 Total a) LG Miles Completed 42.4 79.2 179.8 208.6 503.9 b) OH Miles Replaced (M) 22.8 32.3 124 158.6 373.6	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	11/14/2023	11/14/2023	0	N/A	7.2.2.1	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	Projected Overall Risk Reduction	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
480	CAIPA	Set WMP-32	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_02	2	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_02	Provide the same information as requested in Question 1 for undergrounding projects that fall into each of the following categories: a) Rule 20 undergrounding. b) Wildfire-related undergrounding. c) Any other undergrounding not included in Question 1 or parts a and b of this question.	Please see the table provided below with the data requested for subjects a - c. a) Please see row (a) Rule 20. Included are the undergrounded miles of primary distribution lines in High Fire, Three-Diverters (HFTD) and/or High Fire Risk Areas (HFRA) as part of the following program: • Rule 20A - 100% utility funding • Rule 20B - partial utility funding • Rule 20C - minimal utility funding Please note, this data does not include all Rule 20 projects. It includes only those Rule 20 projects that have been placed in the HFTD/HFRA given the impact they have on wildfire risk reduction. The production of contracts PG&E reviewed included contracts or agreements between 2020 and 2022 that were in effect during that period was greater than \$100,000. b) Please see row (b) Other. Included are the undergrounded miles of primary distribution lines through PG&E's targeted undergrounding program, as well as targeted projects and work requested by others included in the HFTD/HFRA. Please note, PG&E previously did not track overhead miles replaced. Therefore, the overhead miles replaced is calculated based on LG Miles Completed using a standard conversion factor for all other undergrounding projects. For Community-related projects (Subs and Greenfields) for every 1.57 miles of LG installed, one mile of existing OH lines has been removed. For all other projects, 1.25 miles of LG installed equates to one mile of existing OH removed. c) Please see row (c) Other. Included are the undergrounded miles of primary distribution lines through PG&E's targeted undergrounding program, as well as targeted projects and work requested by others included in the HFTD/HFRA. Please note, PG&E previously did not track overhead miles replaced. Therefore, the overhead miles replaced is calculated based on LG Miles Completed using a standard conversion factor for all other undergrounding projects. For Community-related projects (Subs and Greenfields) for every 1.57 miles of LG installed, one mile of existing OH lines has been removed. For all other projects, 1.25 miles of LG installed equates to one mile of existing OH removed.	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	11/14/2023	11/14/2023	0	N/A	8.1.2.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Undergrounding of Electric Lines and/or Equipment - Distribution	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf
481	CAIPA	Set WMP-32	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_03	3	CaIPA_Set WMP-32_03	Provide copies of all current, site-source contracts PG&E has executed with other entities with regard to any of the following: a) Copies of materials related to distribution undergrounding projects. b) Entities who perform labor related to distribution undergrounding projects. c) Entities who assist PG&E with planning, permitting, environmental review, and other similar non-construction tasks related to distribution undergrounding projects. d) Any other entities who provide goods or services to PG&E in relation to distribution undergrounding projects.	The attachments to the responsive confidentially declaration "WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003_Confidentiality Declaration" are being provided pursuant to the accompanying confidentially declaration "WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003_Confidentiality Declaration". PG&E does not have a sub-source contract process that mirrors state and federal site-source contract law. Instead, PG&E has a direct award process that documents contracts that are awarded under certain state thresholds to suppliers that are not preferred suppliers (generally, master services agreement or utility agreement suppliers). PG&E currently uses a Direct Award Documentation (DAD) form to document our direct awards. PG&E identifies few, but direct award contracts that we have executed with entities providing goods and services related to ground-based distribution undergrounding projects. The production of contracts PG&E reviewed included contracts or agreements between 2020 and 2022 that were in effect during that period was greater than \$100,000. The direct award contracts we analyzed document the PG&E's providing work under the following contracts: • WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003A01DCNF.pdf • WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003A02DCNF.pdf • WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003A03DCNF.pdf • WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003A04DCNF.pdf • WMP-Discovery023_DR_CaIPAclosure_032-0003A05DCNF.pdf Attachments (A)-(5) are the Direct Award Documentation and Contract, including Contract Change Order for the first vendor who received a direct award contract. Attachments (A)-(6) are the Direct Award Documentation and Contract for the second vendor who received a direct award contract. a) See response to part a. b) See response to part a. c) See response to part a.	Holly Whitman	10/1/2023	12/1/2023	12/1/2023	5	N/A	8.1.2	Grid Design and System Planning	Grid Design and System Planning	http://www.pge.com/page_global/common/pdf/va.../gwp/2023-2025%20WMP%20Revision%203%20-%20Confidentiality%20Declaration.pdf

401	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	1	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q1	<p>The following questions pertain to PG&E's 2022-2025 WMP Revision 3, submitted on September 27, 2023:</p> <p>Page 1112 of your 2023 WMP-R3 discusses the 2022 EPSS Reliability Study's Multiple Outage Reviews (MOR). (Energy Efficiency Review: PG&E's Independent Safety Review Status Update Report, October 5, 2023 (ISRW Report)) also discusses the MOR program at p. 12, stating:</p> <p>"In 2022, over 200 circuits experienced three in-depth reviews, generating approximately 1,400 action items. This program continued into 2023 with 35 circuits having had a detailed MOR, with several of these circuits being on their second or third review through early August, generating an additional 135 MORs (see action items.)"</p> <p>Please provide a table or Excel sheet showing the results of each MOR for 2022, including the following, in separate columns:</p> <p>The CPZs that underwent review;</p> <p>The result of each CPZ's review;</p> <p>If the CPZ's review had action items generated, details about each action item, if applicable;</p> <p>If an action item was not created, provide a brief explanation as to why;</p> <p>The status of each action item;</p> <p>Completion due date of each action item;</p> <p>The date each action item was completed, if applicable;</p> <p>If an action item was not completed by its due date, provide a brief explanation as to why it was not completed on time;</p> <p>Please provide a table or Excel sheet showing the results of each MOR for 2023, including the following, in separate columns:</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for including a CPZ in a MOR for 2022;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for including a CPZ in a MOR for 2023;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for not including a CPZ in a MOR for 2022;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for not including a CPZ in a MOR for 2023.</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
402	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	2	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q2	<p>Please explain the criteria for including a CPZ in a MOR for 2022;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for including a CPZ in a MOR for 2023;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for not including a CPZ in a MOR for 2022;</p> <p>Please explain the criteria for not including a CPZ in a MOR for 2023.</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			2022 WMP Section 7.1	Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development	NA
403	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	3	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q3	<p>Regarding circuits with EPSS capabilities:</p> <p>Provide a table of an Excel spreadsheet and claims filed by customers related to outages on circuits with EPSS settings enabled at the time of outage. For each item, provide the following information in separate columns:</p> <p>The Circuit name and ID associated with the complaint;</p> <p>The date each complaint or claim was received;</p> <p>Description of each complaint/claim;</p> <p>Resolution of each complaint/claim;</p> <p>Due date of each resolution;</p> <p>Actual completion date of each resolution;</p> <p>Provide an updated excel table of "EPSS Outages Monthly Report_10/20/218.xlsx" provided to SED that includes a column for "CPZ in the EPSS Outages - 2023 Season" tab.</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
404	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	4	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q4	<p>PG&E's 2023 WMP-R3, p. 1048, states: "Name changes including the absorption of CPZs into others resulting in the original CPZ no longer existing." Additionally, p. 415 in Table WMP-RAE-23-05 (Circuit Segments in the 2022 WMP Undergoing Work Item but Not Listed in the 2022-2025 Undergrounding Work Item) states: "(a) PG&E often changes circuit segment names when additional engineering devices are placed on the grid or other grid design changes such as switching occur."</p> <p>Describe PG&E's circuit segment naming convention when a segmenting device is installed or other grid change would go into effect (e.g., a segmenting device moves one CPZ into two) and the time period after which the name change would occur.</p> <p>How many of the CPZs with EPSS enabled had a change of name from month to month in the EPSS Monthly Reports to SED, since the first EPSS report was submitted?</p> <p>If the answer to part (b) is yes, provide a list of CPZs (with previous names), current name, date the name change occurred, and the reason for the name change. (Description of the state of the CPZ (e.g., active or inactive). NOTE: This should include intermediate name changes (e.g., suppose that CPZ A divides into CPZ A and CPZ B in March 2022 and in March 2023 CPZ B becomes CPZ C such that CPZ A no longer exists).)</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
405	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	5	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q5	<p>Provide an Excel spreadsheet of all distribution circuits on 110V or High Five (Five Phase (5PFA)) or coexisting 110V and 5PFA circumstances, existing as of January 1, 2023 (or more) that includes the following information in separate columns:</p> <p>Circuit Name</p> <p>Circuit ID</p> <p>City</p> <p>County</p> <p>Division (e.g., Los Padres Division) 6</p> <p>Date PG&E first activated EPSS settings on any part of the circuit?</p> <p>Total Customers</p> <p>Number of CPZs contained on the circuit</p> <p>Circuit SAIDI for 2017</p> <p>Circuit SAIDI for 2018</p> <p>Circuit SAIDI for 2019</p> <p>Circuit SAIFI for 2017</p> <p>Circuit SAIFI for 2018</p> <p>Circuit SAIFI for 2019</p> <p>Comment(s) for 2017</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
406	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	6	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q6	<p>Please judge the data presented in question 5 into performance quartiles based on SAIDI and SAIFI. (An example table is included below the question's response.)</p> <p>Of the distribution circuits listed in response to Question 5, identify in an Excel spreadsheet format, the best performing (i.e., circuits experiencing the least number of sustained outages) 25% circuits by average combined SAIFI for years 2017 to 2019 in each of your divisions.</p> <p>Of the distribution circuits listed in response to Question 5, identify in an Excel spreadsheet format the worst performing (i.e., circuits experiencing the most sustained outages) 25% circuits by average combined SAIFI for years 2017 to 2019 in each of your divisions.</p> <p>Of the distribution circuits listed in response to Question 5, identify in an Excel spreadsheet format the best performing SAIDI (i.e., circuits experiencing the shortest duration of sustained outages) 25% circuits by average combined SAIDI for years 2017 to 2019 in each of your divisions.</p> <p>Of the distribution circuits listed in response to Question 5, identify in an Excel spreadsheet format the worst performing (i.e., circuits experiencing the longest duration of sustained outages) 25% circuits by average combined SAIDI for years 2017 to 2019 in each of your divisions.</p> <p>Example Table: Question 6, Part a)</p> <p>Division</p> <p>Circuit Name</p> <p>Average SAIFI 2017-2019</p> <p>Los Padres</p> <p>San Francisco 1101</p> <p>1180</p> <p>Los Padres</p> <p>Los Angeles 1102</p> <p>1211</p> <p>North Valley</p> <p>Sacramento 1103</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
407	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Set WMP-34	7	CaPA_Set WMP-34_Q7	<p>Provide an Excel table that lists (as rows) each sustained outage that occurred from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2022 on any of the circuits identified in your response to Question 6. For each outage, the Excel table should include the following information in separate columns:</p> <p>Outage ID</p> <p>Circuit Name</p> <p>Circuit ID</p> <p>Division</p> <p>Was EPSS enabled on this circuit at the time of the outage?</p> <p>When was this circuit made EPSS-capable?</p> <p>FNE (First No Light)</p> <p>Outage End Day & Time</p> <p>CEISO (Count of Customers Experiencing Sustained Outages)</p> <p>Customer Minutes</p> <p>Cause</p> <p>Restoration Time (Minutes)</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/18/2024			8.1.8.1.1	Grid Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings

498	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Sat WMP-34	8	CaPA_Sat WMP-34_Q8	<p>Provide an Excel table that lists (as many) each momentary outage that occurred from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2022 on any of the circuits identified in your response to Question 6. For each outage, the Excel table should include the following information in separate columns:</p> <p>a) Outage ID b) Circuit Name c) Circuit ID d) Division e) Was EPSS enabled on this circuit at the time of the outage? f) When was the circuit made EPSS-capable? g) Why (list the Logic) h) Outage Start Day & Time i) SECO (Count of Customers Experiencing Sustained Outages) j) Customer Minutes k) Cause (if known) l) Was the circuit excluded in response to the momentary outages?</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/19/2024					8.1.8.1.1	GHD Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
499	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Sat WMP-34	9	CaPA_Sat WMP-34_Q9	<p>Regarding PG&E's 2021 Reliability Report, PG&E states "Base reliability projects have been initiated on Garberville 1101 circuit to minimize the impacts of EPSS, and taking a more surgical approach in applying EPSS settings when the circuit is most at risk." However, PG&E did not report an EPSS outage for Garberville 1101 in 2021. PG&E's first reported outage on Garberville 1101 was on July 24, 2022, 10 which was after the 2021 Reliability Report was published. Please explain this discrepancy.</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/19/2024					8.1.8.1.1	GHD Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
500	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Sat WMP-34	10	CaPA_Sat WMP-34_Q10	<p>Regarding PG&E's 2021 Reliability Report, PG&E states "Base reliability project has been initiated on Otter 1102 circuit to minimize the impacts of EPSS, and taking a more surgical approach in applying EPSS settings when the circuit is most at risk." However, PG&E did not report an EPSS outage for Otter 1102 in 2021. PG&E's first reported outage on Otter 1102 was on August 19, 2022, 13 which was after the 2021 Reliability Report was published. Please explain this discrepancy.</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/19/2024					8.1.8.1.1	GHD Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings
501	CaPA	Sat WMP-34	CaPA_Sat WMP-34	11	CaPA_Sat WMP-34_Q11	<p>In PG&E's November 2022 EPSS Monthly report, PG&E reports that there have been 28 outages on EPSS-enabled Transmission lines (T-EPSS) outages in the year to date.</p> <p>Are there downstream outages (e.g., to distribution customers that may be served from a substation that may be fed by the transmission line) that result from outages that occur on EPSS-enabled transmission lines? a) Did any of the 28 reported T-EPSS outages in 2023 cause downstream impacts to other transmission or distribution customers? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please describe the extent of the downstream impacts. c) If the answer to part (b) is yes, are those downstream outages reported as EPSS outages in PG&E's monthly EPSS reports or in any other reporting venue? d) If the answer to part (b) is yes, why did PG&E not have a backup or contingency transmission circuit(s) in place to avoid downstream distribution outages?</p>	Justin Hagler	12/1/2023	1/19/2024					8.1.8.1.1	GHD Operations and Procedures	Protective Equipment and Device Settings