# California Underground Facilities Safe Excavation Board

In the Matter of Paramount Petroleum Corporation, Respondent Case No. C223470004

### **DECISION REGARDING NOTICE OF PROBABLE VIOLATIONS**

On November 13, 2023, the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety, California Underground Facilities Safe Excavation Board (the Board) considered Case No. C223470004. Board investigative staff issued four separate Notices of Probable Violation (NOPVs) to the Paramount Petroleum Corporation (Respondent), each dated September 18, 2023, and each alleging a violation of Government Code (Gov. Code) section 4216.3, subsection (c)(1)(A). This decision, No. 2023\_11\_C223470004-1105-3, is for ticket No. A222840105-00A. Respondent submitted a written response to this NOPV.

Board members Bianchini, Johns, Johnson, Muñoz, and Voss participated in the decision.

### **FINDINGS**

Having considered the investigation report and the Respondents written response, the Board finds that a violation of Gov. Code section 4216.3, subsection (c)(1)(A) occurred.

### **VIOLATION SUMMARY**

# Respondent failed to provide an electronic positive response.

Government Code section 4216.3, subsection (c)(1)(A) requires operators to provide an electronic positive response (EPR) through the regional notification center before the legal excavation start date and time. Respondent did not send an EPR through the regional notification center before the legal start date and time.

#### JURISDICTION

When the Board finds a probable violation of the Dig Safe Act (Gov. Code section 4216 et seq.), the Board must transmit the investigation results and any recommended penalty to the appropriate agency identified in Gov. Code section 4216.6, subsection (c) or (d). This matter is subject to the jurisdiction of the Office of the State Fire Marshal which may accept, amend, or reject this Board's recommendation.

Re: Paramount Petroleum, D. 2023\_11\_C223470004-1105-3

### **SANCTIONS**

The Board RECOMMENDS that the OFFICE OF THE STATE FIRE MARSHAL order Respondent to take the Board's education course.

The following factors were considered relevant to this sanction determination:

• Respondent did not send an electronic positive response before the legal start date and time.

/s/ Amparo Muñoz Amparo Muñoz, Chair

Date: 12/22/2023