

Liberty 2023 WMP Discovery Log

| Count | Party Name | DR Set # | Data Request | Question No. | Question ID | Question | Response | Requestor | Date Received | Final Date Due | Date Sent | Links | Number of Attachments | Attachment Links | NDA Required? | WMP Section | Category | Subcategory |
|-------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--|--|-------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|--|--------------|
| 1 | CalAdvocates | 1 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01 | 1 | CalAdv-01-1.1 | Please provide a copy of each WMP-related document, submission, or report you submit to the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety (Energy Safety) in 2023 that is related to your WMP. Provide the copy to Cal Advocates within one business day of the document's submission to Energy Safety. If you have submitted the document to Energy Safety in 2023 prior to this data request, please provide a copy as soon as possible and no later than 10 business days from the issuance of this data request. This request is limited to materials or documents that (1) are related to work plans, initiative targets, risk models, risk spend efficiency (RSE) calculations, or WMP change orders, and (2) are provided to Energy Safety to provide additional details or context concerning information or statements in your WMP (and any subsequent revisions or change orders affecting your WMP). | Refer to attachment: "2023-03-06_Liberty_2023_WMP-RO" for Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission, as submitted to OES on March 6, 2023. Additionally, Liberty attempted to provide CalAdvocates with copies of its Q4 2022 Quarterly Data Report ("QDR") files on February 1, 2023. However, due to technical issues with the CPUC Kiewit platform, Liberty was not able to provide copies of these files until February 9, 2023. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | N/A | 3/8/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01_Liberty_Response-03082023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | WMP Pre-Submission | Administrative | N/A |
| 1 | CalAdvocates | 1 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01 | 2 | CalAdv-01-1.2 | Please provide a copy of your WMP pre-submission within two business days of its submission to Energy Safety. | Refer to attachment: "2023-03-06_Liberty_2023_WMP_RO_Public" for Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | N/A | 3/8/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01_Liberty_Response-03082023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | WMP Pre-Submission | Administrative | N/A |
| 1 | CalAdvocates | 1 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01 | 3 | CalAdv-01-1.3 | Please provide a copy of all documents or files that are referenced in your WMP Quarterly Data Reports and submitted to Energy Safety (including but not limited to all PDFs, spatial data files, non-spatial data files, and confidential attachments) on the same business day that the documents is sent to Energy Safety. | Liberty attempted to provide CalAdvocates with copies of its Q4 2022 QDR files on February 1, 2023. However, due to technical issues with the CPUC Kiewit platform, Liberty was not able to provide copies of these files until February 9, 2023. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | N/A | 3/8/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01_Liberty_Response-03082023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | WMP Pre-Submission | Administrative | N/A |
| 1 | CalAdvocates | 1 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01 | 4 | CalAdv-01-1.4 | Provide a copy of all your confidential responses to WMP discovery requests, on the same business day that you send the documents to the issuer of the discovery request. This includes: a) Confidential responses to WMP discovery requests issued by Energy Safety. b) Confidential responses to WMP discovery requests issued by other entities. | Liberty will provide CalAdvocates with copies of responses to 2023 WMP discovery requests made by Energy Safety and other entities. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | N/A | 3/8/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-01_Liberty_Response-03082023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | WMP Pre-Submission | Administrative | N/A |
| 2 | CalAdvocates | 2 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02 | 1 | CalAdv-02-2.1 | Please identify and provide a copy of all quality assurance or quality control (QA/QC) reports conducted by internal entities that were completed since January 1, 2022, and that examined any programs, initiatives, or strategies described in your 2022 WMP Update. | For Vegetation Management programs, Liberty performs a post-work documentation review, or desktop review, of 100% of vegetation management invoices in order to confirm accuracy. If satisfactory, the invoices are approved in Liberty's work management system. If not satisfactory, the invoice and supporting documents are returned to the contractor for correction. The following files related to Liberty's Post-Work Verification Procedure ("PWV") desktop review is included in the Supporting Materials email for this data request response sent to CalAdvocates through the CPUC Kiewit platform: "2022 Liberty Internal Desktop Invoice Audit Tracker". Additionally, Liberty system arborists perform post-work field verifications to confirm adherence to work specifications. This includes a review to determine whether (1) adequate clearance was achieved, (2) slash and debris removal was satisfactory, (3) the inventory of the work is accurate, and (4) pruning was completed per ANSI standards. Work that is determined to be unsatisfactory is reported to the contractor to be corrected. Liberty provided additional information regarding its vegetation management QA/QC procedures in Section 8.2.5 of its 2023 WMP pre-submission. Liberty is in the process of collecting QA/QC materials completed as part of its asset inspections (O&M program in 2022). Liberty plans to provide this information to CalAdvocates by March 17, 2023. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/10/2023 | 3/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02_Liberty_Response-03102023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 6 | | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) Vegetation Management (8-2) | 8.1.6, 8.2.5 |
| 2 | CalAdvocates | 2 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02 | 2 | CalAdv-02-2.2 | Provide an Excel table of all defects in the year 2022 found by Energy Safety's Compliance Branch (as rows) that includes the following information in separate columns: a) Associated circuit name b) Defect type c) Description of defect d) 2022 WMP initiative (from your 2022 WMP update) associated with defect e) Date that the defect was identified f) Date that the defect was corrected g) If the defect has not yet been corrected as of the issuance date of this data request, a brief explanation. h) Priority level of corresponding corrective tag i) Geographic latitude of defect in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places j) Geographic longitude of defect in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places | Liberty did not receive any Notices of Defects from Energy Safety in 2022. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/10/2023 | 3/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02_Liberty_Response-03102023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) Vegetation Management (8-2) | 8.1.6, 8.2.5 |
| 2 | CalAdvocates | 2 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02 | 3 | CalAdv-02-2.3 | Provide an Excel table of all violations in the year 2022 found by Energy Safety's Compliance Branch (as rows) that includes the following information in separate columns: a) Associated circuit name b) Violation type c) Description of violation d) 2022 WMP initiative (from your 2022 WMP update) associated with violation e) Date that the violation was identified f) Date that the violation was corrected g) If the violation has not yet been corrected as of the issuance date of this data request, a brief explanation h) Priority level of corresponding corrective tag i) Geographic latitude of violation in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places j) Geographic longitude of violation in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places | Liberty did not receive any Notices of Violations from Energy Safety in 2022. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/10/2023 | 3/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02_Liberty_Response-03102023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 12 | Notices of Violation and Defect | N/A |
| 2 | CalAdvocates | 2 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-02 | 4 | CalAdv-02-2.4 | Provide an Excel table of all distribution circuits existing as of January 1, 2023 (as rows) that includes the following information in separate columns: a) Circuit name b) Circuit ID number c) Total circuit miles d) Circuit miles in Non-HTD Areas e) Circuit miles in Other HTD f) Circuit miles in HTD Tier 2 g) Circuit miles in HTD Tier 3 h) Circuit voltage i) Circuit SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) for 2021 j) Circuit SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index) for 2022 k) Circuit SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index) for 2021 l) Circuit SAIFI (System Average Interruption Frequency Index) for 2022 m) Circuit MAIFI (Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index) for 2021 n) Circuit MAIFI (Momentary Average Interruption Frequency Index) for 2022 o) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to PPS events in 2021 (sum of customer-minutes across all PPS events). p) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to PPS events in 2022 (sum of customer-minutes across all PPS events). q) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to fast-trip settings in 2021. r) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to fast-trip settings in 2022. s) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in Non-HTD in 2021 t) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in Non-HTD in 2022 u) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in Other HTD in 2021 v) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in Other HTD in 2022 w) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in HTD Tier 2 in 2021 x) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in HTD Tier 2 in 2022 y) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in HTD Tier 3 in 2021 z) Number of trees that were worked on for EWM in HTD Tier 3 in 2022 | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Q1 - Distribution. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/10/2023 | 3/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03102023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 12 | Notices of Violation and Defect | N/A |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 1 | CalAdv-03-1.1 | Provide an Excel table of all transmission circuits existing as of January 1, 2023 (as rows) that includes the following information in separate columns: a) Circuit name b) Circuit ID number c) Total circuit miles d) Circuit miles in Non-HTD Areas e) Circuit miles in Other HTD f) Circuit miles in HTD Tier 2 g) Circuit miles in HTD Tier 3 h) Circuit voltage i) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to PPS events in 2021 (sum of customer-minutes across all PPS events). j) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to PPS events in 2022 (sum of customer-minutes across all PPS events). k) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to fast-trip settings in 2021. l) Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit due to fast-trip settings in 2022. m) Number of support structures replaced in Non-HTD in 2021 n) Number of support structures replaced in Non-HTD in 2022 o) Number of support structures replaced in Other HTD in 2021 p) Number of support structures replaced in Other HTD in 2022 q) Number of support structures replaced in HTD Tier 2 in 2021 r) Number of support structures replaced in HTD Tier 2 in 2022 s) Number of support structures replaced in HTD Tier 3 in 2021 t) Number of support structures replaced in HTD Tier 3 in 2022 u) Miles of LIDAR inspection in Non-HTD in 2021 v) Miles of LIDAR inspection in Non-HTD in 2022 w) Miles of LIDAR inspection in Other HTD in 2021 x) Miles of LIDAR inspection in Other HTD in 2022 y) Miles of LIDAR inspection in HTD Tier 2 in 2021 z) Miles of LIDAR inspection in HTD Tier 2 in 2022 | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Q2 - Transmission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 5,6 | Electrical Infrastructure | 5.2, 6.4.2 |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 2 | CalAdv-03-1.2 | Provide an Excel table of all transmission circuits existing as of January 1, 2023 (as rows) that includes the following information in separate columns: a) Circuit name b) Circuit ID number c) Total circuit miles d) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in Non-HTD Areas e) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in Other HTD f) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in HTD Tier 2 g) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in HTD Tier 3 h) Reason(s) for removal or decommissioning. | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Q3 - Distribution Removals. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 8 | Line Removal | 8.1.2.9 |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 3 | CalAdv-03-1.3 | Provide an Excel table of all transmission circuits existing as of January 1, 2022, that were removed or decommissioned in 2022, either partially or entirely (as rows). This includes permanent removal, removal of overhead lines that were moved underground, or overhead lines that were decommissioned but not physically removed. Include the following information in separate columns: a) Circuit name b) Circuit ID number c) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in Non-HTD Areas d) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in Other HTD e) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in HTD Tier 2 f) Circuit miles removed or decommissioned in HTD Tier 3 | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Q4 - Transmission Removals. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 8 | Line Removal | 8.1.2.9 |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 4 | CalAdv-03-1.4 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influenced where you performed work in 2022. a) Vegetation management (VM) b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty did not perform overall wildfire risk scores at the circuit or circuit segment level that influenced where it performed work in 2022. Work performed in 2022 was planned using separate risk analysis, compliance requirements, and/or subject matter expertise. Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize where it performs future work in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 5 | CalAdv-03-1.5 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influenced how work in 2022 was sequenced. a) VM b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty did not perform overall wildfire risk scores at the circuit or circuit segment level that influenced how work was sequenced in 2022. Work performed in 2022 was planned using separate risk analysis, compliance requirements, and/or subject matter expertise. Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize how future work is sequenced in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 6 | CalAdv-03-1.6 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influenced where you plan to perform work in 2023. a) VM b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty did not perform overall wildfire risk scores at the circuit or circuit segment level that influenced where it performed work in 2023. Work performed in 2023 was planned using separate risk analysis, compliance requirements, and/or subject matter expertise. Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize where it performs future work in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A |
| 3 | CalAdvocates | 3 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 7 | CalAdv-03-1.7 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influenced where you performed work in 2023. a) VM b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty did not perform overall wildfire risk scores at the circuit or circuit segment level that influenced where it performed work in 2023. Work performed in 2023 was planned using separate risk analysis, compliance requirements, and/or subject matter expertise. Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize where it performs future work in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | 1 | | | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A |

| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 8 | CalAdv-03-28 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state which and how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influence how work in 2023 will be sequenced: a) VM b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty did not perform overall wildfire risk scores at the circuit or circuit segment level that influenced how work was sequenced in 2023. Work performed in 2023 was planned using separate risk analysis, compliance requirements, and/or subject matter expertise. Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize how future work is sequenced in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-0242023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|----------------|--|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|---|----------------|--|-------|---|
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 9 | CalAdv-03-19 | For each WMP initiative listed below, please state how the modeled Wildfire Risk Scores for each circuit or circuit-segment influence where you plan to perform work in 2024: a) VM b) Covered conductor installation c) Undergrounding d) Distribution pole replacement e) Grid sectionalization f) Detailed inspections of distribution assets g) Detailed inspections of transmission assets h) Aerial inspections of distribution assets i) Aerial inspections of transmission assets j) LIDAR inspections of distribution assets k) LIDAR inspections of transmission assets | Liberty is evaluating the results of differing wildfire risk assessments at the circuit and/or circuit segment level to plan and prioritize where it performs future work in 2024. For additional information, refer to Section 6.7, Section 7.1.3, Section 7.1.4, and individual initiative subsections in Section 8 of Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A | | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-03 | 10 | CalAdv-03-3.10 | For each WMP initiative for which you forecast capital expenditures in 2023 to be at least two times actual capital expenditures in 2022, please provide: a) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2023-2025 WMP b) The WMP initiative number in Table 11 of your 2023-2025 WMP c) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2022 WMP Update d) The WMP initiative number in Table 12 of your 2022 WMP Update e) An explanation for the projected increase | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Response 1. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/29/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03_Liberty_Response-03292023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Scoring | N/A | | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-04 | 1 | CalAdv-04-4.1 | For each WMP initiative for which you forecast capital expenditures in 2024 to be at least two times actual capital expenditures in 2022, please provide: a) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2023-2025 WMP b) The WMP initiative number in Table 11 of your 2023-2025 WMP c) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2022 WMP Update d) The WMP initiative number in Table 12 of your 2022 WMP Update e) An explanation for the projected increase | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Response 2. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/31/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty_Response-03312023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | WMP Financials | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-04 | 2 | CalAdv-04-4.2 | For each WMP initiative for which you forecast operating expenditures in 2023 to be at least two times actual operating expenditures in 2022, please provide: a) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2023-2025 WMP b) The WMP initiative number in Table 11 of your 2023-2025 WMP c) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2022 WMP Update d) The WMP initiative number in Table 12 of your 2022 WMP Update e) An explanation for the projected increase | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Response 3. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/31/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty_Response-03312023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | WMP Financials | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-04 | 3 | CalAdv-04-4.3 | For each WMP initiative for which you forecast operating expenditures in 2024 to be at least two times actual operating expenditures in 2022, please provide: a) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2023-2025 WMP b) The WMP initiative number in Table 11 of your 2023-2025 WMP c) The name of the initiative as it is identified in your 2022 WMP Update d) The WMP initiative number in Table 12 of your 2022 WMP Update e) An explanation for the projected increase | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty Response Questions 1-4," Tab Response 4. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 3/31/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty_Response-03312023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | WMP Financials | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-04 | 4 | CalAdv-04-4.4 | a) All circuit-IDs in 2022, have you identified transportation corridors within your service territory where falling or falling lines or poles could currently limit ingress and/or ingress during an emergency? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please describe how you identify such transportation corridors. c) If available, please provide a geospatial data file that contains all current identified transportation corridors with ingress and egress hazards. | a) No. b) N/A c) N/A | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/24/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-04_Liberty_Response-03312023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | WMP Financials | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 1 | CalAdv-05-5.1 | Provide an Excel table of all distribution circuit-segments that traverse HTD areas i.e., the segment has greater than zero circuit-miles in HTD) existing as of January 1, 2022. The Excel table should list each circuit-segment as a row and include the following information in separate columns: for items (b) and (c), please include all relevant risk scores. For example, include vegetation risk score, conductor risk score, and any other driver-specific risk scores you have developed. Please insert additional columns as needed to accommodate this. a) Name or ID number of each circuit segment b) Circuit name for the circuit that each segment is part of c) Circuit ID for the circuit that each segment is part of d) Nominal voltage e) Total circuit-miles on the circuit-segment f) Overhead circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in non-HTD Areas g) Overhead circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in HTD Tier 2 h) Overhead circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in HTD Tier 3 i) Underground circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in non-HTD Areas j) Underground circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in HTD Tier 2 k) Underground circuit-miles on the circuit-segment in HTD Tier 3 l) Probability of ignition score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you used for your 2022 WMP filing m) Consequence of ignition score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you used for your 2022 WMP filing n) Total wildfire risk score(s) for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you used for your 2022 WMP filing. Insert additional columns if needed: o) Power Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) risk score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing p) Probability of ignition score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing q) Consequence of ignition score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing r) Total wildfire risk score(s) for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing. Insert additional columns if needed: s) Power Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) risk score for the circuit-segment, according to the risk model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing. | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 2." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 6 | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 2 | CalAdv-05-5.2 | Provide a geodatabase file containing the outputs from your current wildfire risk model (i.e., the model you are using for your 2023-2025 WMP filing), the circuit-segment level. This data should be equivalent to the previous question, but in GIS format. Please provide, as line features, the most recent spatial data for all circuit-segments for which your current risk model calculates circuit-segment expected risk (i.e., probability of ignition multiplied by the consequence of ignition). Include the following attributes for each circuit segment: a) Items (a) through (c) of the previous question b) Items (d) through (f) of the previous question. | Liberty does not have a geodatabase file containing the outputs from its wildfire risk model used for the 2023-2025 WMP pre-submission at the circuit-segment level. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 6 | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 3 | CalAdv-05-5.3 | Please fill out the attached spreadsheet, CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Attachment Tab 1, requesting information regarding your asset inspections in 2022. | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 4." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 6 | N/A | N/A | |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 4 | CalAdv-05-5.4 | In response to Data Request CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_ Question 7, March 24, 2022, Liberty stated, "Liberty's QA/QC processes for asset inspections were developed for implementation in 2022. The QA/QC processes will be conducted in Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 of 2022 after Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 detailed inspections are completed." a) Did Liberty implement QA/QC processes for asset inspections in the second half of 2022 as referenced in the quote above? b) Please summarize the results of Liberty's asset inspection QA/QC reviews in 2022. c) Did Liberty's asset inspection QA/QC reviews in 2022 lead to any corrective actions or improvements, such as performing re-inspections of certain assets, revising inspection protocols, or changing training for inspectors? d) If the answer to part (c) is yes, please describe the actions that Liberty is taking as a result of its asset inspection QA/QC reviews. e) If the answer to part (c) is no, please explain why not. | a) Yes. b) As part of its QA/QC process for 2022, Liberty assigned a third-party contractor to re-inspect 0.9% of 2022 detailed inspections, equating to 27 re-inspections. Of these, 24 were completed and three locations were inaccessible at the time of re-inspection due to snow, equating to 0.4% re-inspection. Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Questions 5 and 6." c) No. The QA/QC reviews showed that there are some inconsistencies among inspectors, but the significant issues were captured by both inspections. d) None. e) Minor inconsistencies among inspectors are to be expected. Since major issues were captured with both inspections, no action is being taken at this time. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.6 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 5 | CalAdv-05-5.5 | In response to Data Request CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_ Question 7, March 24, 2022, Liberty stated "Liberty's QA/QC processes for asset inspections were developed for implementation in 2022. The QA/QC processes will be conducted in Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 of 2022 after Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 detailed inspections are completed." The following questions refer to the QA/QC processes for asset inspections that Liberty implemented in Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 of 2022: a) Please provide a sample of 5 completed "Appendix A – Program Manager Quarterly Review Acknowledgment" forms. b) Please provide a sample of 5 completed "Appendix B – Senior Manager Annual Review Acknowledgment" forms. c) Please provide a sample of 5 completed "Appendix C – Third Party Inspector" forms that were completed by third party contractors. | a) Liberty completed one Program Manager Review Acknowledgment form for 2022 asset inspection QA/QC activities. Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 6." b) Liberty completed one Senior Manager Annual Review Acknowledgment form for 2022 asset inspection QA/QC activities. Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 6." c) Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 6 and 6." This file captures the information from the third party QA/QC inspectors completed in 2022. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.6 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 6 | CalAdv-05-5.6 | Please segment Table 13 of the non-spatial data tables in your WMP Quarterly Data Report for Q4 of 2022, which reports asset-related corrective notifications on electric circuits that were open at the end of the quarter. Add the following information in separate columns: a) Name of the associated circuit b) ID number of the associated circuit c) Geographic latitude in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places d) Geographic longitude in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places e) Object/damage code or other description of defect | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty Response Question 7." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.6 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-05 | 7 | CalAdv-05-5.7 | Regarding Table 13 of the non-spatial data tables in your WMP Quarterly Data Report for Q4 of 2022: a) Do you have an internal system of identifying priority levels for corrective notifications that differs from the priority levels specified in General Order 59, Rule 3B? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please explain your internal priority system. c) Do you ever re-inspect corrective notifications before they are resolved? d) If the answer to part (b) is yes, under what circumstances do you conduct re-inspections? | a) Yes. b) Along with GO 59, Rule 1B, Liberty uses its fire risk maps and asset condition codes to assess the prioritization of corrective actions and replacements. c) Yes. d) Liberty has conducted re-inspections through its detailed asset inspection program of assets that were inspected as part of its full system survey conducted in 2020. Additionally, the field facilitator's role may include verification of issues identified during an inspection. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 3/30/2023 | 4/10/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-05_Liberty_Response-04102023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.6 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 1 | CalAdv-06-6.1 | Please provide a list of any incidents in 2022 where the actions of a VM contractor posed a safety risk to workers and/or the public. "Safety risk" here defined as any occurrence on a worksite where the contractor's behavior created a safety hazard for either workers or the general public. For each instance, please provide: a) The date you were informed of the safety issue b) The date that the original work that created the safety issue was performed c) Whether the safety issue concerned a transmission or distribution circuit d) The vegetation management initiative involved in the original work e) A brief description of the safety issue involved | Liberty did not experience any incidents in 2022 where the actions of a VM contractor posed a safety risk to workers or the public. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Vegetation Management and Inspections (B.2) | 8.2.7 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 2 | CalAdv-06-6.2 | Provide your workplan that describes where and when you will perform system hardening on distribution circuits in 2023. For projects that you expect to partially complete in 2023 (i.e., projects that started before 2023 and are expected to continue in 2023), or projects that are expected to be completed after 2023, please include the project and report the work you forecast will actually be performed in calendar year 2023. For each project, include the following information in separate columns, at a minimum: a) Order number b) Program c) Circuit ID number d) Circuit segment name or ID number (if the project affects more than one circuit-segment, please identify each one) e) Relevant wildfire risk score(s) from the wildfire risk model that you are using to estimate distribution risk in your 2023-2025 WMP filing f) The expected or actual start date of the project g) The expected completion date of the project h) Length (in circuit miles) of covered conductor to be installed in 2023 i) Length (in circuit miles) of underground conductor to be installed in 2023 j) Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2023 and replaced by underground conductor (note that this may differ slightly from the previous part due to differing overhead and underground routes) k) Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2024 and not replaced with covered conductor or underground l) Length (in circuit miles) of any other type of system hardening project to be installed in 2024 (if this is greater than zero, please describe the type of system hardening project) | Refer to tab "2023" in supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty Response Questions 2 and 3." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.2 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 3 | CalAdv-06-6.3 | Provide your workplan that describes where and when you will perform system hardening on distribution circuits in 2024. For projects that you expect to partially complete in 2024 (i.e., projects that are expected to start before 2024 and are expected to continue in 2024), or projects that are expected to be completed after 2024, please include the project and report the work that you forecast will actually be performed in calendar year 2024. For each project, include the following information in separate columns, at a minimum: a) Order number b) Program c) Circuit ID number d) Circuit segment name or ID number (if the project affects more than one circuit-segment, please identify each one) e) Relevant wildfire risk score(s) from the wildfire risk model that you are using to estimate distribution risk in your 2023-2025 WMP filing f) The expected or actual start date of the project g) The expected completion date of the project h) Length (in circuit miles) of covered conductor to be installed in 2024 i) Length (in circuit miles) of underground conductor to be installed in 2024 (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2024 and replaced by underground conductor (note that this may differ slightly from the previous part due to differing overhead and underground routes) j) Length (in circuit miles) of overhead conductor to be permanently removed in 2024 and not replaced with covered conductor or underground k) Length (in circuit miles) of any other type of system hardening project to be installed in 2024 (if this is greater than zero, please describe the type of system hardening project) | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty Response Question 4." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.2 | 1 |
| CalAdvocate | CalAdvocate-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 4 | CalAdv-06-6.4 | For each of your 2023-2025 WMP system hardening initiatives, please provide disaggregated information related to expenditures and circuit miles (placed in the attached table, Cal Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Attachment Tab 1. Add extra columns as needed. Note: for the purposes of this question, "the removal" refers to conductors that are permanently removed without a replacement - for instance, as part of a remote grid project. This should be understood as identical to part (b) of Questions 2 and 3 above. | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty Response Question 4." | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (B.1) | 8.1.2 | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|---|--|---------|
| | | | | Regarding your PSPS circuit modeling capabilities: a) Please describe your present circuit modeling capabilities with regard to PSPS decision-making ("PSPS circuit modeling capabilities") including what level of granularity they are able to determine how circuit hardening efforts or other changes to a line segment will affect PSPS thresholds. b) Please describe any improvements to the present PSPS circuit modeling capabilities that you expect to implement in 2023. c) Please describe any improvements to the present PSPS circuit modeling capabilities that you expect to implement in 2024. d) Please describe the expected state of your PSPS circuit modeling capabilities at the conclusion of the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. | a) Liberty's 2023 WMP section 6.2 includes the baseline PSPS risk analyses and assessment performed at the beginning of this year by circuit. The PSPS risk decision-making framework has not been developed and the model inputs currently do not incorporate grid hardening efforts and a static study. The decision-making framework would have to consider current PSPS methods affecting each circuit and any current PSPS mitigation controls in place would also need to be factored in and calculated separately to support any proposed changes to PSPS thresholds. This would result in a more refined baseline PSPS baseline risk assessment. Liberty does not have plans to track the performance of PSPS mitigations nor improve its current PSPS circuit model capabilities. Measuring PSPS risk reduction would require tracking circuit segments with PSPS mitigations observed such as covered conductors, installed Sensitive Relays, grid controls to divert electricity flow to other lines, and Microgrids at the specific GIS location in order to monitor and assess current PSPS thresholds and forecast outage events during fire season. Current data limitations and root causes of outage events are not monitored at the specific asset level. b) See response to Question 5, part (a). c) See response to Question 5, part (a). d) Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission Section 6.7 and Table 6-9 includes Liberty risk assessment improvement plan for 2023-2025, including Liberty's data analysis of asset and vegetation performance tracking with real time data analytics to effectively measure risk reduction it relates to wildfire risk. Liberty could also integrate PSPS mitigation measures as part of its enterprise risk management solution. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | | | |
| CalAdvocates | 6 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 5 | CalAdv-06-6.5 | Identify any ignitions in 2022 associated with assets where you had an existing corrective notification at the time of the ignition. Please provide a spreadsheet listing each such ignition (as rows) with the following information in separate columns: a) Unique Ignition ID b) Date of ignition c) Cause of ignition d) Type of asset associated with the ignition e) Acres burned f) Number of structures burned if any g) Number of injuries associated with ignition if any h) Asset ID of asset associated with ignition i) Circuit ID number of circuit associated with ignition j) Notification number(s) for the existing corrective notification on the asset in question k) Priority level of the existing corrective notification on the asset in question | Liberty did not have any ignitions in 2022 associated with assets where it had an existing corrective notification at the time of the ignition | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Analysis Framework (6.2) | N/A |
| CalAdvocates | 6 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-06 | 6 | CalAdv-06-6.6 | Page 55 of Liberty WMP states, "Liberty has not conducted a wildfire risk assessment using the 85th percentile consequence calculation." a) Why hasn't Liberty conducted a wildfire risk assessment using the 85th percentile consequence calculation? b) What other wildfire risk assessments has Liberty conducted instead? | a) In its 2023 WMP, Liberty provides a map in Figure 5-11 showing its service territory overlaid with the Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) and its current Resilient Wildfire Risk Program. Liberty provides an additional map in Appendix C of its 2023 WMP showing the SVI distribution. Liberty's updated wildfire risk analysis in its 2023 WMP, and major roads. Due to increased risk modeling requirements in the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety (OEIS) 2023-2025 WMP Technical Guidelines, Liberty did not have enough time to analyze the intersection of the SVI and the 85th percentile of wildfire consequence risk according to Liberty's updated wildfire risk modeling results. b) Refer to Section 6 of Liberty's 2023 WMP. | Aaron Louie | 2/24/2023 | 4/19/2023 | 4/26/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-06_Liberty_Response-04262023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 1 | CalAdv-07-7.1 | Page 57 of Liberty's WMP states: Survey reports produced by CAL FIRE identified 10 sub-divisions in South Lake Tahoe with no secondary egress, and one with limited egress. These sub-divisions consist mostly of single-family homes on flat land, surrounded by grass, trees, brush, and timber. In Placer County, CAL FIRE identified 21 subdivisions with no secondary egress, and three with limited egress. These areas include a mix of single-family homes, townhomes, and duplexes surrounded by similar vegetation, but the topography varies from flat land to slopes, ridges, and canyons. All 33 subdivisions were categorized by CAL FIRE as "Very High" Fire Hazard Severity Zones. a) Do Liberty's PSPS and wildfire risk analyses consider whether a location has no secondary egress or limited egress? b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please explain how your risk analyses address limited egress. c) What actions did Liberty take during the 2020-2022 WMP cycle to reduce wildfire risk for the subdivisions mentioned in the quote above? d) What actions does Liberty plan to take during the 2023-2025 WMP cycle to reduce wildfire risk for the subdivisions mentioned in the quote above? | a) No. b) N/A. c) Liberty completed mitigation actions during the 2020-2022 WMP cycle in limited egress areas throughout its service territory. Mitigation actions were driven by existing decision-making processes and risk analysis that did not consider egress. For an example of mitigation actions completed during the 2020-2022 WMP cycle in limited egress areas, refer to supporting materials: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty Response Question 3." d) During the 2023-2025 WMP cycle, Liberty will continue to perform mitigation work across its service territory. Mitigation actions will be driven by the decision-making processes and risk analyses detailed in Liberty's 2023 WMP and in subsequent WMP submissions. Liberty may consider incorporating limited egress into wildfire risk analysis in future years so that the risk can be quantified. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 5 | Community Values at Risk (5.4) | 5.4.3.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 2 | CalAdv-07-7.2 | Page 60 of Liberty's WMP states, "Absentee landlords make notification requirements and coordination for O&M activities difficult, sometimes resulting in delayed activities or their cancellation entirely." a) Please describe what methods or strategies Liberty has adopted to alleviate the problem noted above. b) Please describe Liberty's method of maintaining accurate and up-to-date contact information for homeowners and renters in its service territory. c) Please describe Liberty's public communication strategy to inform homeowners and renters in its service territory when O&M activities are to be expected? | a) Liberty uses a variety of methods for notifying customers of O&M activities: • Door hangers • Sign boards • Mailed letters or postcards • Social media posts • Email • Bill inserts • Everbridge text notification • Door to door in person notification attempts • Phone call notification attempts b) Customer Service Representatives (CSRs) are required to verify customer information including telephone number, email, and mailing address. This expectation is reviewed through the Call Quality Program in which "verifies customer information according to Liberty standards" is one component of the screenshot. c) Refer to Response 3a. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 5 | Community Values at Risk (5.4) | 5.4.3.3 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 3 | CalAdv-07-7.3 | Page 60 of Liberty's WMP states, "Liberty is currently working with the [Tahoe Regional Planning Agency] to update an existing memorandum of understanding ("MOU") for O&M activities to allow minor repairs, replacements and vegetation maintenance to be completed without agency review and approval." a) To date, has Liberty executed an updated memorandum of understanding with Tahoe Regional Planning Agency? b) If the answer to part (a) above is "no," please describe the status of developing an updated memorandum of understanding and the projected timeline to execute it. | a) Customer Service Representatives (CSRs) are required to verify customer information including telephone number, email, and mailing address. This expectation is reviewed through the Call Quality Program in which "verifies customer information according to Liberty standards" is one component of the screenshot. b) Refer to Response 3a. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 5 | Community Values at Risk (5.4) | 5.4.5 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 4 | CalAdv-07-7.4 | Page 64 of Liberty's WMP states: Although the current approach provides significant advancements over earlier efforts, it was neither reasonable nor feasible to conduct all the calculations and analyses provided in the 2023-2025 Wildfire Mitigation Plan Technical Guidelines ("Technical Guidelines") prior to Liberty's 2023 WMP submission. Liberty, however, is committed to continuing to evolve and improve its risk modeling practices and intends to conduct the analyses and calculations described in the Technical Guidelines, to the extent possible, as part of future work. a) Please identify each calculation or analysis provided in the 2023-2025 WMP Technical Guidelines that Liberty has not yet conducted. b) For each item listed in response to part (a), identify the resource constraint(s) that hindered completion prior to Liberty's 2023 WMP submission. c) For each item listed in response to part (a), state when Liberty anticipates completing it. | a) Refer to Liberty's 2023 WMP for the analysis that Liberty completed in advance of its 2023 WMP submission. Refer to the OEIS 2023-2025 Wildfire Mitigation Plan Technical Guidelines for the calculations and analyses provided in the guidelines. b) OEIS released its final 2023-2025 WMP Technical Guidelines on December 6, 2022. In late January 2023, Liberty executed an agreement with Technosys to provide wildfire risk analysis relating to Wildfire Risk Reduction Model ("WRM"). Liberty received its first analytics package with the results from WRM in late February 2023. Additionally, in late January 2023, Liberty signed a formal agreement with Dreyfus to pilot its asset risk decision making solution to be incorporated, in part, in Liberty's 2023 WMP. Liberty's 2023 WMP submission was submitted to OEIS on March 6, 2023. This time was a limiting factor in completing additional analyses contained in the OEIS 2023-2025 WMP Technical Guidelines. c) Liberty anticipates completing additional analyses contained in the OEIS 2023-2025 WMP Technical Guidelines during the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 5 | Community Values at Risk (5.4) | 5.4.5 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 5 | CalAdv-07-7.5 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states that social vulnerability, physical vulnerability, and coping capabilities are not factors currently included in the wildfire risk analysis though Liberty intends to incorporate these factors in its future risk modeling process. a) When Liberty eventually incorporates the factor "physical vulnerability" within future wildfire risk analyses, what attributes/characteristics would Liberty utilize to define "physical vulnerability"? b) What data does Liberty currently maintain or collect to measure physical vulnerability? c) When Liberty eventually incorporates the factor "social vulnerability" within future wildfire risk analyses, what attributes/characteristics would Liberty utilize to define "social vulnerability"? d) What data does Liberty currently maintain or collect to measure social vulnerability? | a) Liberty has not determined all attributes/characteristics it will utilize to define physical vulnerability. Liberty considers Medical Baseline (MBL) and some Access and Functional Needs (AFN) customers as physically vulnerable. In future wildfire risk analysis, Liberty can assign weights to different customer categories (i.e., AFN/MBL, Commercial, Residential, Critical Facilities) based on physical vulnerability. b) Liberty maintains a list of MBL customers and self-identified AFN customers. c) Liberty has not determined all attributes/characteristics it will utilize to define social vulnerability. Liberty considers some AFN customers as socially vulnerable (i.e., CARE customers). Additionally, in its 2023 WMP, Liberty provides a map in Figure 5-11 showing its service territory overlaid with the Social Vulnerability Index (SVI) and its current Resilient Wildfire Risk Program. Liberty provides an additional map in Appendix C of its 2023 WMP showing the SVI distribution. Liberty's updated wildfire risk analysis in its 2023 WMP, and major roads. d) Liberty maintains a list of self-identified AFN customers. Liberty also analyzed the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry's Social Vulnerability Index dataset. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-07_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6 |
| CalAdvocates | 7 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-07 | 6 | CalAdv-07-7.6 | Please provide an Excel sheet listing of each sustained outage that was caused by equipment failure for the period from 2020 to 2022 in any HTD area. A sustained outage is an outage that lasts for five or more minutes. The Excel sheet should list each outage as a row, with the following information in columns: a) ID number of the circuit affected. b) Name of the circuit affected. c) Date of the outage. d) Cause of the outage. e) Conductor type at the location where the fault occurred (e.g., overhead (OH) bare conductor, overhead covered conductor, underground (UG) cable). f) For all equipment failure outages, please state the specific type of failure (i.e., OH transformer failure, cross arm, UG transformer failure, cable failure, conductor failure etc.). g) The outage duration in minutes. h) Total number of customers impacted. | Refer to supporting file: "CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-08_Liberty Response Question 1." | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/23/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-08_Liberty_Response-05232023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 8 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-08 | 1 | CalAdv-08-8.1 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states that social vulnerability is not a factor currently included in Liberty's wildfire risk analysis, though Liberty intends to incorporate it in its future risk modeling process. a) Please identify the constraint(s) that hindered the incorporation of social vulnerability prior to Liberty's 2023 WMP submission. b) State when Liberty anticipates being able to include social vulnerability as part of Liberty's risk modeling process. c) In which year of this WMP cycle does Liberty plan on being able to include social vulnerability in its future risk modeling process? | a) With the final 2023 WMP technical guidelines issued approximately three months prior to submission of the 2023 WMP, Liberty was not able to incorporate social vulnerability into its 2023 WMP submission due to time constraints. b) Liberty plans to incorporate social vulnerability considerations in 2024 and report on this enhancement in its future WMP filings. c) See Response 3b. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/18/2023 | 5/23/2023 | 5/25/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-08_Liberty_Response-05252023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 1 | CalAdv-09-9.1 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states that physical vulnerability is not a factor currently included in Liberty's wildfire risk analysis, though Liberty intends to incorporate it in its future risk modeling process. a) Please identify the constraint(s) that hindered the incorporation of physical vulnerability prior to Liberty's 2023 WMP submission. b) State when Liberty anticipates being able to include physical vulnerability as part of Liberty's risk modeling process. c) In which year of this WMP cycle does Liberty plan on being able to include physical vulnerability in its future risk modeling process? | a) Liberty's current fire science consultant, Dr. Chris Luterdorfer, has advised Liberty that there is currently no validated generalized methodology that relates physical characteristics of structures to their survivability in wildland fires. Put differently, it is Liberty's understanding that fragility curves to quantify a structure's probability of being damaged or destroyed in a fire based on its physical characteristics do not exist. b) Liberty intends to incorporate physical vulnerability into its risk modeling when generalized and validated fragility curves, or comparable approaches, have been developed and validated by the fire science community. c) See Response 2b. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 2 | CalAdv-09-9.2 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states that coping capability is not a factor currently included in Liberty's wildfire risk analysis, though Liberty intends to incorporate it in its future risk modeling process. a) Please identify the constraint(s) that hindered the incorporation of coping capability prior to Liberty's 2023 WMP submission. b) State when Liberty anticipates being able to include coping capability as part of Liberty's risk modeling process. c) In which year of this WMP cycle does Liberty plan on being able to include coping capability in its future risk modeling process? | a) With the WMP technical guidelines issued approximately 3 months prior to submission of the WMP completeness check, Liberty was not able to incorporate coping capacity into its 2023 WMP submission due to time constraints. b) Liberty plans to incorporate coping capacity in 2024 and report on this enhancement in its future WMP filings. c) See Response 3b. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 3 | CalAdv-09-9.3 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states the following factors are included as part of Liberty's wildfire risk analysis: Equipment/assets, Topography, Weather, Vegetation, Climate change, Assets at risk, and Fire ignition and spread. Please explain how each of these factors impacts Liberty's quantification of risk at the circuit level. a) Equipment/assets b) Topography c) Weather d) Vegetation e) Climate change f) Assets at risk g) Fire ignition and spread | a) Equipment/assets: GIS data are used to construct an ignition buffer surrounding Liberty's equipment and assets for use in fire spread modeling. b) Topography: Topography is an input to Liberty's fire spread modeling. c) Weather: Weather is an input to Liberty's fire spread modeling. d) Vegetation: Vegetation is an input to Liberty's fire spread modeling. e) Climate change: Liberty conducted climate-adjusted fire spread modeling. f) Assets at risk: Assets at risk are considered when quantifying fire consequence. g) Fire Ignition and Spread: A fire spread model that considers fire ignition and spread forms the basis of Liberty's fire risk modeling. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 4 | CalAdv-09-9.4 | Page 70 of Liberty's WMP states the following factors are included as part of Liberty's wildfire risk analysis: Equipment/assets, Topography, Weather, Vegetation, Climate change, Assets at risk, and Fire ignition and spread. a) For each factor listed above, does Liberty have any review procedures in place to verify the accuracy of changes in the quantification of risk from one year to the next? b) If the answer to part (a) above is yes, please provide a description of how Liberty updates the quantification of risk for each factor listed each year. c) Please provide what data sources Liberty uses to verify change in the quantification of risk for each factor listed above. | a) For the reasons stated below, no formal processes are currently in place to verify the accuracy of changes in the quantification of risk related to the following factors: • Equipment/assets: Liberty maintains internal GIS data for its equipment and assets. • Topography, weather, vegetation, climate change, assets at risk: Liberty is an end user – not a developer – of these datasets that serve as inputs to its fire spread modeling. In all cases, Liberty uses open source, widely used, and industry standard data developed by authoritative federal and state agencies. • Fire ignition and spread: Liberty uses a peer-reviewed open-source fire spread model for modeling ignition and spread. This same model is also used to forecast the spread of most large fires in the United States in real time under funding from the US Forest Service with its accuracy is continuously being assessed and improved. b) N/A. c) N/A. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 5 | CalAdv-09-9.5 | Page 71 of Liberty's WMP states that "Finally, Liberty also does not consider burn probability from fires caused by sources other than utilities as the Technical Guidelines." a) Please identify the constraint(s) that hindered the incorporation of burn probability from fires caused by sources other than utilities. | Liberty's fire risk modeling currently addresses only fires caused by its infrastructure. Impacts from other fires to Liberty's system is a grid resiliency issue that Liberty may address in the future after its utility-caused fire risk modeling has matured. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 6 | CalAdv-09-9.6 | Page 81 of Liberty's WMP states "Overall utility risk is calculated by circuit from wildfire risk and PSPS risk, with an 80% weight to wildfire risk and 20% to PSPS risk. a) Please explain how Liberty arrived at the above-mentioned specific weighting of wildfire risk and PSPS risk. b) Has Liberty consulted with any agencies, universities, research groups, or other entities on the calculation of the above-mentioned weighting of wildfire risk and PSPS risk? Please list those entities if so. | The sentence "Overall utility risk is calculated by circuit from wildfire risk and PSPS risk, with an 80% weight to wildfire risk and 20% to PSPS risk" contains a typographical error. It should read "Overall utility risk is calculated by summing wildfire risk and PSPS risk by circuit." The statement about 80/20 weighting was inadvertently left in Liberty's 2023 WMP from a previous draft. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 9 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 7 | CalAdv-09-9.7 | Page 81 of Liberty's WMP states "Overall utility risk is calculated by circuit from wildfire risk and PSPS risk, with an 80% weight to wildfire risk and 20% to PSPS risk. a) Please explain how Liberty arrived at the above-mentioned specific weighting of wildfire risk and PSPS risk. b) Has Liberty consulted with any agencies, universities, research groups, or other entities on the calculation of the above-mentioned weighting of wildfire risk and PSPS risk? Please list those entities if so. | The sentence "Overall utility risk is calculated by summing wildfire risk and PSPS risk by circuit." The statement about 80/20 weighting was inadvertently left in Liberty's 2023 WMP from a previous draft. | Talal Harahsheh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CalAdvocatesLiberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty_Response-06012023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.2 |

| CA/Advocates | 9 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 8 | CA/Adv-09-8 | Page 96 of Liberty's WMP presents Table 6-7, Liberty Top-Risk Circuits, which provides risk scores for Liberty's top 20 risk-contributing circuits. With this context: a) Does Liberty sequence its top risk circuit projects one by one according to the risk ranking or does Liberty work on multiple top risk circuit projects simultaneously? b) On how many of the top 20 risk-contributing circuits will Liberty complete grid design and system hardening projects for wildfire mitigation during the 2023-2025 WMP cycle? c) Does Liberty use estimates of expected risk reduction to determine the sequence of mitigation work conducted on its top risk circuits? d) If the answer to part (c) is no, why not? | Liberty works on multiple circuits simultaneously. a) Liberty plans to conduct grid design and system hardening work on 16 of the top 20 risk-contributing circuits in 2023. c) No. d) As stated in Section 7.2.2.3 of its 2023 WMP, Liberty does not yet have sufficient information to calculate the risk reductions for top risk circuits and plans to develop an approach in 2023. Refer to Section 7.2.1 of Liberty's 2023 WMP for Liberty's estimated timeline to develop the baseline risk of assets falling in service given historic outage events by type to calculate the likelihood of the risk events in future with the planned mitigations corresponding to the events to estimate risk reduction. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response_06012023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.4 |
|--------------|----|---------------------------------|----|---------------|---|--|----------------|-----------|----------|----------|---|-----|--|-------|
| CA/Advocates | 9 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 9 | CA/Adv-09-9 | Page 96 of Liberty's WMP presents Table 6-7, Liberty Top-Risk Circuits, which provides risk scores for Liberty's top 20 risk-contributing circuits. Please provide an Excel table that supplements Table 6-7 with information about planned wildfire mitigation measures on each circuit during the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. Specifically, the table should address the following: a) Brief description of grid design and system hardening work planned for wildfire mitigation purposes in 2023-2025 (for example: 2.0 miles of undergrounding, 1.0 miles of covered conductor installation, and installation of 3 new restorer on this circuit). b) The month and year when Liberty began project planning for the work identified in part (a). c) The month and year when Liberty began construction or plans to begin construction of the work identified in part (a). d) The month and year when Liberty currently plans to complete the project(s) identified in part (a). e) Brief description of other wildfire mitigation measures planned in 2023-2025. f) Timeline for completion of the work identified in the previous part. | Refer to File: CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response-Question-9 | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response_06012023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.4 |
| CA/Advocates | 9 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 10 | CA/Adv-09-10 | Pages 104-105 of Liberty's WMP states: (In late January 2023, Liberty signed a formal agreement with Dreyer to pilot its asset risk decision-making solution to be incorporated, in part, in this WMP. If the pilot is successful, the pilot asset type and product-effective decision-making tools. Liberty will continue building out the risk-informed decision-making tools for multiple assets to better plan future investments and repairs and maintenance plans given budget and resource constraints. Please describe the goals, analytical methods, and duration of the aforementioned pilot project by Dreyer.) a) Describe the success criteria for the aforementioned Dreyer pilot project - in other words, what criteria is Liberty using to evaluate the success of the asset risk decision-making solution? b) Will the aforementioned pilot be completed by the end of 2023? c) If the answer to part (b) is "no," please state when Liberty expects the pilot to be complete. d) Please describe each specific way that Liberty anticipates utilizing the Dreyer tools to inform its 2023-2025 wildfire mitigation strategy. | a) Refer to file: CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response-Question-10a for the scope of work of the pilot project with Dreyer. Liberty provided data to Dreyer that included pole information and asset inspection information that was used to model in service risk for pole assets. The information included, but was not limited to, pole age, pole type, date of last inspection, GD 155 condition findings, vegetation LIDAR clearance findings, and financial costs of inspection and repair/replacement. Data was also provided from Technosolve to model fire risk. Dreyer combined the findings from in service risk and fire risk to create an overall risk score for pole assets throughout Liberty's territory. b) The final 2023 WMP technical guidelines were issued approximately three months prior to submission of the 2023 WMP. During that time, Liberty met with a list of vendors that could potentially help reduce Liberty's risk profile. Dreyer was selected for this pilot project and the deliverables agreed upon were provided subsequent to the 2023 WMP filing. Liberty is actively in the process of evaluating the deliverables, adjusting model weighting, and familiarizing internal resources with the tool. Thus, evaluation criteria have not been fully developed at this time. Examples of evaluation criteria Liberty will consider are cost, accuracy of risk identification and model outputs, the feasibility of scenarios and the ability to operationalize model outputs. c) Yes d) N/A e) Subsequent to evaluating Dreyer's modeling for pole assets, Liberty plans to operationalize outputs to inform decision-making. Liberty will continue working with Dreyer to run risk and financial scenarios that can inform decisions around which pole mitigations reduce risk compared to the costs of those mitigations. Moving forward, Liberty will consider including additional assets and other risk elements (i.e., conductor, vegetation, etc.). | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response_06012023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 6 | Risk Methodology and Assessment | 6.7 |
| CA/Advocates | 9 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09 | 11 | CA/Adv-09-11 | Page 107 of Liberty's WMP states "Liberty's strategy development for this WMP did not utilize wildfire risk scores developed by Reax." a) Does Liberty plan on utilizing the wildfire risk scores developed by Reax to help plan future decisions regarding wildfire mitigation? b) If the answer to part (a) above is yes, when does Liberty plan on utilizing the wildfire risk scores developed by Reax? c) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please describe how Liberty's current approach will change with the utilization of the wildfire risk scores by Reax. d) If the answer to part (a) is no, please explain why Liberty will not utilize the wildfire risk scores by Reax to help plan future decisions regarding wildfire mitigation. | a) Yes. b) Liberty plans on utilizing the wildfire risk scores developed by Reax in 2024. c) As stated in Section 7.1.4.2 of its 2023 WMP, Liberty plans to have a cohesive mitigation portfolio plan approach in its next WMP that incorporates data analytics and risk-informed decision-making assessment and monitoring to improve its overall risk reduction performance over time. d) N/A | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-09_Liberty-Response_06012023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Wildfire Mitigation Strategy Development | |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 1 | CA/Adv-10-1 | On page 174 of its WMP, Liberty states that its 2022 target for Patrol Inspections of Distribution Electric Lines and Equipment was erroneously established at 706.3 miles, in excess of its 2022 inspection target of 703 miles. Please respond to the following: a) Explain how Liberty mistakenly set a target of 706.3 miles. b) State the basis for why Liberty believes the target should have been closer to 503 miles (the amount Liberty was able to complete in 2022). c) Explain Liberty's process, procedure, or protocol for determining annual asset inspection targets for each type of inspection Liberty conducts. | a) Liberty erroneously reported its target at the total overhead miles for its service territory. Liberty does not perform detailed inspections in areas where detailed inspections are being conducted and thus the target should have been a function of total overhead miles minus overhead inspection miles. b) Liberty's 2022 target of 503 miles for Patrol Inspections of Distribution Electric Lines and Equipment is a function of total overhead miles (706.3 miles) minus detailed inspections completed in 2022 on overhead miles (203.4 miles). c) Refer to Table 8-8 in Liberty's 2023 WMP for Liberty's asset inspection frequency, method and criteria. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) | 8.1.3 |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 2 | CA/Adv-10-2 | On page 174 of its WMP, Liberty states that it completed Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) on 0.0044% of its detailed asset inspections in 2022, while Liberty's target was to QA/QC 0.0050% of the detailed asset inspections in 2022. Please respond to the following: a) How many individual asset inspections did Liberty conduct QA/QC on in 2022? b) Are both transmission and distribution detailed inspections included in the 0.0044% figure? c) If the answer to part (b) above is "no," please answer which type of detailed inspections is included in the 0.0044% figure. d) If the answer to part (b) above is "yes," please breakdown each type of detailed inspections included in the 0.0044% figure. e) Please provide an Excel table of the QA/QC checks that Liberty completed on detailed asset inspections in 2022. Please list in the Excel sheet the following information in columns: the date of the original detailed inspection, the date QA/QC was performed, what type of asset was inspected, the result of the QA/QC check, and any follow-up remediation or inspections that Liberty took as a result of the QA/QC check. f) State the basis for Liberty's target of performing QA/QC on 0.0050% of detailed asset inspections in 2022. g) Explain why Liberty missed the 0.0050% QA/QC target in 2022. | a) The discrepancy is a typo. Liberty's QA/QC target for detailed asset inspections is 0.5%. b) N/A c) 15 transmission (60 kV) and 9 distribution. d) Refer to File: CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response-Question-2. e) Liberty targeted 0.5% of detailed inspections to its QA/QC target as a starting point for the program and plans to escalate this sampling size in 2023. f) Three of the 27 selected locations were inaccessible in December (when the QA/QC was completed) due to snow. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) | 8.1.3 |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 3 | CA/Adv-10-3 | On page 182 of its WMP, Liberty states that it implemented its asset inspection QA/QC in 2022 with a 0.5% sample of detailed inspections that were inspected by third-party inspectors. Minimal differences were noted by the third-party inspectors, who found only very minor infractions during the inspections. Differences were noted as follows: a) Please explain the apparent discrepancy between the targets stated on pages 174 and 182 for QA/QC of detailed asset inspections (0.005% on page 174 and 0.5% on page 182). b) If these two targets refer to different types of QA/QC activities, please provide a thorough description of each activity that identifies the similarities and differences. | a) The discrepancy is a typo. Liberty's QA/QC target for detailed asset inspections is 0.5%. b) N/A c) Six re-inspections noted minor differences. d) Examples include missing level differences such as foreign sign, loose secondary down guy, and auto splice 1' away from insulator. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) | 8.1.6 |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 4 | CA/Adv-10-4 | On page 182 of its WMP, Liberty states that it implemented its asset inspection QA/QC in 2022 with a 0.5% sample of detailed inspections that were inspected by third-party inspectors. Minimal differences were noted by the third-party inspectors, who found only very minor infractions during the inspections. Differences were noted as follows: a) How many third-party QA/QC checks were completed on detailed asset inspections in 2022? b) Of the checks included in the answer to part (a), how many checks noted "differences" between the initial inspections and the third-party QA/QC inspections? c) Please describe and provide examples of the "very minor infractions." | a) Liberty halted its detailed inspections on January 1st, 2023, with the exception of detailed underground inspections due in 2023. b) 403 circuit miles. c) Liberty has not completed detailed inspections yet in 2023. d) Liberty is currently planning to resume its detailed inspections on January 1st, 2024. e) Liberty will remain in compliance with GD95 and 165. f) Yes. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) | 8.1.6 |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 5 | CA/Adv-10-5 | On page 183 of its WMP, Liberty states: As of May 1, 2023, Liberty has halted its detailed inspections in 2023 to eliminate its backlog of open work orders. Both contract crews and internal crews are working to expedite the process. Liberty also states that it "will halt its detailed inspections in order to catch up with its open maintenance work orders and resume detailed inspections in 2024." Please respond to the following: a) On what exact date in 2023 did Liberty halt its detailed inspections, as referenced in the above quote? b) What is Liberty's 2023 target for detailed asset inspections? c) How many detailed asset inspections has Liberty completed in 2023? d) Does Liberty intend to resume detailed asset inspections on a specific date in 2024 or will the resumption timing depend on how quickly Liberty is able to eliminate its backlog of open work orders? Please explain your response. e) Is Liberty currently in compliance with General Orders 95 and 165 regarding the frequency of detailed asset inspections? f) If Liberty conducts its detailed inspections for the remainder of 2023, will Liberty be in compliance with General Orders 95 and 165 on January 1, 2024? | a) Liberty is halting its detailed overhead inspections in 2023 in order to avoid further overlap of infractions found in its 2020 system surveys and prioritize repairs to infractions found during the system survey. b) Liberty's full system survey completed in 2020 included all overhead lines that normally are inspected over a five-year period. Liberty will remain compliant during 2023 without completing any overhead detailed inspections. c) The safety risk of halting detailed overhead inspections in 2023 is minimal. In addition to previous inspections and repair work, Liberty will be monitoring its system as part of its 2023 Resilience Project which will impact 15 feeders, or one third of Liberty's circuits. Additionally, Liberty will continue to make repairs and replace poles across both Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas in its service territory in 2023. | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8-1) | 8.1.7 |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 6 | CA/Adv-10-6 | On page 183 of its WMP, Liberty states: As of May 1, 2023, Liberty has halted its detailed inspections in 2023 to eliminate its backlog of open work orders. Both contract crews and internal crews are working to expedite the process. Liberty also states that it "will halt its detailed inspections in order to catch up with its open maintenance work orders and resume detailed inspections in 2024." Please respond to the following: a) Explain Liberty's rationale for halting detailed inspections in 2023 to reduce the number of open work orders on Liberty's system, as referenced in the quote above. b) Explain the prudence of halting detailed asset inspections until 2024. c) Estimate the potential safety risk caused by not conducting detailed inspections of Liberty infrastructure in 2023. | a) Liberty's current staffing resources include: a) Inspections: Five internal inspectors and one contract inspector b) Maintenance: Four internal crews and three contract crews available c) Open work orders and other: Same as above plus five internal troubleshooters b) Liberty's current staffing has been sufficient to comply with regulatory requirements for asset management and inspection. c) Zero - Liberty is fully staffed with inspection resources and one contractor resource. d) Five internal staff and one contractor is currently considered fully staffed. e) No. f) N/A g) N/A | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| CA/Advocates | 10 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10 | 7 | CA/Adv-10-7 | Page 109 of Liberty's WMP states that "Liberty sought to separately strategize future risk model refinements and approaches by onboarding new vendors to help develop a formal risk model decision framework for Liberty." a) Are the "new vendors" that Liberty refers to above IBM and Dreyer? b) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please provide the name of the vendors and a description of how Liberty is utilizing each vendor to develop its formal risk model decision framework. | a) Yes. b) N/A | Tahar Harashsh | 5/26/2023 | 6/1/2023 | 6/1/2023 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-10_Liberty-Response_06022023.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | | | |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 1 | CA/Adv-11-1.1 | Pages 109-110 discuss Liberty's risk evaluation process and how Liberty utilizes Figure 7-1: Risk Identification and Analysis for WMP. With this context: a) What is the total number of "discussion points" that Liberty will plot on Figure 7-1 while conducting its risk evaluation process? b) Please list all of the "discussion points" that Liberty will plot on Figure 7-1 as part of the risk identification and analysis. c) Please provide any documents generated from Liberty's risk evaluation process related to the Topaz circuit. d) Please provide any documents generated from Liberty's risk evaluation process related to the Muller circuit. e) Please provide any documents generated from Liberty's risk evaluation process related to the Meyers circuit. | a) Liberty does not know the total number of discussion points that will be included in its risk evaluation process as Liberty advances its risk model decision framework. b) Examples of discussion points included in the risk evaluation process are: • Identification of all risk events; • Likelihood of wildfire risk drivers; • Impacts of significant weather (snow and wind) on asset degradation and health; • Scenario analysis discussion; and • How seasonality affects the planning of overhead system design and operations. c) Liberty does not have any documents generated from the process related to the Topaz circuit. d) Liberty does not have any documents generated from the process related to the Muller circuit. e) Liberty does not have any documents generated from the process related to the Meyers circuit. | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.1 |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 2 | CA/Adv-11-1.2 | Pages 110-111 of Liberty's WMP identify the Muller circuit as being in an area of elevated wildfire risk. Liberty also states that it did not assess risk drivers impacting the overall risk score and used older studies to support this WMP. With this context: a) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2023 for the Muller circuit listed above? b) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please explain why Liberty has chosen not to conduct mitigation work on this circuit in 2023. c) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2024 for the Muller circuit listed above? d) If the answer to part (b) above is yes, please identify the mitigation work planned for 2024. | a) Yes. b) Liberty is completing traditional overhead hardening on three projects in 2023. Those projects are Cunningham Lane, Eastside Lane, Larsen Lane. Liberty is also replacing or repairing various poles on this circuit to address needs found during system surveys. c) N/A d) Yes. e) Liberty plans to complete approximately two miles of traditional overhead hardening in 2024. Those projects are still being determined. Liberty is also replacing or repairing various poles on this circuit to address needs found during system surveys. | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.1 |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 3 | CA/Adv-11-1.3 | Pages 110-111 of Liberty's WMP identify the Muller circuit as being in an area of elevated wildfire risk. Liberty also states that it did not assess risk drivers impacting the overall risk score and used older studies to support this WMP. With this context: a) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2023 for the Muller circuit listed above? b) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please explain why Liberty has chosen not to conduct mitigation work on this circuit in 2023. c) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2024 for the Muller circuit listed above? d) If the answer to part (b) above is yes, please identify the mitigation work planned for 2024. | a) Yes. b) Liberty is replacing or repairing various poles on this circuit to address needs found during system surveys. Liberty is also planning to do some system hardening on this circuit. The first project will likely occur in 2023 but there is a possibility that it may be as soon as 2024. | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.3 |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 4 | CA/Adv-11-1.4 | Pages 110-111 of Liberty's WMP identify the Meyers circuit as being in an area of elevated wildfire risk. Liberty also states that it did not assess risk drivers impacting the overall risk score and used older studies to support this WMP. With this context: a) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2023 for the Meyers circuit listed above? b) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please explain why Liberty has chosen not to conduct mitigation work on this circuit in 2023. c) If the answer to part (a) above is yes, please identify the mitigation work selected for the circuit. d) If the answer to part (a) above is no, please explain why Liberty has chosen not to conduct mitigation work on this circuit in 2023. e) Does Liberty have any system hardening mitigation work planned in 2024 for the Meyers circuit listed above? f) If the answer to part (b) above is yes, please identify the mitigation work planned for 2024. | a) Yes. b) Liberty is planning to complete two covered conductor projects on Meyers circuits in 2023. Those projects are Cello A (1.0 mile) and Cello B (0.9 miles). Liberty will also complete 1.1 miles of undergrounding on the Cascade Project. Liberty is also replacing or repairing various poles on these circuits to address needs found during system surveys. c) N/A d) Yes. e) Liberty is planning to complete two covered conductor projects on Meyers circuits in 2023. Those projects are Fallen Leaf B (1.53 miles) and Angora (0.70 miles). The Angora Project includes a microgrid. Liberty is also replacing or repairing various poles on these circuits to address needs found during system surveys. | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.3 |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 5 | CA/Adv-11-1.5 | Page 127 of Liberty's WMP states "Liberty is currently evaluating wildfire risk results in consultation with its analytics team. Liberty has developed an interim mitigation strategy for its vegetation portfolio and plans to expand this strategy to incorporate assets in the future." When does Liberty anticipate it will have expanded its interim mitigation strategy to incorporate asset management measures, as referenced in the quote? | During the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.3 |
| CA/Advocates | 11 | CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 6 | CA/Adv-11-1.6 | During the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. | | Tahar Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty-Response-to-DR-CA/Advocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyvillages.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7-1) | 7.1.4 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---------------------------------|---|----------------|--|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|---|--|---------|
| CalAdvocates | 11 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 7 | CalAdv-11-11.7 | <p>Page 128 of Liberty's WMP states, "Liberty is actively planning and executing wildfire mitigation initiatives while developing its risk based decision-making process."</p> <p>a) Are any WMP activities or initiatives that Liberty is executing in 2023 based upon the abovementioned risk based decision-making process?</p> <p>b) If the answer to part (a) is yes, please explain which activities or initiatives in 2023 flow from the risk based decision-making process.</p> <p>c) If the answer to part (a) is no, please explain why not.</p> | <p>a) No. b) N/A c) The abovementioned risk based decision-making process is not complete. Liberty used risk based principles and tools (i.e., Reax risk map) to inform decision-making.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty Response to DR CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7.1) | 7.1.4 |
| CalAdvocates | 11 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 8 | CalAdv-11-11.8 | <p>Page 135 of Liberty's WMP states:</p> <p>In conjunction with this study, Liberty also plans to assess the asset risk reduction and vegetation risk reduction at an operational performance level utilizing IBM's work management platform. IBM's Maximo asset health and predict solution that was customized for Liberty will integrate asset risk and detailed vegetation risk scores to help asset and vegetation managers better assess operational risk to plan and adjust work activities for significant weather event days, including elevated high fire risk days.</p> <p>a) Describe the success criteria for the abovementioned IBM Maximo project – in other words, what criteria is Liberty using to evaluate the accuracy of the asset and vegetation risk scores produced by IBM's Maximo platform?</p> <p>b) When (i.e., month and year) will the abovementioned platform be complete and operational?</p> <p>c) Please describe each specific way that Liberty anticipates utilizing the abovementioned IBM work management platform to inform its 2023-2025 wildfire mitigation strategy.</p> | <p>a) Examples of evaluation criteria Liberty considered are cost, accuracy of risk identification and model outputs, system compatibility, the flexibility of scenarios and the ability to operationalize model outputs given available resources. b) Liberty has decided to not move forward with IBM's proposed solution at this time. c) Liberty has decided to not move forward with IBM's proposed solution at this time.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty Response to DR CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7.1) | 7.2.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 11 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 | 9 | CalAdv-11-11.9 | <p>Page 138 of Liberty's WMP states:</p> <p>Liberty's risk-informed decision-making framework is under development. Liberty's engineering, planning, and regulatory staff will need three to six months post-project/delivery of all risk studies to fully engage with internal subject matter experts to evaluate the results of the risk analyses.</p> <p>a) When (i.e., month and year) does Liberty expect the development (referenced in the quote above) of the risk-informed decision-making framework to be finished?</p> <p>b) When (i.e., month and year) does Liberty expect all risk studies to be delivered (as mentioned in the quote above)?</p> <p>c) Please estimate when (i.e., month and year) Liberty expects to be able to utilize the abovementioned risk-informed decision-making framework to scope planned system hardening projects for the future.</p> <p>d) Please describe how Liberty planned system hardening projects occurring in 2023.</p> <p>e) Please describe how Liberty planned or will plan system hardening projects that will start in 2024.</p> | <p>a) Liberty plans to have an initial risk-informed decision-making framework for overhead assets by August 2023. b) Liberty does not know the timeline for when all risk studies will be delivered. Liberty's wildfire risk modeling is an ongoing process that is informed by the results of current risk studies (i.e., Technology modeling results, Reax modeling results, Dreyfus outputs, OES risk modeling guidelines, and collaborative discussions with stakeholders through processes such as the Risk Modeling Working Group.) c) Beginning in 2023. d) Liberty uses the Reax fire risk polygons and subject matter expert knowledge to target specific areas that have the highest wildfire risk or previous reliability or safety issues. e) Liberty will use the Reax fire risk polygons, its critical risk assessment, and subject matter expert knowledge to target specific areas that have the highest wildfire risk or previous reliability or safety issues.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/1/2023 | 6/6/2023 | 6/6/2023 | Liberty Response to DR CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 7 | Risk Evaluation (7.1) | 7.2.3 |
| CalAdvocates | 12 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12 | 1 | CalAdv-12-12.1 | <p>On pgs. 29-30 of its WMP, Liberty describes its actual WMP spends for the 2020-2022 cycle. Please provide a breakdown of the actual spends including at least the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk assessment and modeling Grid design and system hardening Asset management and inspections Vegetation management and inspections Situational awareness and forecasting <p>Other spending Please provide the breakdown in tabular format for each year, showing all the costs amounting to:</p> <p>a) \$33,333,000 for 2020, b) \$33,567,000 for 2021, and c) \$50,132,000 for 2022</p> | <p>a) Refer to Liberty's Revised Q4 2022 WMP Quarterly Data Report (QDR) submitted to OEIS on March 8, 2023. b) See response 1a. c) See response 1b.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 4 | Proposed Expenditures (4.3) | |
| CalAdvocates | 12 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12 | 2 | CalAdv-12-12.2 | <p>On p. 30 of its WMP, Liberty describes its planned spends for the 2023-2025 WMP cycle. Please provide a breakdown of the described proposed expenditures including at least the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk assessment and modeling Grid design and system hardening Asset management and inspections Vegetation management and inspections Situational awareness and forecasting <p>Other spending Please provide the breakdown in tabular format for each year, showing all the costs amounting to:</p> <p>a) \$48,391,000 for 2023, b) \$54,180,000 for 2024, and c) \$55,078,000 for 2025.</p> | <p>a) Refer to Liberty's Revised Q4 2022 WMP Quarterly Data Report (QDR) submitted to OEIS on March 8, 2023. b) See response 2a. c) See response 2b.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 4 | Proposed Expenditures (4.3) | |
| CalAdvocates | 12 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12 | 3 | CalAdv-12-12.3 | <p>On pgs. 201-202 of its WMP, Liberty provides Table B-18: "Liberty Vegetation Inspections Targets by Year." Please explain why the row describing Liberty's Vegetation Targets by Year for the Initiative Activity "Program – UDM" is blank.</p> | <p>The blank row in Table B-18 is a formatting error on the table carrying over from page 201 to page 202. There should only be one row for "Vegetation Management Inspection Program – UDM" and the relative activity row was inadvertently left up due to the page break.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Vegetation Management and Inspections (8.2) | 8.2.1.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 12 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12 | 4 | CalAdv-12-12.4 | <p>On p. 209 of its WMP, Liberty provides Figure B-4: "Liberty VM Inspection Overview."</p> <p>a) Please describe what steps Liberty takes if a customer refuses access to his or her property for either a vegetation inspection or a vegetation maintenance activity.</p> <p>b) Please provide any internal protocols, handbooks, or other documents that describe the actions Liberty takes if a customer refuses access to his or her property for either a vegetation inspection or a vegetation maintenance activity.</p> | <p>a) Liberty VM field personnel are unable to perform their job function due to a customer refusing access, the refusal shall be documented in the VM system and on the Refusal Form document. If possible, the vegetation condition and vicinity to facilities should be photographed for reference and recordkeeping. Liberty VM field personnel (VM inspectors, VM workers) communicate the refusal as soon as possible to their immediate supervisor for resolution. Every effort should be made by the supervisor to contact the property owner, or authorized agent to understand the basis of the refusal and determine an appropriate course of action toward resolution and work completion. Any contact or attempt made to resolve the refusal shall be documented by the employee initiating resolution. The supervisor may need to consult with Liberty VM for support if resolution is unobtainable or for approval if there is a request outside of the normal scope of work that would facilitate completing work. Should attempts to reach a resolution with the refusing party be unsuccessful, Liberty may need to take further action by requesting existing land or easement rights to be able to perform vegetation work. Liberty VM should attempt to exercise land and easement rights in order to perform the required work within the appropriate mitigation timeline. Liberty may be required to involve jurisdictional law enforcement to facilitate completing the required work necessary to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Law enforcement should be notified, or their presence requested, to facilitate gaining access or completing vegetation management work as needed. Liberty shall notify its Legal Department and Corporate Security team to advise on all hostile customers and take the necessary action to facilitate completing required work within the appropriate mitigation timeline through a court order or temporary restraining order. b) Liberty is in the process of developing its VM-06, Notification and Refusal Policy which provides guidance on interfacing with landowners including communication and notification processes, management of refusal scenarios, conflict resolution strategies, and the documentation of these processes through the lifecycle of identification and mitigation of required Vegetation Management work.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Vegetation Management and Inspections (8.2) | 8.2.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 12 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12 | 5 | CalAdv-12-12.5 | <p>On p. 243 of its WMP, Liberty provides Table B-31: "Fast Due Vegetation Management Work Orders Categorized by Age."</p> <p>a) In this table, does "age" refer to days since the work order was first created or days since the work order's due date?</p> <p>b) Please explain why there are 2,588 past due work orders in HFTD Tier 2 Areas with ages of 181+ days.</p> <p>c) Please describe the actions Liberty is currently taking to address the 2,588 past due work orders in HFTD Tier 2 Areas with ages of 181+ days.</p> <p>d) When does Liberty expect that all of these 2,588 past due work orders in HFTD Tier 2 Areas with ages of 181+ days will be fully resolved? Please explain your response.</p> | <p>a) Age refers to the date the work order was first created. b) Liberty defines how work orders are prioritized based on risk and how mitigation timeframes are identified based on observed field conditions in Section 8.2.6 of the 2023 WMP (page 240 – 241) and per its VM-05, Vegetation Threat Procedure. Liberty intends to complete work orders and mitigate identified tree conditions within the timeframes specified in its VM-05 based on the priority level of assigned to the vegetation work order at the time of inspection and describes this process on page 240-241 of the 2023 WMP. c) Of the 2,588 open work orders reported, 210 work orders remain open as of June 9th, 2023. 164 of the open work orders are on Capital improvement projects that is coordinated with Capital construction schedules. This work is currently in progress. 35 work orders on the "safer" fire resilient right-of-way project had maintenance deferred in late 2022 due to snow conditions and are planned to be completed in 2023. Liberty is in the process of evaluating the remaining 11 work orders to determine priority and requirements beyond standard operating procedures. d) Liberty expects to complete the remaining 164 work orders by the end of the third quarter in 2023.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-12_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Vegetation Management and Inspections (8.2) | 8.2.6 |
| CalAdvocates | 13 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13 | 1 | CalAdv-13-13.1 | <p>Request provide copies of the following documents:</p> <p>a) Corporate Emergency Management Plan (CEMP), dated April 27, 2022, referenced on p. 284 of your WMP</p> <p>b) Liberty Utilities Public Safety Power Shutoff Playbook, dated June 13, 2022, referenced on p. 284 of your WMP</p> <p>On p. 113 of its WMP, Liberty states: "NV Energy is the Transmission Owner for Liberty. A specific plan for communicating with NV Energy including the information to be provided is included in the Liberty CEMP."</p> <p>a) In NV Energy the same provider of electricity to Liberty's circuit?</p> <p>b) If the answer to part (a) is no, please list the circuits that NV Energy provides electricity to.</p> <p>c) Please describe Liberty's plan in the event of de-energization of its circuits by NV Energy.</p> <p>d) Has Liberty ever experienced any de-energizations (including, but not limited to PMS) because of loss of electricity supply from NV Energy transmission lines?</p> <p>e) If the answer to part (d) is yes, please state the date of each such outage since the beginning of 2018. If the answer to part (d) is yes, for each such outage since the beginning of 2018, please elaborate on the duration, number of customers affected, and actions that Liberty took during the outage.</p> | <p>a) Refer to supporting materials: Liberty Corporate Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) b) Refer to supporting materials: Liberty Public Safety Power Shutoff Playbook</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Emergency Preparedness (8.4) | 8.4.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 13 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13 | 2 | CalAdv-13-13.2 | <p>On p. 162 of its WMP, Liberty states: "[T]hese programs, in particular Liberty's SRP program, may reduce the need for PSPS in certain areas."</p> <p>a) Please explain how Liberty's SRP program may reduce the need for PSPS in certain areas.</p> <p>b) Please describe the decision-making process for a situation in which Liberty anticipates PSPS conditions but decides to use its SRP program instead.</p> <p>c) Please list at dates in 2022 when Liberty anticipated PSPS conditions but use its SRP program instead.</p> | <p>a) Yes. b) N/A c) To the extent possible, Liberty will follow PSPS protocols regarding communications if an NV Energy PMSD event impacts Liberty's power lines and customers. d) Liberty objects to this request as vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "de-energizations," "overhead, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, Liberty responds as follows: Yes. e) Liberty objects to this request as vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "de-energizations," "overhead, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, Liberty responds as follows: Refer to file: CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response Question 2. f) Liberty objects to this request as vague and ambiguous with regard to the term "de-energizations," "overhead, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the foregoing objections, Liberty responds as follows: Refer to file: CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response Question 2.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Emergency Preparedness (8.4) | 8.4.3.2 |
| CalAdvocates | 13 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13 | 3 | CalAdv-13-13.3 | <p>Request provide a description of the weather conditions in which Liberty enables its SRP program.</p> <p>a) Please identify the months or seasons in which Liberty enables its SRP program.</p> <p>b) Please provide relevant work documents or procedures that Liberty uses related to enabling its SRP program.</p> | <p>a) Various weather conditions influence the SRP decision process, including wind conditions, temperature conditions, and moisture content. b) The area of Liberty service territory and weather conditions in any given year or month affect whether SRP will be enabled. Based on historical conditions, SRP settings would be enabled in the summer and early fall when the moisture content is low and temperatures and wind conditions can be high. c) Liberty does not have any work documents directly related to SRP.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2.6 |
| CalAdvocates | 13 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13 | 4 | CalAdv-13-13.4 | <p>On p. 162 of its WMP, Liberty states: "Liberty will be expanding the 2022 Fast Trip, or SRP, pilot project because of its effectiveness."</p> <p>a) In Liberty's response to CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03, Question 1, the excel sheet column C "g. Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit during fast-trip settings in 2021" provides a value of 204,400 for the Circuit Meyers 3302. Please explain if the pilot SRP program began in 2021 or if Liberty used a different program for the de-energization.</p> <p>b) There are values listed in Liberty's response to CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-03, Question 1, the excel sheet column R "f. Total customer-minutes of de-energization on the circuit during fast-trip settings in 2022". Please clarify if the values listed in Liberty's response were due to the SRP program pilot.</p> <p>c) Please describe the scope, planned duration, goals, and success metrics of the 2022 Fast Trip / SRP pilot project.</p> <p>d) Other than expanding which circuits may use SRP settings, as shown in Appendix C, may there be a 2023 Restorative Relay Profile Program, on p. 474 of the 2023 WMP, how has Liberty modified its SRP program since 2021? For example, have the speed or sensitivity of the fast-trip settings changed?</p> <p>e) Please provide a list of the circuits included in Liberty's SRP program in 2022.</p> | <p>a) Liberty utilized a different program in 2021. Prior to the SRP program pilot in 2022, Liberty utilized "wildfire mode" settings which removes reclosing. The SRP program takes this a step further by removing reclosing and lowering the trip settings to a number that impacts relay coordination and reduces incident energy on fault conditions, which in turn reduces ignition risk. b) In 2022, Liberty utilized a mix of SRP settings and "wildfire mode" settings because the pilot program took time to develop, install, and commission settings. c) The goals in 2022 were to pilot the SRP program. This entailed working with UMR to research these settings and benchmark them against other major utilities. Liberty enabled SRP settings and began to track associated reliability metrics. With a small sample size, Liberty has not observed a noticeable decrease in reliability for the circuits on which SRP has been implemented. d) Liberty did not utilize SRP settings in 2021. Since the SRP program was started in 2022, there have not been any significant changes to the "speed or sensitivity" of the fast trip settings. Liberty plans to review the load seen by the overcurrent protection devices (a recloser or a substation circuit breaker) on the SRP circuits on an annual basis. This load data is then used to engineer a sensitive relay profile that aims to limit the incident energy developed on a fault event, thus reducing ignition risk, while also not "nuisance" tripping for events that are not actual faults. e) Meyers 3300 and Toyah 1261.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2.6 |
| CalAdvocates | 13 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13 | 5 | CalAdv-13-13.5 | <p>Liberty's response to question 8 of data request CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-11 discusses an "IBM Maximo project" and when the platform would be complete and operational. Liberty's response states, "Liberty has decided to not move forward with IBM's proposed solution at this time."</p> <p>a) Please explain why Liberty has decided not to move forward with the proposed solution from IBM to consolidate its risk data sources.</p> <p>b) Is Liberty pursuing an alternative solution for the same purpose? If so, please explain.</p> | <p>a) In its evaluation of whether to move forward with the proposed solution from IBM, Liberty considered factors including: • cost; • system compatibility, particularly the risk of moving forward with the solution prior to SAP implementation later this year; and • the ability to operationalize model outputs. b) Yes, Liberty is in the process of exploring alternative solutions.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/6/2023 | 6/9/2023 | 6/9/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-13_Liberty Response_06092023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | 8 | Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2.6 |
| CalAdvocates | 14 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-14 | 1 | CalAdv-14-14.1 | <p>Please explain why your QDR for Q1 of 2022 reports the total number of distribution ignitions in 2020 and 2021 as 26, but your QDR for Q2 of 2022 reports the total number of distribution ignitions in 2020 and 2021 as 4.</p> | <p>The number of ignitions reported in Liberty's QDR for Q1 and Q2 of 2022 is incorrect. Liberty's QDR for Q1 of 2022 correctly reports the total number of distribution ignitions in 2020 and 2021 as four.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/14/2023 | 6/19/2023 | 6/20/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-14_Liberty Response_06202023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | | |
| CalAdvocates | 15 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-15 | 1 | CalAdv-15-15.1 | <p>a) How many reportable distribution ignitions did Liberty experience in 2020?</p> <p>b) How many reportable distribution ignitions did Liberty experience in 2021?</p> <p>c) How many reportable distribution ignitions did Liberty experience in 2022?</p> | <p>a) One. b) Three. c) One.</p> | Talal Harashsh | 6/14/2023 | 6/19/2023 | 6/20/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-15_Liberty Response_06202023.pdf (libertyutilities.com) | | | |

| Requester | Request ID | Request Title | Request Description | Response Description | Response Date | Response Status | Response Content | Requester Contact | Requester Role | Requester Email | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--------------------|----------------|--|----------|-----|--|
| CalAdvocates | 15 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-15 | 3 | CalAdv-15-13 | Please provide an Excel sheet listing all ignitions that occurred on your system in 2020 through 2022. Each ignition should be a row. For each ignition, please provide the following columns of data: a) Date b) Circuit ID number c) Line Type (Distribution or Transmission) d) HFTD Tier at the ignition location e) Geographic latitude of the ignition location in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places f) Geographic longitude of the ignition location in decimal degrees, truncated to seven decimal places g) Cause of the ignition h) If the ignition involved equipment failure, identify the type of equipment i) List any actions you have taken to reduce the likelihood of future ignitions for the same cause. j) Was this ignition associated with equipment that had an open maintenance tag at the time of ignition? (yes/no) k) At the time of the ignition, was there an open vegetation management tag within 100 meters of the ignition location? (yes/no) Please provide Liberty's Pre-submission 2023-2025 WMP Base Plan Filed on March 6, 2023, with the OES per the 2023 WMP Guidelines and Schedule document, including attachments and associated supporting documents required for the Pre-submission 2023-2025 WMP Base Plan filing. | Refer to file: CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-15_Liberty Response Question 3. | Tahar Harahsheh | 6/14/2023 | 6/19/2023 | 6/20/2023 | CalAdvocates-Liberty-2023WMP-15_Liberty Response_06202023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 1 | | | |
| Green Power Institute | 1 | GPI-Liberty-2023WMP-01 | 1 | GPI-01-1.1 | Q01. Regarding Weather Station Standards and Locations: a. Liberty states in section 3.2.2 of its WMP (p. 243): "weather station network currently consists of 35 stations that are distributed throughout the service territory and plans to add an additional four stations in 2023. In addition to Liberty's weather stations, there are dozens more RAWs and NWS weather stations within the service territory that are monitored through the Maxwell network." i. Provide the installation and equipment standard that all Liberty weather stations are installed to, including height from ground, direction of cross-arm and which side of the pole/tower they are installed on. ii. Provide the total number of stations over the past three years, and the maintenance performed on each station. iii. Provide the total number of stations not serviced annually over the past three years. iv. Provide the estimated life span of each sensor and the replacement cycle for each. v. Provide the total number of repair requests initiated, per year, over the past three years. Include the time duration from initiation to completion of repair. vi. Provide the number of times per year Liberty is collecting weather data for use in its decision-making processes and situational awareness. vii. Provide either a map or table showing the locations of all weather stations currently being used by Liberty for its situational awareness and the location of the four weather stations that will be installed in 2023. Include all weather stations owned by outside entities that Liberty uses for its situational awareness. | Refer to attachment: "2023-03-06_Liberty_2023_WMP_R0_Public" for Liberty's 2023 WMP pre-submission. | Gregg Morris | 3/6/2023 | 3/9/2023 | 3/8/2023 | GPI_Liberty_2023WMP_01_Liberty Response_03082023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | WMP Pre-Submission | Administrative | N/A | 1 | | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 1 | OES-1-1.1 | Q02. Regarding Weather Station Standards and Locations: a. Liberty states in section 3.2.2 of its WMP (p. 243): "weather station network currently consists of 35 stations that are distributed throughout the service territory and plans to add an additional four stations in 2023. In addition to Liberty's weather stations, there are dozens more RAWs and NWS weather stations within the service territory that are monitored through the Maxwell network." i. Provide the installation and equipment standard that all Liberty weather stations are installed to, including height from ground, direction of cross-arm and which side of the pole/tower they are installed on. ii. Provide the total number of stations over the past three years, and the maintenance performed on each station. iii. Provide the total number of stations not serviced annually over the past three years. iv. Provide the estimated life span of each sensor and the replacement cycle for each. v. Provide the total number of repair requests initiated, per year, over the past three years. Include the time duration from initiation to completion of repair. vi. Provide the number of times per year Liberty is collecting weather data for use in its decision-making processes and situational awareness. vii. Provide either a map or table showing the locations of all weather stations currently being used by Liberty for its situational awareness and the location of the four weather stations that will be installed in 2023. Include all weather stations owned by outside entities that Liberty uses for its situational awareness. | Response to Q01: i. Standards including the height from ground and side of pole for installation are based on existing equipment that occupy the pole as well as bucket truck accessibility. Additional installation guidelines are provided in supporting materials: "Attachment Q01_LibertyWeather Station Installation Guide." ii. None iii. 2020: 10; 2021: 29; 2022: 29 iv. Refer to supporting materials: "Attachment Q01_Liberty Parts-Lifespan-Warranty." v. None vi. Liberty continuously collects weather data and compares observations to forecast in real time. Refer to: https://libertyweather.com/actual/. The number of observations per hour depends on the type of station (e.g., utility stations report every 10 minutes, RAWs report every 60 minutes, etc.). Refer to: • https://libertyweathergroup.com/ • Supporting materials: "Attachment Q01_Liberty Weather Station Locations" • Supporting materials: "Attachment Q01_Liberty Weather Station Locations" | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Situational Awareness & Forecasting (8.3) | 8.3.2 | 4 | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 2 | OES-1-1.2 | Q03. Regarding Fuel Moisture Sampling: a. Liberty states in section 3.2.2 of its WMP (p. 243): "In 2022, fuel moisture sampling was conducted on a weekly basis and will continue during the 2023 fire season." i. Provide a map of the Live Fuel Moisture (LFM) and Dead Fuel Moisture (DFM) sampling sites, including any sites used that are being collected by other entities (CA FIRE, USFS, BLM, etc.). ii. Provide a list of the vegetation types being sampled at each location. iii. Does Liberty use the National Fuel Moisture Database for this current limiting fuel moisture data and/or to house its collected data? iv. Will Liberty continue to conduct fuel moisture sampling after the 2023 season? 1. If so, explain why. | Response to Q02: i. Refer to: https://firemoisture.com ii. Vegetation types: • Sagebrush at Meyers and Topaz (CA) • Manzanita at Ward Creek and Burton Creek (CA) • Sagebrush at Verdi (NV) iii. No, the national fuel moisture database is no longer maintained. iv. Yes | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Situational Awareness & Forecasting (8.3) | 8.3.2 | | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 3 | OES-1-1.3 | Q03. Regarding Expansion Fuse Replacements: a. On page 167 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states: "As the end of 2022, Liberty became aware that one of the current limiting fuse options on the market was experiencing failures in the field. Liberty halted expansion fuse replacements because these current limiting fuses failed to provide ignition risk reduction." i. Provide the number of failures Liberty experienced with this current limiting fuse. ii. Provide the number of ignitions associated with this current limiting fuse that Liberty has experienced, broken down by year, if applicable. b. On page 167 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states: "The current limiting fuse vendor suggested no more fuses should be installed, and any that were installed needed to be continuously checked to confirm they did not have any air gaps that would lead to excessive heat buildup." i. Provide Liberty's current process for performing such continuous checks. ii. Provide Liberty's plans to reduce ignition risk relating to current limiting fuses that have been installed. iii. Provide the number of such current limiting fuses Liberty has installed within its territory, as well as the number of fuses installed by year since 2018 c. On page 167 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states: "In collaboration with other utilities and experts in the field, Liberty determined that removing this particular current-limiting fuse altogether and replacing it with a traditional expansion fuse—along with adding overreaching sensitive relay profiles to prevent the likelihood of the expansion fuses operating, enabling the poles, and clearing vegetation around the expansion fuses—will reduce ignition risk more than keeping the current-limiting fuses in place." i. Describe Liberty's plans and targets for performing such removals and replacements of the current-limiting fuses, including details on the fuses being used in the replacements. ii. What other options has Liberty evaluated for replacements of expansion fuses? Why is Liberty not pursuing such options? iii. Describe the collaboration Liberty has performed with other utilities and experts, including a list of such participants and Liberty's lessons learned. | Response to Q03: a. i. Liberty experienced four documented failures. ii. Liberty has experienced no ignitions associated with these fuses. b. i. The language quoted in this question was incorrectly stated in Liberty's 2023 WMP. The words "continuously checked" were an error and should have been "continuity-checked." i. Liberty plans to replace the fuses with ELF non-expansion fuses. ii. 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Fuses Replaced 250 3150 557 1858 c. i. Liberty plans to replace the fuses with ELF non-expansion fuses. Liberty will work to remove and replace as many fuses as possible throughout 2023 and will conduct work in conjunction with pole repairs and replacements when possible. ii. Liberty conducted a search for alternative non-expansion fuses working with vendors and industry experts. The ELF fuse was selected as the best solution. iii. Liberty has reviewed non-expansion fuse issues with San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). After a thorough internal engineering review and collaboration call with PG&E's asset management team, Liberty decided to use | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2 | | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 4 | OES-1-1.4 | Q04. Regarding O&M/For Asset Inspections: a. On page 182 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states: "Current pass rates and pass rate targets are not currently available. Pass rates and targets will be established and implemented for use during its 2023 O&M/For inspections." i. Has Liberty established these pass rates? If so, provide pass rates broken down by inspection type as applicable. If not, provide Liberty's expected timeline for establishment, and describe how Liberty plans to develop such pass rates. | Response to Q04: i. No. Liberty expects it to take two years of program implementation and data collection to determine the appropriate metrics and scoring criteria to measure O&M/For program performance, including establishing an Acceptable Quality Level ("AQL") and Conformance Rate ("CR"). | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.3 | | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 5 | OES-1-1.5 | Q05. Regarding Open Work Orders: a. In Table B-11 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty shows a total of 390 overdue work orders in HFTD Tier 2 or 3, with 285 work orders 181+ days overdue. i. Provide details as to why these work orders are overdue, including reasons on cause for delay. | Response to Q05: a. The main cause includes limited resources being diverted to respond to storm events instead of being directed toward GO 95 infractions. Liberty plans to continue to address its outstanding Level 2 repairs in 2023 and plans to bring on additional contract resources in Q3 and Q4 of 2023 in order to stay in compliance with GO 95 timelines. | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2 | | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 6 | OES-1-1.6 | Q06. Regarding Fast Trip Settings: a. On page 185 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states: "the use of fast trip settings will have an impact on system reliability." What, if any, reliability impacts has Liberty observed from use of fast trip settings so far? This should include data on the following: i. Number of outages that occurred while fast trip settings were enabled. ii. Number of customers affected by such outages. iii. Duration of outages that occurred while fast trip settings were enabled. iv. Customer interruption minutes associated with such outages. b. How is Liberty working to reduce reliability impacts from fast trip settings, moving forward? c. What percentage of Liberty's territory is currently included in its fast trip program? d. What percentage of Liberty's territory will be included in the expansion of its fast trip program through the inclusion of the 12 additional feeders? e. On page 185 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, Liberty states that "Liberty management will take all pertinent data into consideration before implementing a settings change for wildfire mitigation with the understanding of the possible effects on its customers." List the data that Liberty takes into consideration. f. Provide data on the number of times fast trip settings were enabled in 2022. This should include: i. Number of devices with fast trip settings capabilities. ii. Number of days fast trip settings were enabled. iii. Number of times fast trip settings were enabled. iv. Duration of enablement. | Response to Q06: a. Refer to supporting materials: "Attachment Q06.a and Q06.b - Liberty Fast Trip Data." b. Liberty is planning to implement sensitive relay profile (SRP) settings that are designed to not cause nuisance trips but will trip as needed to provide protection. Settings will be staged to minimize portions of circuits that will be de-energized. In addition, Liberty will be adding fault indicators on circuits with SRP settings in order to aide in quickly locating faults and restoring power. c. The percentage of Liberty's system with fast trip capabilities is three percent. d. The percentage of Liberty's system with planned fast trip capabilities is 18 percent. e. Liberty will utilize its weather consultant, Reax, to monitor forecast and real-time weather conditions, just like a POPS scenario. Weather data that Reax monitors includes wind speed, wind gust, relative humidity, P/W index, ETC. Fuel moisture samples and Red Flag Warning days. Liberty also collaborated with University of Nevada, Reno PhD Electrical Engineering program and other California utilities to help develop the settings. Settings changes will be implemented at 100-mile intervals below those of a POPS. f. Refer to supporting materials: "Attachment Q06.a and Q06.b - Liberty Fast Trip Data." | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.2 | 1 | |
| OES | 1 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001 | 7 | OES-1-1.7 | Q07. Alert/Wildfire Camera Sponsorship: a. In Liberty's 2023 WMP (pp. 265-266), Liberty states it intends to sponsor and support eight HD Cameras within its territory in 2023. Liberty stated it is finalizing the partnership for the eight cameras prior the fire season both in 2023 (p. 83) and 2022 (p. 107) WMP submissions. i. Provide an overview of the process involved in adopting/partnering/sponsoring of these eight cameras. ii. Provide an explanation behind the delay in achieving the previous targeted goals for partnering/adopting/sponsoring of the eight HD Cameras, including specific challenges or obstacles that led to the postponement. iii. Provide the locations of the eight targeted locations that Liberty plans to sponsor. | Response to Q07: a. i. Liberty has partnered with the University of Nevada, Reno and the Alert/Wildfire camera network to bring eight cameras to the Lake Tahoe Basin as well as the ability to access other existing cameras within Liberty's service territory. The process has included discussions with Alert/Wildfire regarding the Alert/Wildfire annual operations services, scope of work, pricing and locations. The process has also included negotiating a service agreement for the targeted Alert/Wildfire cameras. ii. Liberty has experienced challenges finalizing terms in the service agreement for the targeted Alert/Wildfire cameras, specifically the minimum insurance coverage requirement. iii. • D. L. Bliss State Park, CA • Alpine Meadows CTC, CA • Marin Peak, CA • Slide Mtn, NV • Diamond Peak, NV • Zephyr Cove, NV • Bald Mtn, NV • Fallen Leaf Lake | Nathan Poon | 6/7/2023 | 6/13/2023 | 6/13/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-001_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 8 Grid Design, operations, and maintenance (8.1) | 8.1.8 | | |
| OES | 2 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002 | 1 | OES-2-2.1 | a. Liberty describes a back-up power program in Section 2.1.3 of its Plan to Support Populations with Access and Functional Needs During PSPS. i. What type of battery back-up service does Liberty provide to medical baseline customers? ii. In 2021 and 2022, how many customers participated in this back-up power program? How many customers does Liberty project to participate in the program in 2023, 2024, and 2025? | Response to Q07: i. Liberty proposed a behind-the-meter battery program for medical baseline customers in its Customer Resiliency Program application (LA 22-02-008). Cal Advocates opposed the program, and the proposal was dropped in a settlement agreement submitted to the CPUC. In that proceeding, Liberty is currently reevaluating options for providing back-up power to its medical baseline customers. ii. Liberty did not have a battery back-up service for medical baseline customers in 2021 and 2022. Liberty does not have customer projections for a battery back-up service for medical baseline customers in 2023, 2024 and 2025. | Nathan Poon | 6/18/2023 | 6/21/2023 | 6/21/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | N/A | AFN Plan | N/A | |
| OES | 2 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002 | 2 | OES-2-2.2 | a. Has Liberty performed a cost-benefit analysis of its annual LiDAR inspections? i. If so, provide a brief discussion of the results of that cost-benefit analysis. ii. Has Liberty performed any type of effectiveness study or studies as it relates to its LiDAR inspections, including, but not limited to, the effectiveness of LiDAR to accurately calculate clearance distances and identify potential fall in hazards? iii. If so, provide a brief discussion of the results of that study/those studies. | Response to Q07: i. Yes, Liberty completed a cost-benefit analysis of its annual LiDAR inspections after 2020 when the program was first implemented. The results of that analysis showed LiDAR inspections provide a lower cost per mile for performing inspections than that of ground-based inspections. Additional benefits have been realized due to the ability to perform these inspections on an annual basis resulting in a decrease in time between inspections. Below a table demonstrating the cost difference between Liberty's various vegetation inspection methods from 2020. (See DR response for table b). ii. Liberty has not conducted an effectiveness study related to the use of LiDAR inspections. The use of LiDAR technology for utility vegetation management has been in use for several years and Liberty has relied on the use of industry-specific studies that have been previously conducted to validate the accuracy of the technology. Previous studies determined LiDAR as a superior method of inspections when evaluating vegetation to conductor distances, because it eliminates human error and provides a higher degree of accurate vegetation inspections. Additionally, Liberty receives an annual technical report from its LiDAR vendor which provides data regarding calibration and accuracy of the sensors used to perform the inspections. The Liberty program LiDAR data is thoroughly tested for data accuracy and consistency using ground survey data collected at the same time as the aerial LiDAR collection. This review will confirm the data across the system is internally consistent (point to point) as well as surface to ground. The relative accuracy (internal consistency of the point to point measurement of the data set) is 2.7 cm (0.09 ft). The absolute data accuracy of the lidar surface compared to 90 ground control points was 3.5 cm (0.11 ft) RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error) and 6.8 cm (0.22 ft) at the 95 percent confidence level (RMSE x 1.96). The result is generated by comparing the ground control points to the LiDAR surface. This is tested using industry standards for APRS Guidelines for Vertical Accuracy Reporting for Lidar Data. | Nathan Poon | 6/18/2023 | 6/21/2023 | 6/21/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| OES | 2 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002 | 3 | OES-2-2.3 | a. In Section 6.1 (page 103) of its WMP, Liberty states that independent review is not applicable due to being in the early stages of implementing and using a new wildfire risk model. i. Once Liberty has fully implemented its wildfire model, what does Liberty expect the independent review process for its wildfire risk modeling, and the data collection and generation of its wildfire risk modeling? ii. What elements of Liberty's wildfire risk modeling does it expect will require independent review (e.g., model integration, input data, review triggers)? | Response to Q07: i. Liberty expects the independent review process to be inclusive of an assessment of SMTs in the fields of wildfire, terrain, and statistics. ii. The independent review process should encompass the data inputs and the statistical framework/model. | Nathan Poon | 6/18/2023 | 6/21/2023 | 6/21/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 6 Risk Methodology and Assessment (6.6) | 6.6.1 | | |
| OES | 2 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002 | 4 | OES-2-2.4 | a. Regarding Section 6.1.1, Independent Review and Section 6.1.2, Model Controls, Design, and Review, what parts of Liberty's risk modeling capabilities will remain "in-house" after the Technology model is fully implemented? i. How are Technology's analytics used to make risk mitigation decisions? ii. Describe the risks and functions Liberty's staff have in the process that uses vendor analytics to produce risk identification, prioritization, and mitigation decisions. iii. Besides Technology, what other risk modeling vendors and subject matter experts will be involved in the on-going operation of Liberty's wildfire risk modeling, once fully implemented? | Response to Q07: a. After the risk modeling framework is fully implemented, Liberty will be able to run scenarios, develop model characteristics, and gain tactical insights from the risk modeling. Technology's analytics will be inputs to the risk-based decision-making frameworks that Liberty is developing in conjunction with Deeryox. The frameworks are under development and Liberty is identifying how the data and analytics will be used to inform decisions. i. Liberty's staff are directly involved in decision-making, collection and review of data inputs/outputs, and the development of the overall risk framework. ii. Besides Technology, Liberty plans to continue to work with Reax and Deeryox on its wildfire risk modeling. Liberty subject matter experts ("SME") will be involved in the on-going operation of Liberty's wildfire risk modeling, including SMEs from data analytics, operations, regulatory, planning and engineering. | Nathan Poon | 6/18/2023 | 6/21/2023 | 6/21/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-002_Liberty Response_07122023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | 6 Risk Methodology and Assessment (6.1) | 6.1.1 | | |
| OES | 3 | OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-003 | 1 | OES-3-3.1 | a. Does Liberty plan on replacing installed, non-exempt lightning/surge arrestors with CALFIRE exempt lightning/surge arrestors? If so, provide a timeline for the project and yearly replacement targets. | Response to Q07: a. Liberty has initiated its evaluation of exempt lightning/surge arrestors. Liberty recently received sample materials and Liberty's Standards Committee plans to evaluate and recommend construction standards for install prior to piloting the technology. There are currently no timelines or yearly replacement targets set. | Nathan Poon | 7/21/2023 | 8/1/2023 | 8/1/2023 | Data Request OES-P-WMP_2023-LU-003_Liberty Response_08012023.pdf@berkeleyliberty.com | | | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|------------------------|
| | OEIS | | 3 | OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-003 |
| | | | | |
| | OEIS | | 3 | OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-003 |
| | | | | |
| | OEIS | | 4 | OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-004 |

2

3

1

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| OEIS-3-3.2 | <p>a. On page 55 of its WMP, Liberty states it has not conducted a wildfire risk assessment using the 85th percentile consequence calculation.</p> <p>i. Provide Liberty's expected timeline for completion of this calculation, including an explanation of any factors contributing to potential delays in calculating this risk score, if applicable.</p> | <p>i. Liberty expects to complete the wildfire risk assessment using the 85th percentile consequence calculation prior to the 2024 WMP Update.</p> | Nathan Poon | 7/27/2023 | 8/1/2023 | 8/1/2023 | Data Request OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-003_Liberty_Response_08012023.pdf@iberty.utiles.com |
| OEIS-3-3.3 | <p>a. On page 36 of its WMP, Table 5-2. Overview of Key Liberty Electrical Equipment, Overhead Transmission and Distribution Lines (circuit miles), Liberty states that it has 774.1 circuit miles in the HFTD and 35.6 circuit miles in the Non-HFTD with a Total of 793.7 circuit miles.</p> <p>i. Provide Liberty's total circuit miles of Overhead Transmission Lines in the HFTD and Non-HFTD and Liberty's total circuit miles of Overhead Distribution Lines in the HFTD and Non-HFTD.</p> | <p>a. In responding to this question, Liberty identified an error in Table 5-2 of its 2023 WMP submission. The correct numbers for the Overhead Transmission and Distribution Lines in Table 5-2 should be 673.29 circuit miles in the HFTD and 34.72 circuit miles in the Non-HFTD, with a total of 708.01 circuit miles. Please refer to the table below. (See response for table)</p> | Nathan Poon | 7/27/2023 | 8/1/2023 | 8/1/2023 | Data Request OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-003_Liberty_Response_08012023.pdf@iberty.utiles.com |
| OEIS-4-1.1 | <p>a. On page 169 of Liberty's 2023 WMP, footnote 53 states that Liberty does not have a separate program for transmission inspections. Liberty's 94 miles of transmission lines are included in the distribution inspection program.</p> <p>i. Describe how transmission inspections are included in the distribution inspection program.</p> <p>ii. Describe any differences with the transmission inspection process compared to distribution, as applicable.</p> <p>b. For transmission detailed inspections:</p> <p>i. Are overhead transmission detailed inspections performed on a 5-year cycle? If so, describe this process, including any timeline differences for HFTD. If not, explain why.</p> <p>ii. Are approximately 20% of the transmission line miles inspected each year, or is the entirety of the transmission system inspected in one year, then again five years later?</p> <p>iii. Are transmission line detailed inspections executed using the same checklists and/or manuals as distribution detailed inspections? If so, how does Liberty account for transmission-specific equipment?</p> <p>iv. Are there different inspector qualifications required for transmission line inspections vs distribution line inspections? If so, describe such qualifications.</p> <p>c. For transmission intrusive pole inspections:</p> <p>i. Are transmission intrusive pole inspections performed on a 10-year cycle? If so, describe this process, including any timeline differences for HFTD. If not, explain why.</p> <p>ii. Are approximately 10% of the transmission poles inspected each year, or are the entirety of the transmission system poles inspected in one year, then again years later?</p> <p>iii. Are transmission pole intrusive inspections executed using the same checklists and/or manuals as distribution intrusive inspections? If so, how does Liberty account for transmission-specific equipment?</p> <p>d. For transmission patrol inspections</p> <p>i. Are transmission patrol inspections completed annually? If so, describe this process, including any timeline differences for HFTD. If not, explain why.</p> <p>ii. Are transmission line patrol inspections executed using the same checklists and/or manuals as distribution patrol inspections? If so, how does Liberty account for transmission-specific equipment?</p> | <p>a.</p> <p>i. Transmission inspections are treated the same as distribution inspections and are scheduled as such.</p> <p>ii. There are no differences.</p> <p>b.</p> <p>i. Transmission inspections are on a 5-year cycle, same as distribution. Currently, there are no differences for HFTD zones. Liberty stays compliant with the GO365 timelines for overhead inspections. ii. Transmission is inspected during 2 of the 5-year cycle. North Lake Tahoe transmission in one year, and South Lake Tahoe transmission in another.</p> <p>ii. Yes, the same checklists are used for transmission as distribution.</p> <p>iv. No.</p> <p>c.</p> <p>i. Yes, intrusive pole inspections are on a 10-year cycle. Liberty uses a 3rd party contractor to perform these inspections and any urgent pole replacements are completed as soon as possible. Currently, there are no differences for HFTD zones. Liberty stays compliant with the GO365 timelines for overhead inspections.</p> <p>ii. Yes, the transmission is rolled into the 10-year schedule with the distribution circuits.</p> <p>iii. Yes, same checklists.</p> <p>d.</p> <p>i. Patrol inspections are completed on transmission annually except for years where those transmission lines are on the detailed inspection schedule. Currently, there are no differences for HFTD zones. Liberty stays compliant with the GO365 timelines for overhead inspections.</p> <p>ii. Yes, same checklists.</p> | Nathan Poon | 8/18/2023 | 8/23/2023 | 8/23/2023 | Data Request OEIS-P-WMP_2023-LU-004_Liberty_Response_08232023.pdf@iberty.utiles.com |

7/27/2023 8/1/2023 8/1/2023

7/27/2023 8/1/2023 8/1/2023

8/18/2023 8/23/2023 8/23/2023

6 Risk Methodology and Assessment

5 Electrical Infrastructure (5.2)

8 Grid Design, operation, and maintenance (8.1)

5.2

8.1.1