

May 20, 2022

Koko Tomassian, Compliance Program Manager Compliance Assurance Division Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety California Natural Resources Agency 715 P Street 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 **BY OEIS E-FILING** 

**SUBJECT:** Southern California Edison Company's Response to Notices of

Violation - SCE ATJ 202111202-01 Revised, SCE ATJ 20211208-01 Revised, and SCE EDC 20211207-01 Revised, (No Written Hearing

Requested)

#### Dear Koko Tomassian:

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) appreciates the revisions to Notices of Violation - SCE ATJ 202111202-01, SCE ATJ 20211208-01, and SCE EDC 20211207-01 by the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety (Energy Safety) on April 20, 2022. SCE also appreciates the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety's (OEIS) efforts to identify, communicate and work together to resolve potential wildfire risks. These Notices were issued to SCE on March 23, 2022 based on Energy Safety field inspections conducted in SCE's territory in December 2021.

The enclosed response describes corrective actions taken or planned by SCE to remedy the findings identified in the above notices and prevent recurrence.

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact Liz Leano at 626-302-3662 or <a href="Elizabeth.Leano@sce.com">Elizabeth.Leano@sce.com</a>. SCE is looking forward to address findings where appropriate and work to support clarification of the inspection process as OEIS expands the geographic scope of its inspection program in 2022.

Sincerely,

//s//
Erik Takayesu
SVP Asset Strategy and Planning
Southern California Edison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Findings addressed by Notices: SCE ATJ 202111202-01 Revised #1, #2, #4, and #5, SCE ATJ 20211208-01 Revised #1, #2, and #4, and SCE EDC 20211207-01 Revised #1, #2, and #4. The additional findings are addressed in SCE's response to NOVs that are subject to a request for written hearing.

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY **INTRODUCTION**

For the findings discussed in this response, SCE agrees to address each issue within the timeframe provided by Energy Safety, as explained in more detail below. To simplify the response, SCE has consolidated similar findings from multiple Notices of Violations (NOV) into a single response by type of finding. This response includes findings from the following NOVs: SCE ATJ 202111202-01 Revised, SCE ATJ 20211208-01 Revised, and SCE EDC 20211207-01 Revised.2

As shown in the detail below, SCE will correct/remediate these findings. Regarding prevention of recurrence, SCE's field inspections (both ground and aerial) are a detective control used to identify items that need to be remediated. Additionally, SCE is performing quality control reviews of completed construction in High Fire areas using a risk-based approach, which includes higher levels of sampling in higher risk areas. These quality reviews help drive continuous improvement by identifying nonconformances with SCE standards, determining causes of non-conformance, and/or driving corrective actions to improve performance. If performance falls below certain thresholds, SCE will require corrective actions.

While SCE is not requesting a written hearing for the findings addressed in this response, SCE reserves the right to raise these points in subsequent procedural stages and/or proceedings.3

1) In some cases, the Notices include duplicative violations – that is, multiple alleged violations of rules, regulations, or laws that are based on the same underlying conduct. For example, the Covered Conductor data accuracy findings and the missing covered conductor findings should not be considered as two separate "violations" (e.g., SCE ATJ 20211202-01 Revised). Duplicative violations are not consistent with the rule that a party cannot be punished

affording parties the right to present evidence and examine witnesses. The statute establishes that Energy Safety is the successor to the Wildfire Safety Division at the Public Utilities Commission, which, notably, does not have a written hearing process. Rather, parties may request an in-person hearing to address contested issues of fact. In this instance, it seems logical to assume that the statutory intent of Government Code Section 15475.4 was to establish an in-person hearing process, similar to Energy Safety's predecessor agency. While Energy Safety characterizes the process as an "appeal" in California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 17 (Emergency Regulation) § 29104, the statute affords electrical corporations a hearing. The Regulations should be expanded to allow the electrical corporations to request oral hearings when warranted.

<sup>2</sup> This response addresses the following NOV findings: SCE ATJ 202111202-01 Revised #1, #2, #5, and #6, SCE ATJ 20211208-01 Revised #1, #2, #5, and #6, and SCE EDC 20211207-01 Revised #1, #2, and #4. The additional findings are addressed in SCE's response to NOVs that are subject to a request for written hearing.

<sup>3</sup> Government Code Section 15475.4 anticipates a "hearing" process, which traditionally implies an in-person hearing

- multiple times for the same conduct, which goes against fundamental fairness and due process principles.<sup>4</sup>
- 2) SCE's alleged deviation from its own standards and protocols is not in and of itself a basis for a Notice of Violation or defect; such a deviation does not necessarily mean the requirements for such notices have been met under Government Code Sections 15474.2 or 15475.4 or California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 17 (Emergency Regulation) § 29302. For example, not adhering to internal construction or design standards in some cases (e.g., bolted wedge connector) should be considered neither a "violation" nor a "defect" (e.g., SCE ATJ 20211202-01 Revised)
- 3) Although Energy Safety has the right to refer certain issues to the CPUC for an enforcement action, the findings in these Notices do not support referral.<sup>5</sup>
- 4) SCE does not believe any of the findings addressed in the response support a Notice of Violation.<sup>6</sup>

4 See United States v. Halper, 490 U.S. 435 (1989), abrogated on other grounds by Hudson v. United States, 522 U.S. 93 (1997); Witte v. United States, 515 U.S. 389, 396 (1995); De Anza Santa Cruz Mobile Estates Homeowners Ass'n. v. De Anza Santa Cruz Mobile Estates, 94 Cal. App. 4th 890, 913(2001); Troensegaard v. Silvercrest Indus., Inc., 175 Cal. App. 3d 218, 227-28 (1985).

5 For each of the notices, Energy Safety includes language stating that "Pursuant to Public Utilities Code § 8389(g), following receipt of SCE's response to this NOV and resolution of any disputes, this matter may be referred to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for its consideration of potential enforcement action, as the CPUC deems appropriate." None of the notices discussed herein meets the requirement for OEIS referral for enforcement action to the CPUC based on the statutory requirements that OEIS referral be based on substantial compliance with WMPs. Energy Safety cites PUC Section 8389(g) in support of a potential enforcement action. However, Section 8389(g) provides for a possible enforcement action where "an electrical corporation is not in compliance with its approved wildfire mitigation plan." PUC Section 8386.1 further specifies that penalties shall be assessed for failure to substantially comply with a WMP.

<sup>6</sup> "Notices of violation" are defined as "identifying non-compliance with an approved Wildfire Mitigation Plan or any law, regulation, or guideline within the authority of the Office." California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 17 (Emergency Regulation) § 29302(b). Energy Safety has not demonstrated how the findings addressed in this Response show "non-compliance with a WMP or any law, regulation or guideline with the authority of the office". "Notices of defect" are defined as "identifying a deficiency, error, or condition increasing the risk of ignition posed by electrical lines and equipment requiring correction." California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 17 (Emergency Regulation) § 29302(b). Although SCE does not necessarily agree that all the findings addressed in this response demonstrate an increased ignition risk, the findings at most should be characterized as "defects" rather than "violations". For example, SCE does not believe findings for SCE\_EDC\_ 20211207-01 Revised #4 is a WMP compliance or wildfire ignition risk issue. While SCE appreciates being notified of such an issue, it should not be classified as either a WMP violation or a defect. SCE's response, and its agreement to remediate conditions identified by OEIS, shall not be construed as an admission that a defect or violation exists.

#### **SCE Response**

Finding: Missing Covered Conductor Data Accuracy

- manigi micenig corolea conductor bata / toodi do			
Notice	Finding #	Structures	
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211208-01 Revised	1	453043E, 4920022E	
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211202-01 Revised	1	45377945E, 4568486E, OH-7017637, OH-7017636	

**Summary of Findings:** Per SCE's 2021-Q1 and Q2 quarterly data reports (QDR), "All these structures [SCE] reported a covered conductor initiative (2021 WMP initiative number 7.3.3.3.1) with a status of "Complete." According to the Notification, "However, upon inspection, SCE has not even begun covered conductor installation at any these locations. Energy Safety considers these data accuracy violations to be in the Moderate risk category."

**Response:** In discussions with Energy Safety, SCE explained the covered conductor data discrepancies were due primarily to an issue identified when translating covered conductor point spatial data, which is how SCE tracks and records its work, to line spatial data, which is how Energy Safety requests this information. Accordingly, the 2021-Q1 and 2021-Q2 quarterly data reports (QDR) submitted by SCE did not accurately reflect where SCE has actually installed covered conductor.

On March 14, 2022, SCE submitted 2021 covered conductor point data to Energy Safety with four layers, one for each quarter, to ensure Energy Safety has data that is representative of SCE's best records for where and when covered conductor is installed in the field. SCE will review/evaluate its QC process before submitting future quarterly data reports. SCE will also work to improve the accuracy of translating its point data to line data and in the interim will continue providing the covered conductor point data to Energy Safety.

While SCE recognizes that its initial 2021 QDR data submittals, in line data format requested by OEIS, were not at the level needed, the issue does not reflect a violation of the WMP, nor should SCE be cited twice for the same issue (covered conductor data and missing covered conductor). SCE has been actively working with OEIS to develop the reporting capabilities that accurately meet the requested QDR format.

Finding: Failure to Install Covered Conductor

Notice	Finding #	Structures
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211208-01 Revised	2	453043E, 4920022E
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211202-01 Revised	2	45377945E, 4568486E, OH- 7017637, OH-7017636

**Summary of Findings:** "Per SCE's 2021-Q1 quarterly data report (QDR), covered conductor was installed on these poles...Upon inspection, Energy Safety staff found no covered conductor installed at the above-mentioned structures. Energy Safety considers this violation related to incomplete WMP work to be in the Moderate risk category."

**Response:** The issues for the majority of the poles identified in this finding were due to the data translation issues described above (point data to line data). Further, two of the poles actually had covered conductor installed. As such, while its initial 2021 QDR data submittals, in line data format, requested by OEIS were not at the level needed, the issue does not reflect a violation of the WMP, nor should SCE be cited twice for the same issue.

The data translation issue described above resulted in the following structures: 453043E, 4920022E, 45377945E, 4568486E, OH-7017637, OH-7017636 being incorrectly identified as having covered conductor installed in SCE's QDRs even though it has not yet been installed (except for 4920022E for which there are no plans to install covered conductor). Correcting the data translation issue above will resolve this finding.

**Finding: Bolted Wedge Connector** 

Notice Notice	Finding #	Structures
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211208-01 Revised	3	1008927E
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211202-01 Revised	4	4628153E
NOV_SCE_EDC_ 20211207-01 Revised	2	4415240E

**Summary of Findings:** "Pole did not have bolted wedge connector cover installed on the center phase. Energy Safety considers this a violation for failure of adhering to protocol and in the Minor risk category."

**Response:** SCE agrees to address this issue on structures 4628153E and 4415240E and within the timeframe provided by Energy Safety.

For Structure 1008927E, SCE previously identified this condition on its work management system. SCE anticipates this condition will be addressed in accordance with Energy Safety's defect correction timeline.

#### Finding: Failure to Remove Guy Anchor

Notice	Finding #	Structures
NOV_SCE_EDC_ 20211207-01	4	4415240E
Revised		

# **Summary of Findings:**

"Energy Safety identified pole numbered 4415240E in violation of this protocol. The pole was replaced, and the guy anchors were left in the ground unused. Energy Safety considers this violation to be in the Minor severity risk category."

**Response:** On March 18, 2022, SCE had previously identified this condition in its work management system and SCE removed the idle anchors from the field.

### **Finding: Incorrect ID Provided**

Notice	Finding #	Structures
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211208-01	4	4621322E (4611322E)
Revised		
NOV_SCE_ATJ_ 20211202-01	5	45377945E (4537945E), OH-7017637
Revised		(676268E), OH-7017636 (676269E)
NOV_SCE_EDC_20211207-01	1	4941453 (1289683E-MA), 4941452
Revised		(1289684E), 4941454E (1289686E)

**Summary of Findings:** "Pole ID observed in the field did not match the ID provided in SCE's QDR. Energy Safety considers this data accuracy violation to be in the Minor risk category."

**Response:** SCE agrees to correct our system of records to reflect the correct pole IDs within the timeframe provided by Energy Safety.