

Supplement to First Errata to San Diego Gas and Electric Company’s 2022 Wildfire Mitigation Plan

San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E) identified 3 additional errata to the 2022 Wildfire Mitigation Plan Update (WMP Update) submitted on February 11, 2022, specifically on Table 5-2. Two other updates were found in Tables 11 and 12. The errata consist of additional information that was identified and included in response to data requests and corrections to information contained within Table 5-2, 11 and 12.

The updates to the 2022 WMP Update are described below and this document.

Table 1: Summary of Supplemental Updates to the WMP

Location	Updated Information
Table 5-2	This information was provided in response to OEIS-SDGE-2022-005, questions 5 and 6
Table 5-2	This information was provided in response to OEIS-SDGE-2022-006, question 2
Table 5-2	Corrected Table 5-2 to include LiDAR Inspections Vegetation Management and Air Quality Sensors, as per Table 12
Attachment B - Table 11	The number of MBL customers impacted by the Q4 2021 PSPS event was updated.
Attachment B - Table 12	The quantitative metric for initiative 7.3.2.2.1 for Air Quality Sensor installations was provided in 2022 and 2023.

Corrections to Provide Additional Information or Clarify Statements

Section 7.3.5.20: In response to OEIS-SDGE-22-005, SDG&E provided corrected vegetation management targets. The question and response is provided below.

OEIS Question 5:

Regarding SDG&E’s 2022 pole brushing target:

In Table 5-2 (p. 156), SDG&E’s “perform pole brushing” target for 2022 is 35,000 poles. In Section 7.3.5.20 “Vegetation management to achieve clearances around electric lines and equipment” (p. 302) and in Attachment B, Table 12, cell AU91, SDG&E’s pole brushing target is 34,000.

- a. *Which pole brushing target for 2022 is correct?*

SDG&E Response:

The correct target for pole brushing for 2022 is 34,000.

OEIS Question 6:

Regarding the number 12,500 in Attachment B, Table 12, cell AU80:

In Attachment B, Table 12, cell AU80 (Section 7.3.5.9 “Other discretionary inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment”) the number “12,500” appears. SDG&E did not indicate the unit.

- a. Is cell AU80 supposed to match the target in Table 5-2 “Perform enhanced inspections, patrols and trimming” of 12,824 trees (p. 156)?
 - i. If so, which number is correct?
 - ii. If not, to what does 12,500 refer?

SDG&E Response:

- a. Yes, cell AU80 is supposed to match the target in Table 5-2 and Table 5-2 is supposed to reflect 12,500 trees.
 - i. Cell AU80 is the correct target. The correct number is 12,500 and the unit is trees.

Section 7.3.5.8: In response to OEIS-SDGE-22-006, SDG&E provided corrected vegetation management targets. The question and response is provided below.

OEIS Question 2:

Regarding Quantified Vegetation Management Compliance Targets:

- a. Does SDG&E plan to perform LiDAR inspections on transmission lines and equipment for vegetation management in 2022 (Section 7.3.5.8 “Remote sensing inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment,” p. 292)?
 - i. If so, how many circuit miles?
- b. In SDG&E’s response to SDGE-21-07 “Quantified Vegetation Management Compliance Targets,” SDG&E states that Section 7.3.5.7 does not have a quantitative target: “Section 7.3.5.7 of the 2022 WMP Update - VM does not currently have quantifiable goals for the use of technologies such as LiDAR” (Attachment D, p. 19). However, in Table 5-2, SDG&E has a 730-mile target for “Remote sensing inspection of vegetation around distribution lines and equipment”; this is consistent with Table 12 where SDG&E shows the same number, 730, for initiative 7.3.5.7. Is the passage quoted above from p. 19 of Attachment D supposed to read “7.3.5.8” (i.e., SDG&E has no targets for remote sensing of **transmission** lines and equipment)?
 - i. Please state clearly the targets set by SDG&E for 2022 for different kinds of remote sensing for (1) transmission and (2) distribution (including a target of “0” if applicable).
- c. In SDG&E’s response to SDGE-21-07, SDG&E states “SDG&E will begin quantifying [initiative 7.3.5.13, “Quality assurance/quality control of vegetation management”] in the WMP 2022 Update by recording the number of assets and percentage of completed work audited” (Attachment D, p. 20). In Section 7.3.5.13 of its 2022 WMP Update (p. 293), SDG&E states that it has a “minimum random sampling of 15 percent of completed work...” and in Table 12 under 7.3.5.13, SDG&E puts “15%” for “alternative units” (Cell AU84). However, this 15% does not appear in Table 5-2 “Plan Program Targets”

(p. 150). Is this an error? Did SDG&E intend to include the 15% as a program target in Table 5-2?

SDG&E Response:

- a. SDG&E does not plan to perform LiDAR inspection on transmission lines for vegetation management in 2022. SDG&E’s Transmission Engineering Department utilizes LiDAR in their design activities. Any potential vegetation conditions identified during this activity are communicated to Vegetation Management. SDG&E’s Transmission Construction and Maintenance Department has used LiDAR in past transmission equipment inspection activities and communicated findings to VM.
- b. SDG&E plans to refresh LiDAR data for all HFTD distribution circuits in 2022. This activity is described in Section 7.3.4.7. As part of this data capture, SDG&E has a target of 730 distribution circuit line miles in 2022 where vegetation clearance information will be calculated and reviewed. SDG&E does not have any target to complete LiDAR inspections of transmission lines for vegetation management in 2022.
 - a. 7.3.5.7 Remote sensing inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment (LiDAR) - Target 730 miles
 - b. 7.3.5.8 Remote sensing inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment (LiDAR) - 0 (No target)
- c. Yes, the 15% should be included as a program target in Table 5-2.

Corrections to Table 5-2

Revised Table 5-2 is attached. Revisions to the original filing of the 2022 WMP Update are entered in red text and summarized in Table 1 above.

Corrections to Attachment B Table 11

SDG&E became aware of an error in the reporting of MBL customers impacted by the Q4 2021 PSPS event. The number of MBL customers impacted has been updated in Table 11 from 47 customers to 406 customers.

Corrections to Attachment B Table 12

The quantitative target for initiative 7.3.2.2.1 “Air Quality Index” was provided in Table 12 for 2022 and 2023 and represents the number of Air Quality Sensors being installed.

5.3 Plan Program Targets

Instructions: Program targets are quantifiable measurements of activity identified in WMPs and subsequent updates used to show progress towards reaching the objectives.

List and describe all program targets the electrical corporation uses to track utility WMP implementation and utility performance over the last five years. For all program targets, list the 2019 to 2021 performance, a numeric target value that is the projected target for end of year 2022 and 2023, units on the metrics reported, the assumptions that underlie the use of those metrics, update frequency, and how the performance reported could be validated by third parties outside each utility, such as analysts or academic researchers. Identified metrics must be of enough detail and scope to effectively inform the performance (i.e., reduction in ignition probability or wildfire consequence) of each targeted preventive strategy and program.

Pub. Util. Code Section 8386.3(c)(5) requires a utility to notify Energy Safety “after it completes a substantial portion of the vegetation management (VM) requirements in its wildfire mitigation plan.” To ensure compliance with this statute, the utility is required to populate Table 5.3-1 with VM program targets that the utility can determine when it has completed a “substantial portion”¹ and that Energy Safety can subsequently audit. Energy Safety has provided some required, standardized VM targets below. It is expected that the utilities provide additional VM targets beyond those required. The identification of other VM targets and units for those targets (e.g., for inspections, customer outreach, enhanced vegetation management, etc.) are at the discretion of the utility.

Additionally, in Table 5.3-1, utilities must populate the column “Target%/ Top-Risk%” for each 2022 performance target related to initiatives in the following categories: Grid design and system hardening; Asset management and inspections; and Vegetation management and inspections. This column allows utilities to identify the percentage of the target that will occur in the highest risk areas. For example, if a utility targets conducting 85% of its vegetation management program in the top 20% of its risk-areas, it should input “85/20” in this column. In the “Notes” column, utilities must provide definitions and sources for each of the “Top-Risk%” values provided. In the given example above, an acceptable response would be: “The top 20% of risk areas used for this target relate to the circuit segment risk rankings from [Utility Company’s] Wildfire Risk Model outputs, as described in [hyperlink to Section XX] of the 2022 WMP Update.”

Table 5-2: List and Description of Program Targets, Last 5 Years²

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
Install weather stations	13	13	30	30	25	46	20	N/A	Weather stations	No
Install cameras	NA	NA	4	4	17	17	8	N/A	Cameras	No
Install wireless fault indicators	500	594	500	502	500	544	500	T3: 85 17.0%/61.4% T2: 40 8.0%/36.2% Non HFTD: 375 75.0%/2.4%	Wireless fault indicators	No

¹ Energy Safety intends to define “substantial portion” in its forthcoming Compliance Guidelines. This definition may be included in the Final version of the 2022 WMP Update Guidelines

² This table is numbered 5.3-1 in the 2022 WMP Guidelines.

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
Replace SCADA capacitors	NA	NA	30	30	35	32	36	T3: 2 5.6%/61.4% T2:22 61.1%/36.2% Non HFTD: 12 33.3%/2.4%	SCADA capacitors	No
Covered Conductor Installation	0	0	1	1.9	20	20.6	60	77.3%/71.9%	Miles	No
Expulsion fuse replacement	2,250	2,490	3,000	3,179	3,970	3,976	277	T3: 50 18.1%/61.4% T2:227 81.9%/36.2%	Expulsion Fuses	No
Install sectionalizing devices	7	7	7	23	10	13	10	T3: 10 100.0%/61.4%	Sectionalizing devices	No
Install micro grids	0	0	4	4	0	6	4	T3: 3 75.0%/61.4% T2: 1 25.0%/36.2%	Microgrids	No
Enable circuits with Advanced Protection	NA	NA	8	6	8	4	8	T3: 8 100.0%/61.4%	Circuits	No
Replace hotline clamps	500	660	1,650	2,061	2,250	2,743	1,700	T3: 224 13.2%/61.4% T2: 1476 86.8%/36.2%	Hotline clamps	No
Provide generators to MBL and AFN customers impacted by PSPS	65	65	1,250	1,420	2,000	2,310	2,000	T3: 1000 50.0%/61.4% T2: 1000 50.0%/36.2%	Generators	No
Provide whole facility generators to customers impacted by PSPS	NA	NA	300	75	413	355	415	T3: 207 49.9%/61.4% T2: 208 50.1%/36.2%	Generators	No
Provide generator rebates to customers impacted by	NA	NA	130	1,274	1,250	735	1,250	T3: 625 50.0%/61.4% T2: 625 50.0%/36.2%	Generators	No

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
PSPS within HFTD										
Underground electric lines/equipment	1.6	2.6	11	15.5	25	25.92	65	70%/91.5%	Miles	No
Harden the overhead distribution system - traditional	129.75	122.9	102	99.5	100	100.4	5	T3: 2.9mi 58.0%/61.4% T2: 2.1mi 42.0%/36.2%	Miles	No
Harden transmission system - overhead	7	7	25	21.6	6.7	6.7	23.83	T2: 23.83mi 100.0%/36.2%	Miles	No
Harden transmission system - underground	3	3	0	0	0	0	5.5	T2: 5.5 100.0%/36.2%	Miles	No
Harden transmission system - distribution underbuilt	10	10	9.4	9.4	2.7	3.4	2.7	T2: 2.7 100.0%/36.2%	Miles	No
Fire harden CNF - transmission overhead	28	25	26	29.1	0	0	0	0	Miles	No
Fire harden CNF - distribution overhead	22	26.4	28	21.8	6.86	6.86	0	0	Miles	No
Fire harden CNF - distribution underground	17	8.7	14	14.4	0	0	0	0	Miles	No
Replace lightning arrestors	NA	NA	0	0	924	1,789	1,848	T3: 1848 100.0% /61.4%	Lightning arrestors	No
Install LTE communication network stations	NA	NA	25	15	10	10	25	T3 48.0%/61.4% T2 52.0%/36.2%	Base stations	No
Perform compliance maintenance program	16,500	16,329	17,500	17,977	22,269	22,354	18,000	T3:6530 16.1%/61.4% T2:11647	Inspections	No

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
HFTD - 5-year detailed								28.8%/36.2% Non HFTD:22292 55.1%/2.4%		
Perform transmission system inspections - detailed	37	37	41	41	1,680	1,957	2,087	T3: 644 29.5%/61.4% T2: 1443 66.0%/36.2% Non HFTD: 98 4.5%/2.4%	Inspection s	No
Perform distribution infrared inspections	NA	NA	8,500	13,077	18,000	17,068	12,000	T2: 12000 100.0%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform transmission infrared inspections	113	112	113	110	6,565	6,239	6,154	T3: 1993 32.4%/61.4% T2: 4161 67.6%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform compliance maintenance program HFTD - wood pole intrusive	19,000	19,729	18,000	14,450	9,796	8,721	350	T2: 350 100.0%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform HFTD Tier 3 inspections	11,500	15,176	11,500	11,864	10,815	11,535	12,286	T3:12268 99.9%/61.4% T2:18 0.1%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform drone assessments of distribution infrastructure	10,000	10,400	33,000	37,310	22,000	21,420	22,000	T2: 22000 100.0%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform drone assessments of transmission infrastructure	NA	NA	1,681	2679	2,715	1,440	500	T3: 50 10.0%/61.4% T2: 450 90.0%/36.2%	Inspection s	No
Perform transmission system inspections -	27	27	21	21	1,654	1,652	1,654	T3: 1654 100.0% /61.4%	Inspection s	No

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
aerial 69kV Tier 3 visual										
Perform compliance maintenance program HFTD - annual patrols	86,000	86,401	86,000	86,075	86,000	86,490	86,490	T3: 39550 45.7%/61.4% T2: 46940 54.3%/36.2%	Inspections	No
Perform transmission system inspections - visual	117	116	117	114	7,024	6,423	6,312	T3: 1993 31.6%/61.4% T2: 4319 68.4%/36.2%	Inspections	No
Perform substation system inspections	330	301	330	405	330	405	330	T3: 215 65.2%/61.4% T2: 115 34.8%/36.2%	Inspections	No
Perform detailed inspections (tree trimming)	455,000	453,330	455,000	451,207	455,000	502,132	491,822	T3:115,038 23.4%/61.4% T2: 142,139 28.9%/36.2% Non HFTD: 234,645 47.7%/2.4%	Trees inspected	Yes
Perform fuels management	550	511	300	324	500	463	500	T3: 400 80.0%/61.4% T2: 100 20.0%/36.2%	Poles cleared	No
Remote sensing inspections of vegetation around distribution lines and equipment (LiDAR)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	730	T3: 309 42%/61.4% T2: 396 54%/36.2% Non HFTD: 33 4%/2.4%	Miles	No
Perform enhanced inspections, patrols and trimming	7,500	8,310	17,000	17,075	17,000	12,578	12,500	T3: 5,386 43.1%/61.4% T2:7,114 56.9%/36.2%	Trees trimmed/removed	No
Perform pole brushing	35,500	34,000	35,500	36,563	35,500	35,102	34,000	T3: 14756 43.4%/61.4% T2: 15776 46.4%/36.2%	Poles brushed	No

Program Target	2019		2020		2021		2022		Units	Audited 3 rd Party
	Target	Performance	Target	Perf.	Target	Perf.	Target	Target% / Top-Risk% *		
								Non HFTD: 3468 10.2%/2.4%		
Remove trees with strike potential	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	106	T3: 40 37.7%/61.4% T2: 46 43.4%/36.2% Non HFTD: 20 18.9%/2.4%	VMAs inspected	No
Install Avian Protection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	847	T3: 91 10.7%/61.4% T2: 711 83.9%/36.2% Non HFTD: 45 5.3%/2.4%	Poles	No
Quality assurance / quality control of vegetation management	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15% of Inspections	NA	Inspection Audits	
Install Air Quality Sensors	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6	T3: 6 100%/61.4%	Sensors	

* The Top-Risk% values are as follows:

- For covered conductor and undergrounding the Top Risk % was calculated using the wildfire risk scores of each distribution circuit from SDG&E's WiNGS-Planning tool which is described in Section 4.5.1.7. Please note that work is currently being scoped using the WiNGS-Planning tool, but the work planned for 2022 was scoped prior to the development of WiNGS-Planning.
- For all other programs, which are not prioritized using WiNGS-Planning, the Top Risk % was calculated using the Pre-Mitigation Wildfire Risk Score for Tier 3, Tier 2 and Non-HFTD (See Table 4-3) divided by the Total Pre-Mitigated Wildfire Risk Score (See Table 4-2). The Top Risk % is in Tier 3, followed by Tier 2 and Non-HFTD. For each target, SDG&E provides the percentage of planned work in each Tier, and the accompanying percentage of overall wildfire risk.
 - Top Risk % are:
 - Wildfire Risk – Tier 3 61.4%
 - Wildfire Risk – Tier 2 36.2%
 - Wildfire Risk – Non-HFTD 2.4%

Utility	SDG&E	Notes:
Table No.	11	"PSPS" = Public Safety Power Shutoff In future submissions update planned upgrade numbers with actuals
Date Modified	2022 03 31	

		Actual												Projected																		
Metric type	#	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2020	2020	2020	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	2023	Unit(s)	Comments				
1. Recent use of PSPS																																
1.a.	Frequency of PSPS events (total)	0	0	5	4	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.2	Number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-					
1.b.	Scope of PSPS events (total)	0	0	200	265	324	0	0	2	512	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	116.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	116.5	Circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of					
1.c.	Duration of PSPS events (total)	0	0	658,397	1,044,423	1,304,723	0	0	358	2,631,426	0	0	0	147,767	0	0	0	0	617,794	0	0	0	0	0	0	617,794	Customer hours per year	Metric definition 1.c. was updated based on the correction				
2. Customer hours of PSPS and other outages																																
2.a.	Customer hours of planned outages including PSPS (total)	1,010,005	859,290	1,771,855	2,062,326	2,133,445	246,957	98,578	428,184	2,805,055	384,390	445,526	549,162	493,707	256,240	289,673	328,685	867,872	256,240	289,673	328,685	256,240	289,673	328,685	997,294	256,240	289,673	328,685	997,294	Total customer hours of planned outages per year	In 2021 QDR-Q4 filing, data point for 2015 is updated using archived data file. The reportline system of record stores data	
2.b.	Customer hours of unplanned outages, not including PSPS (total)	1,504,042	2,058,237	2,090,995	1,887,418	1,705,636	346,753	385,697	1,113,938	470,886	407,865	388,531	391,676	515,343	484,040	322,394	573,414	515,440	484,040	322,394	573,414	515,440	484,040	322,394	573,414	515,440	484,040	322,394	573,414	515,440	Total customer hours of unplanned outages per year	
2.c.	System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) (including PSPS)	63.26	86.01	117.49	121.02	122.96	13.95	15.52	44.83	126.10	16.44	15.66	15.79	26.73	19.91	13.22	23.51	46.03	19.91	13.22	23.51	19.91	13.22	23.51	46.03	19.91	13.22	23.51	46.03	SAIDI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as sum(duration of interruption * # of customer		
2.d.	System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) (excluding PSPS)	63.26	86.01	86.64	77.45	69.21	13.95	15.52	44.81	18.94	16.44	15.66	15.79	20.77	19.91	13.22	23.51	21.13	19.91	13.22	23.51	19.91	13.22	23.51	21.13	19.91	13.22	23.51	21.13	SAIDI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as sum(duration of interruption * # of customer		
2.e.	System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) (including PSPS)	0.62	0.68	0.58	0.66	0.64	0.13	0.17	0.27	0.22	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	SAIFI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as total # of customer interruptions / total # of
2.f.	System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) (excluding PSPS)	0.62	0.68	0.57	0.64	0.61	0.13	0.17	0.27	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	SAIFI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as total # of customer interruptions / total # of
3. Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS																																
3.a.	Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS	0	0	633	832	968	0	0	0	2359	0	0	0	241	0	0	0	1007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1007	0	0	0	1007	Number of critical infrastructure (in accordance with D.19-05-042)	
4. Community outreach of PSPS metrics																																
4.a.	# of customers impacted by PSPS	0	0	17,619	30,069	49,880	0	0	49	100,488	0	0	0	5,858	0	0	0	14,858	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,858	0	0	0	14,858	same customer, count each event as a separate customer	
4.b.	# of medical baseline customers impacted by PSPS	0	0	937	1,812	2,853	0	0	6	6,427	0	0	0	406	0	0	0	1,499	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,499	0	0	0	1,499	# of customers impacted by PSPS (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event as a separate customer)	
4.c.	# of customers notified prior to initiation of PSPS event	0	0	17,619	30,069	47,969	0	0	49	91,760	0	0	0	5,811	0	0	0	12,438	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,438	0	0	0	12,438	# of customers notified of PSPS event prior to initiation (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event in which customer	
4.d.	# of medical baseline customers notified prior to initiation of PSPS event	0	0	937	1,812	2,756	0	0	6	6,262	0	0	0	406	0	0	0	1,272	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,272	0	0	0	1,272	# of customers notified of PSPS event prior to initiation (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event in which customer	
4.e.	% of customers notified prior to a PSPS event impacting them	0	0	100%	100%	96%	0	0	100%	91%	0	0	0	99%	0	0	0	97.8%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97.8%	0	0	0	97.8%	=4.a. / 4.c.	
4.f.	% of medical baseline customers notified prior to a PSPS event impacting them	0	0	100%	100%	97%	0	0	100%	97%	0	0	0	100%	0	0	0	99.0%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99.0%	0	0	0	99.0%	=4.a. / 4.c.	
5. Other PSPS metrics																																
5.a.	Number of PSPS de-energizations	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Number of de-energizations	Number of instances where utility notified the public of a potential PSPS event but no de-energization followed	
5.b.	Number of customers located on de-energized circuit	0	0	67,266	79,587	112,582	0	0	4,214	154,413	0	0	0	14,832	0	0	0	81,153	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	81,153	0	0	0	81,153	Number of customers	
5.c.	Customer hours of PSPS per RFW OH circuit mile day	0	0	3.46	8.31	24.40	0.00	0.00	0.01	42.40	0	0	0	7.48	0	0	0	6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.3	0	0	0	6.3	=1.c. / RFW OH circuit mile days in time period	
5.d.	Frequency of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.6	0	0	0	1.6	Events over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	
5.e.	Scope of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	16,848	30,048	49,462	0	0	0	90,748	0	0	0	5,858	0	0	0	13,868	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,868	0	0	0	13,868	Estimated customers impacted over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	
5.f.	Duration of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	703,117	1,037,164	1,226,192	0	0	0	2,341,161	0	0	0	147,767	0	0	0	597,055	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	597,055	0	0	0	597,055	Customer hours over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	In 2021 WMP update, 5.f. was based on the definition- "outage duration". To align with 1.c. definition correction requested in

