

LFulton@SDGE.com

April 12, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

2024 Executive Compensation Docket Docket # 2024-EC

Caroline Thomas Jacobs Director, Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety 715 P Street, 20th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: San Diego Gas & Electric Company's Documentation of Compliance with Executive Compensation Provisions of Public Utilities Code § 8389(e) and the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety Guidance

Dear Director Jacobs,

In response to the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety's (Energy Safety) Executive Compensation Structure Submission Guidelines (2024 Guidelines), and in accordance with Public Utilities Code §§ 8389(e)(4) and (e)(6), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E or Company) submits its request for approval of its 2024 executive compensation structure. SDG&E's executive compensation structure reflects its company values to "do the right thing," by championing people and the safety of our communities, customers, and employees. As described in the attached submission, safety—including but not limited to wildfire safety—remains a cornerstone of the Company's incentive compensation structure.

The enclosed submission demonstrates that SDG&E's executive compensation structure complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill (AB) 1054. SDG&E's 2024 executive compensation structure carries forward numerous elements that were included in its approved 2023 executive compensation structure. As described in the enclosed submission, any revisions continue to promote safety as a priority and meet statutory requirements.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this submission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Laura M. Fulton

Attorney for San Diego Gas and Electric Company

Section 1.1: Incentive Compensation Components

Rationale: For the issuance of a Safety Certification, Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(4) requires that electrical corporations' executive incentive compensation structures include "incentive compensation based on meeting performance metrics that are measurable and enforceable, for all executive officers....." To evaluate an electrical corporation's compliance with this requirement, Energy Safety must know: (a) who are the electrical corporation's executive officers and (b) what incentive compensation structure exists.

Definition: "Executive officer" is defined in Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c) and "means any person who performs policy making functions and is employed by the public utility subject to the approval of the board of directors, and includes the president, secretary, treasurer, and any vice president in charge of a principal business unit, division, or function of the public utility." Energy Safety considers divisions or units responsible for electrical operations, gas operations or wildfire-related functions principal business units, divisions, or functions of the public utility.

Instructions: In Table 1.1.1, for each executive officer subject to the executive compensation filing requirements, the electrical corporation must provide the executive title and function, the executive name (if the executive is classified as an Officer of the Company per the Company's website), the target percentage of Short-Term Incentives (STIP) and Long-Term Incentives (LTIP) as a proportion of Total Incentive Compensation (TIC) for the appropriate 2024 filing year. See the definition of the proceeding terms in **Attachment 2**.

For the purpose of calculating the percentage of TIC, use the grant value of the compensation as determined for accounting purposes. Grant value is the value that is disclosed in proxy statement summary compensation tables for executive officers who are proxy officers. Percentages must be specified for each executive officer and not a range for various position levels.

| Table 1.1.1 |
|--|
| Incentive Compensation at the Target Level |

| Executive Title/ Function and Name (where applicable) | Target Quarterly STIP as a Percent of TIC | Target Annual STIP as a Percent of TIC | Target Total STIP as a Percent of TIC | Target LTIP as a Percent of TIC ¹ |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | n/a | 28% | 28% | 72% |
| | n/a | 26% | 26% | 74% |
| | n/a | 26% | 26% | 74% |
| | n/a | 35% | 35% | 65% |
| | n/a | 28% | 28% | 72% |

¹ SDG&E's LTIP calculations do not include special time-restricted stock-based compensation in the form of recognition and retention awards that are made in the sole discretion of SDG&E's parent corporation, Sempra. These awards are not a component of the executives' guaranteed or incentive compensation structures and recognition awards are post-performance awards that may be paid outside or after the year(s) of performance.

Instructions: In Table 1.1.2, for each executive officer subject to the executive compensation filing requirements, the electrical corporation must provide the executive title and function, the executive name (if the executive is classified as an Officer of the Company per the Company's website), the target percentage of Base Salary, STIP and LTIP as a proportion of Total Direct Compensation (TDC) for the appropriate 2024 filing year. See the definition of the proceeding terms in **Attachment 2**.

| Executive Title/ Function and Name (where applicable) | Target Base Salary as a Percent of TDC | Target Quarterly STIP as a Percent of TDC | Target Annual STIP as a Percent of TDC | Target LTIP as a Percent of TDC ² |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | 25% | n/a | 21% | 55% |
| | 30% | n/a | 18% | 51% |
| | 30% | n/a | 18% | 51% |
| | 43% | n/a | 20% | 37% |
| | 36% | n/a | 18% | 46% |

Table 1.1.2Total Direct Compensation at the Target Level

² See footnote 1 above.

Section 1.2: Executive Officer Exclusion Rationale

Rationale: For the issuance of a Safety Certification, Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(4) requires that electrical corporations' executive incentive compensation structures include "incentive compensation based on meeting performance metrics that are measurable and enforceable, for all executive officers." To ensure incentive compensation is used for all executive officers, Energy Safety must understand why certain top-level officials do not fit within the definition of "executive officers" as defined in Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c).

Instructions: For the purpose of completing Table 1.2.1, the electrical corporation must include all the positions of the highest three tiers of the executives or officers of the electrical corporation that do not fit within the definition of "executive officers" as defined in Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c). For those positions, the electrical corporation must provide an explanation regarding why the executives holding those positions are not considered "executive officers" as set forth in Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c) (See Attachment 4).

The electrical corporation must include all positions within a tier in the table.

| Executive Title/ Function | Exclusion Rationale |
|--|--|
| Senior Vice President - External and Operations Support | SDG&E has excluded the SVP, as this is not a policy making role and the individual does not perform policy making functions for SDG&E. |
| Senior Vice President - CIO and Chief Digital Officer | SDG&E has excluded the SVP, as this is not a policy making role and the individual does not perform policy making functions for SDG&E. |
| Senior Vice President - Chief Regulatory Officer | SDG&E has excluded the SVP, as this is not a policy making role and the individual does not perform policy making functions for SDG&E. |
| Senior Vice President - Chief Legislative Officer | SDG&E has excluded the SVP, as this is not a policy making role and the individual does not perform policy making functions for SDG&E. |
| Senior Vice President - Gas Operations and Construction | SDG&E has excluded the SVP, as this is not a policy making role and the individual does not perform policy making functions for SDG&E. |

Table 1.2.1Public Utilities Code Section 451.5(c) Exclusion Rationales

1) Definition of policy making:

The electrical corporation must explain how the electrical corporation defines policy making for purposes of the inclusion or exclusion of personnel pursuant to Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c):

Consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 3b-7, and California Public Utilities Commission precedent interpreting the Rule, SDG&E defines policy making functions as performed by "senior-level management, responsible for policy decisions of the company, and directly answerable to the [utility's] Board of Directors because their hiring and firing are determined by the Board." (See 17 C.F.R. § 240.3b-7; D.21-08-036 at 418-419.)

Section 1.3: Short-Term Incentive Program (STIP)

Rationale: For the issuance of a Safety Certification, Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(4) requires that electrical corporations' executive incentive compensation structures are "structured to promote safety as a priority and to ensure public safety and utility financial stability with performance metrics, including incentive compensation based on meeting performance metrics that are measurable and enforceable, for all executive officers....." To ensure that the executive compensation structure for electrical corporation executive officers is structured to promote safety as a priority and ensure public safety and utility financial stability, Energy Safety must have an in-depth understanding of:

- The performance-based components of an executive compensation structure.
- How that structure is promoting safety.
- How effective metrics are in changing safety and financial outcomes.
- How adjustments to metrics are tied to performance.

Instructions: The STIP includes all performance-based compensation awarded on a performance term of less than three years. If the electrical corporation uses more than one short-term incentive mechanism, the electrical corporation must repeat this information for each mechanism (e.g., quarterly, and annually).

Section 1.3.1: STIP Structure

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide the requested 2024 STIP information regarding payment type, triggers, deductions, the use of individual performance modifiers, the use of company performance modifiers, the use of thresholds, targets, and maximums and the associated percentages, and how performance between categories is interpolated.

1) STIP Payment Type (check one):

Cash: 🛛 Other: 🗆

If other, describe the other type of STIP payment:

2) Use of Any Performance Triggers

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 STIP use any non-discretionary performance triggers (e.g., must achieve certain annual earnings per share before any STIP payments are made)? Check one:

Yes: ⊠ No: □

If "Yes", describe any performance triggers:

If SDG&E's earnings are below minimum, the ICP payout for the Operational Goals other than Safety shall be discretionary as determined by the SDG&E Board of Directors.

3) Use of Any Automatic, Non-Discretionary Deductions

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 STIP have any automatic non-discretionary deductions (e.g., failure to achieve WMP targets results in X% reduction, catastrophic wildfire results in zeroing out all safety metrics)? Check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes," describe all automatic, non-discretionary deductions:

4) Use of Any Specifically Defined Discretionary Deductions

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 STIP have any defined deductions (e.g., foundational, deduct only goals) that are part of the compensation structure? Check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes," describe all specific/defined discretionary deductions that are part of the structure:

5) Use of Performance Range – 2023

Were the 2023 STIP payouts based on a performance range (i.e., below minimum/threshold, minimum/threshold, target, maximum)? Check one:

Yes: 🛛 No: 🗆

Did the electrical corporation use one range for all 2023 STIP metrics or differing ranges based on the category of metric? Check one:

One range for all metrics: \Box Multiple ranges: \boxtimes

If multiple ranges are used, explain why:

The payout at minimum/threshold performance is 0% for all metrics except the earnings metrics. A 50% payout for earnings at the minimum/threshold level is appropriate based on the earnings goals at the minimum/threshold level.

Provide the 2023 STIP metric performance range(s):

| 2023 STIP Metric Performance Range(s) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Below | Minimum | Target | Maximum | | | | |
| | Minimum | | | | | | | |
| EMPLOYEE & PUBLIC SAFETY OPERATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Electric Safety and Beliability | | | | | | | | |

Table 131

| | Minimum | | | |
|--|---------------------|----------|------|------|
| | UBLIC SAFETY | | 6 | |
| | Safety and Relia | | 1 | T |
| Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| PSPS Average Circuit Restoration Time (Hours) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Electric Overhead Fault Rate During Elevated Fire Potential | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| | Gas Safety | | | |
| Distribution Integrity Management Program - Miles of Vintage Mains & Services Replaced | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Damage Prevention (Damages per USA Ticket Rate) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| E | mployee Safety | | • | |
| Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Controllable Motor Vehicle Incidents (CMVI) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Field Safety Observations | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Near Misses Reported | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Other Op | erational/Sustair | nability | | |
| Customer Service Value | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| ESG Project Progress | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Community Relations: Underserved Communities | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| Supplier Diversity | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% |
| | ial Goals (in Milli | | | |
| SRE Earnings | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |
| SDG&E Earnings | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% |

Describe the interpolation method between categories (e.g., straight line):

Straight line interpolation between minimum and target and between target and maximum.

6) Use of a Performance Range - 2024

Do the 2024 STIP payouts include a performance range (i.e., below minimum/threshold, minimum/threshold, target, maximum)? Check one:

Yes: ⊠ No: □

Is the electrical corporation using one range for all 2024 STIP metrics or differing ranges based on the category of metric)? Check one:

One range for all metrics: \Box Multiple ranges: \boxtimes

If multiple ranges are used, explain why:

The payout at minimum/threshold performance is 0% for all metrics except the earnings metrics. A 50% payout for earnings at the minimum/threshold level is appropriate based on the earnings goals at the minimum/threshold level.

Provide the 2024 STIP metric performance range(s):

Table 1.3.22024 STIP Metric Performance Range(s)

| | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| EMPLOYEE & PUBLIC SAFETY/SUSTAINABILITY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Electric Safety and Reliability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening0%100% | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| PSPS Average Circuit Restoration Time (Hours) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Electric Overhead Fault Rate During Elevated Fire Potential | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| | Gas Safety | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution Integrity Management Program Miles of Vintage Mains & Services Replaced | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Damage Prevention (Damages per USA ticket rate) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |

| | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Employee Safety | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Driving Observations (percent) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Field Safety Engagements | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Near Misses Reported | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Other Operational/Sustainability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Customer Service Value | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| ESG Project Progress | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Community Relations: Underserved Communities | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| Supplier Diversity | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | 0% | 0% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| | Financial Goals | | | | | | | | | |
| SRE Earnings | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |
| SDG&E Earnings | 0% | 50% | 100% | 200% | | | | | | |

Describe the interpolation method between categories:

Straight line interpolation between minimum and target and between target and maximum.

Did the performance range change for any metrics between 2023 and 2024? Check one:

Yes: 🗆 No: 🖂

If Yes, describe and quantify the change for each such metric:

7) Use of Performance Modifiers – 2023 Actual

Did the electrical corporation's 2023 STIP involve the use of any of the following types of performance modifiers?

Individual Performance Modifier – 2023, check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes," describe each performance modifiers:

If "Yes," quantify for each executive their individual performance modifiers:

Table 1.3.3Individual Performance Modifiers – 2023 Actual

| Executive Title/ Function and | Increase/ | Percentage | Factors in/Reason for | | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name (where applicable) | Decrease | Change | Adjustment (1) | | | | | |
| SDG&E did not apply individual performance modifiers in 2023, thus Table 1.3.3 is not applicable. | | | | | | | | |

(1) Providing the broad category for the 'Factors in/Reasons for the Adjustment' column is sufficient when those reasons are not safety related (e.g., Other Non-Safety Related, Superior Financial Performance, etc.). If the reason for an adjustment is safety and/or WMP related then the reason provided must be specific (e.g., failure to achieve covered conductor installation WMP targets).

Did the electrical corporation's 2023 STIP involve the use of any of the following types of performance modifiers?

Company Performance Modifier – 2023, check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes," describe and quantify the impact of the company performance modifier:

Board Discretion, check one:

Yes: ⊠ No: □

If "Yes," describe and quantify the impact of the board's discretion:

The Company retains the discretion and authority to interpret, amend or modify the plan; to grant incentive awards; as well as to terminate, increase or decrease any incentive award opportunity during the performance period; and to reduce or eliminate any incentive awards that would otherwise be payable at the end of the performance period. The Company, in its sole discretion determines the Company Performance results and award calculations. The Company shall require the forfeiture, recovery or reimbursement of awards or compensation under this Plan as (i) required by applicable law, or (ii) required under any policy implemented or maintained by the Company pursuant to any applicable rules or requirements of a national securities exchange or national securities association on which any securities of the Company are listed. The Company reserves the right to recoup compensation paid if it determines that the results on which the compensation was paid were not actually achieved. The SDG&E Board may, in its sole discretion, require the recovery or reimbursement of short-term incentive compensation awards from any employee whose fraudulent or intentional misconduct materially affects the operations or financial results of the Company or its subsidiaries.

Section 1.3.2: 2023 STIP Metrics – Minimum, Target, Maximum and Actual

Instructions: Complete Table 1.3.4 for the 2023 STIP metrics, adding rows as necessary. See **Attachment 3** for a discussion of categories and sub-categories.

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Max | Actual Performance | Weighted Contribution |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening | Leading | 5% | 140 | 160 | 180 | 169.26 | 7.32% |
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 19 | 14 | 7 | 21 | 5.00% ³ |
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | PSPS Average Circuit Restoration Time (Hours) | Leading | 5% | 24 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 10.00% |

Table 1.3.42023 STIP – Minimum, Target and Maximum Versus Actual

³ See section 1.3.7.

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Мах | Actual Performance | Weighted Contribution |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Electric Overhead Fault Rate During Elevated Fire Potential | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 1.38 | 1.05 | 0.89 | 0.72 | 10.00% |
| Subtotal: Wildfire Safety | | | | 20% | | | | | 32.32% |
| Other Safety | Public Safety- Gas | Distribution Integrity Management Program - Miles of Vintage Mains & Services Replaced | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 46 | 51 | 56 | 56.09 | 10.00% |
| Other Safety | Public Safety- Gas | Damage Prevention (Damages per USA Ticket Rate) | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 1.35 | 1.27 | 1.25 | 1.19 | 10.00% |
| Other Safety | Emergency Response | P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 32 | 30 | 29 | 28.3 | 10.00% |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | Lagging/Out come | 5% | 0.42 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 1.25% |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Controllable Motor Vehicle Incidents (CMVI) | Lagging/Out come | 3% | 38 | 31 | 24 | 49 | 0.00% |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Field Observations | Leading | 5% | 16,178 | 17,178 | 18,178 | 20,859 | 10.00% |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Near Misses Reported | Leading | 5% | 250 | 300 | 350 | 436 | 10.00% |
| Subtotal: Other Safety | | | | 33% | | | | | 51.25% |
| Security | | Annual Average | Leading | 4% | 67% | 71% | 75% | 78.25% | 8.00% |

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Мах | Actual Performance | Weighted Contribution |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Phishing Report Rate | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal: Security | | | | 4% | | | | | 8.00% |
| Customer Service | | System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | Lagging/Out come | 3% | 73 | 70 | 67 | 62 | 6.00% |
| Customer Service | | Customer Service Value | Lagging/Out come | 2% | 80% | 100% | 120% | 120% | 4.00% |
| Subtotal: Customer Service | | | | 5% | | | | | 10.00% |
| ESG | | ESG Project Progress | Lagging/Out come | 3% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6.00% |
| Subtotal: ESG | | | | 3% | | | | | 6.00% |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | Leading | 3% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6.00% |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Community Relations | Leading | 3% | 78% | 80% | 82% | 99.1% | 6.00% |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Supplier Diversity | Lagging/Out come | 2% | 35% | 38% | 40% | 43.5% | 4.00% |
| Subtotal: DEI | | | | 8% | | | | | 16.00% |
| Financial | | SRE Earnings | Lagging/Out come | 7% | \$2,561 | \$2,784 | \$3,007 | \$2,977 | 13.06% |
| Financial | | SDG&E Earnings | Lagging/Out come | 20% | \$879 | \$911 | \$943 | \$955 | 40% |
| Subtotal: Financial | | | | 27% | | | | | 53.06% |
| Total | | | | 100% | | | | | 176.62% |

Section 1.3.3: 2024 STIP Metrics- Minimum, Target, and Maximum

Instructions: The electrical corporation must complete Table 1.3.5 for the 2024 STIP. The electrical corporation must provide details of the STIP metrics and minimum, target and maximum performance values for the filing year. The electrical corporation must categorize wildfire safety metrics separately (with no other metrics) and must include a weighting. The electrical corporation may add additional rows as needed.

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Max | Weighted Contribution |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------------------------|
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening | Leading | 5% | 140 | 160 | 180 | N/A |
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | Lagging/ Outcome | 5% | 10 | 6 | 2 | N/A |
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | PSPS Average Circuit Restoration Time (Hours) | Lagging | 5% | 24 | 22 | 20 | N/A |
| Wildfire Safety | Wildfire Mitigation Metrics | Electric Overhead Fault Rate During Elevated Fire Potential | Leading | 5% | 1.38 | 1.05 | 0.89 | N/A |
| Subtotal: Wildfire Safety | | | | 20% | | | | |
| Other Safety | Public Safety- Gas | Distribution Integrity Management Program - | Leading | 5% | 53 | 55 | 58 | N/A |

Table 1.3.5 2024 STIP Metrics

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Max | Weighted Contribution |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------------------------|
| | | Miles of Vintage Mains & Services Replaced | | | | | | |
| Other Safety | Public Safety- Gas | Damage Prevention (Damages per USA Ticket Rate) | Lagging/ Outcome | 5% | 1.32 | 1.25 | 1.20 | N/A |
| Other Safety | Emergency Response | P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | Lagging/ Outcome | 5% | 31.5 | 29.5 | 28.5 | N/A |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | Lagging/ Outcome | 5% | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | N/A |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Driving Observations (percent) | Leading | 3% | 80% | 90% | 100% | N/A |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Field Safety Engagements | Leading | 5% | 26 | 51 | 76 | N/A |
| Other Safety | Workforce Safety | Near Misses Reported | Leading | 5% | 300 | 350 | 400 | N/A |
| Subtotal: Other Safety | | | | 33% | | | | |
| Security | | Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | Leading | 4% | 70% | 74% | 78% | N/A |
| Subtotal: Security | | | | 4% | | | | |
| Customer Service | | System Average Interruption | Lagging/ Outcome | 3% | 72 | 69 | 66 | N/A |

| Category | Sub-Category | Metric | Metric Type | Weight | Min | Target | Max | Weighted Contribution |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------------------------|
| | | Duration Index (SAIDI) | | | | | | |
| Customer Service | | Customer Service Value | Leading | 2% | 80% | 100% | 120% | N/A |
| Subtotal: Customer Service | | | | 5% | | | | |
| ESG | | ESG Project Progress | Leading | 3% | 1 | 2 | 3 | N/A |
| Subtotal: ESG | | | | 3% | | | | |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | Leading | 3% | 1 | 2 | 3 | N/A |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Community Relations: Underserved Communities | Lagging/ Outcome | 3% | 79% | 81% | 83% | N/A |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion | | Supplier Diversity | Lagging/ Outcome | 2% | 36% | 39% | 41% | N/A |
| Subtotal: DEI | | | | 8% | | | | |
| Financial | | SRE Earnings | Lagging/ Outcome | 7% | 2,807 | 3,051 | 3,295 | N/A |
| Financial | | SDG&E Earnings | Lagging/ Outcome | 20% | 936 | 970 | 997 | N/A |
| Subtotal: Financial | | | | 27% | | | | |
| Total | | | | 100% | | | | |

Notes/Context:

SDG&E weights its ICP goals based upon final performance (see Table 1.3.2), and thus it is not currently possible to include the "weighted contribution" to SDG&E's 2024 ICP structure. Not applicable ("N/A") indicates that the Weighted Contribution cannot be calculated until the end of the 2024 STIP plan year. The weighted contribution for each metric will be provided in SDG&E's 2025 Executive Compensation submission.

SDG&E has provided the weight of each metric as required by Energy Safety.

Section 1.3.4: 2024 STIP Metric Definition and Calculation

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide definitions, whether the metric is leading, lagging or outcome, and calculations for the 2024 STIP metrics. For each metric, the electrical corporation must provide a definition of the metric, any adjustments or exclusions, the basis for the definition and the actual calculation such that if Energy Safety requested the source data/inputs, the electrical corporation would be able to derive the reported results. The electrical corporation must provide an explanation of any adjustments or exclusions.

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening | The goal of this program is to mitigate the risk of wildfire and minimize the impact of PSPS either through undergrounding portions of distribution circuits or hardening overhead distribution and transmission systems to known local wind conditions. This goal will be tracked by project managers for the following programs: Strategic Underground, Distribution Overhead Traditional Hardening and Covered Conductor programs, and Transmission Wood to Steel. | Miles replaced in programs: (1) Strategic Underground, (2) Distribution Overhead Traditional Hardening and Covered Conductor, and (3) Transmission Wood to Steel. | |
| Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | Measures the number of outages or ignitions on the primary voltage system caused by vegetation contacts in the High Fire Threat District (HFTD) annually during periods of elevated or extreme fire potential, as measured by SDG&E's Fire Potential Index (FPI). The Vegetation Management (VM) Team follows up on each tree contact to determine the cause | Number of vegetation contacts in (HFTD) determined to have caused an outage or ignition on primary voltage system during periods of elevated or extreme fire potential, as measured by SDG&E's Fire Potential Index (FPI). | |

Table 1.3.62024 STIP – Metric Definitions and Calculation

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | and identify further details and action to be taken. | | |
| PSPS Average Circuit Restoration Time (Hours) | Average hours for power restoration after each PSPS de-energization event. | Measured at circuit level; time begins once Officer-in-Charge gives approval to begin restoration. If no PSPS occurs during the year, performance will be determined by completion of: 1) Min – completion of updated patrol plans for all PSPS circuit segments and SDG&E has made three helicopters available for aerial patrol during fire season; 2) Target – all certified EOC responders attend PSPS training and all assigned patrollers attend PSPS refresher training; and 3) Max – by August 1, 100% of Wildfire Mitigation Advancement Team will have participated in a wildfire mitigation challenge session with assessment of the "doubling down" initiatives completed by September 30. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Electric Overhead Fault Rate During Elevated Fire Potential | Measures overhead faults in the High Fire Threat District (HFTD) leading to an interruption of service during elevated threat conditions divided by the number of days per year in the HFTD with elevated threat conditions. In order to count as near misses, these faults must not have caused an ignition and must not be vegetation related. Each fault that caused service interruption will have a record in our Network Management System and a record kept by our Reliability Team. | Number of overhead faults in HFTD caused by equipment issues leading to interruption of service during elevated threat conditions (measured by FPI), divided by number of days per year in HFTD for which elevated threat conditions exist. | |
| Distribution Integrity Management Program - Miles of Vintage Mains & Services Replaced | Miles of pre-1986, Dupont Aldyl-A, plastic pipe mains and services replaced from service or abandoned. Reports of the footage retired/replaced by DIMP including material and installation year for mains and services will be used to verify the ICP targets. | Miles of pre-1986, Dupont Aldyl- A, plastic pipe mains and services replaced from service or abandoned. | |
| Damage Prevention (Damages per USA ticket rate) | The metric "Damages per 1,000 Tickets" consists of the number of damages that cause a gas leak to SDG&E's below ground facilities and the total number of received USA Ticket transmittals. This is a standard industry metric for measuring operator performance for damage prevention. To calculate this metric, the number of damages is normalized by the number of USA tickets and multiplied by 1,000 to obtain the number of damages per 1,000 tickets. Normalizing by ticket counts factors in the year-to-year variation in construction and excavation activities that have a direct influence on | Number of damages that cause a gas leak to below ground facilities divided by the number of received USA Ticket transmittals and multiplied by 1,000 to normalize for year-over- year variation. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | damages. This allows for measurable year-to-year performance, allowing this metric to be used as an indicator for success of risk reduction activities. | | |
| P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | The Priority 1 gas emergency response time is the average time it takes either Customer Service Field or Gas Operations to respond to a Priority 1 gas emergency. Targets are based on a three-year average of response times adjusted for anomalies including area odors. | Average time for Customer Service Field or Gas Operations to respond to a Priority 1 (P1) emergency (targets adjusted for anomalies including area odors). | |
| Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | The Lost Time Incident Rate is expressed as the number of Lost Time Incidents (OSHA Recordable Injuries or Illnesses resulting in Days Away from Work), per 100 full-time employees. This measure is calculated using the number of Lost-time Incidents x 200,000 divided by the Total Hours Worked. The count of Lost Time Incidents is based on the final year-end OSHA log produced by Employee Care Services. Total Hours Worked are validated at year-end by Employee Care Services. | Number of OSHA Recordable injuries or illnesses resulting in Days Away from Work, per 100 full-time employees; measure is calculated as LTIs x 200,000 divided by total hours worked. | |
| Driving Observations | The percentage of driving observations completed of employees required to drive a company vehicle as part of their job duties or who drive a vehicle more than 3,000 miles a year in the performance of their work. A driving observation is a documented driving observation | Number of driving observations of employees who drive a company vehicle in the performance of their work. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | completed by a supervisor, BBS observer, peer, or other observer. The goal is to complete one ride-along driving observation of each employee in this group during the year. | | |
| Field Safety Engagements | Documented reviews of activities where high-energy hazards are present to ensure the appropriate direct controls are in place to reduce or eliminate exposure and mitigate the risk of serious injuries and fatalities. This leading metric aligns with industry best practices utilizing a standard methodology to conduct High- Energy Control Assessments (HECA), and the EEI Safety Classification and Learning (SCL) Model. Field Safety Engagements are measured as a sum of each documented high-energy exposure assessment completed. The safety department will collaborate with field operations groups to identify, plan, and conduct these high-energy exposure reviews. | Number of documented safety observations or safety assessments of employees (defined as visit to an employee's or crew's work site in which work is observed, assessed for compliance with policy and safe practices, feedback provided, and documented with the date of observation and notes on the observation). | |
| Near Misses Reported | The number of unplanned events reported by employees that typically have no injuries, illnesses, or damage but had the potential to do so. Near miss reporting is important in catching hazards before they cause considerable harm. Employees submit these near miss events through an SDG&E desktop or mobile application. | Number of near misses reported by employees where no injuries or illnesses occurred, but for which one could have easily occurred. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|--|---|---|--|
| Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | Cybersecurity performs simulated phishing exercises every month to raise employee awareness and establish positive cyber security behaviors. The phishing reporting metric reflects the portion of employees that open the email and respond to the monthly simulated phishing exercises by reporting it as spam, which sends it to the Cybersecurity Operations Center (SOC). | Percentage of employees that open a simulated Phishing email and report it as Spam to the Cybersecurity Operations Center, enabling identification of potential Cyber threats. | |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | SDG&E's System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) is the cumulative outage time (minutes) experienced by the average SDG&E electric customer in a year, and performance will be calculated using data reported as of January 10th with defined exclusion criteria. | Cumulative outage time excluding planned outages, safety shutoffs, load curtailments, major event/elevated FPI days. | There are 4 exclusions that are identified and applied to the data to determine the value for which the annual ICP target is measured up against. The removal of identified dates or events is processed in a specific order to ensure the correct computation of reliability indices. The order is as follows: Planned Outages, Major Event Day (MED), Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS), Load Curtailment, and lastly Extreme Fire Potential Index (FPI). 1) Planned Outages: All planned outages are excluded. 2) MED: A 2.0 beta method was used to identify Major Event Days and represents |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | the first step in removing qualifying exclusions. For purposes of this report, a 2.0 beta standard deviation is employed calculate the MED threshold by using the computation referenced by the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE- 1366). Mathematically, the following formula is used to identify the MED threshold value. On current year MED days, the daily MED average for the past 5 years supplements the MED value in the current year. At year-end this 5yr daily average placeholder is updated with the actual year's daily average. 3) PSPS. 4) Load Curtailment. 5) Extreme FPI (15 or greater). |
| Customer Service Value | Incentivize operational behaviors and outcomes that are highly correlated with customer satisfaction industry wide. Composite metric consists of: 1) Self Service Rate: 50% weight applied to percentage of transactions where customers access digital resources | Composite metric: 50% weight for self-service transactions percentage and 50% weight for average speed of answer by a representative. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | without having to engage with a customer representative Min - 82.5%, Target - 83.0%, and Max - 83.5% 2) Average Speed of Answer: 50% weight applied to the average wait time in seconds for a customer to speak with a customer contact center representative. Min - 190 seconds, Target - 150 seconds, and Maximum - 110 seconds As additional digital capabilities and transactions are added throughout the year, they will be included in overall selfservice calculation. Average Speed of Answer is an adjusted value to exclude anomalies. | | |
| ESG Project Progress | Subgoal 1 - Completion of Phase 1 Supplier Risk and ESG Analysis. Completion of any 2 of the following subtasks means completion of subgoal: Leverage the Third-Party Risk Management (TPRM) tool to: Complete ESG Risk Analysis for our top 100 suppliers (representing 80% of spend) Assess results and prioritize supplier by overall risk score Initiate communication and discuss development plans for suppliers with scores below a team-defined tolerance level if we choose to use them in the future | Min = completion of any 1 subgoal, Target = completion of any 2 subgoals, Max = completion of all 3 subgoals. | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | Subgoal 2 - Initiation of the Transportation Electrification Advisory Services (TEAS) program. Completion of any 2 of the following subtasks means completion of subgoal: Conduct program kickoff and internal training Draft Transportation Electrification (TE) handbook Establish process and technology for program data analytics and required reporting Subgoal 3 - Energization of one utility- owned energy storage project. 'Energization' defined as meeting a milestone where the project is capable of charging/discharging electrons | | |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | Incentivize cross-company coordination and prioritization to support achievement of key Equity Action Plan goals in support of our DE&I mission. | The percentage of outcomes achieved from: 1) Launch 6 group mentoring cohorts with 80% of Directors mentoring women or people of color; 2) 100% of Officers, 85% of Directors, and 25% of Managers complete D&I training; and 3) Advance Union Ambassador Program with 6 ambassador meetings, delivery of training to ambassadors, and D&I participation in one safety | |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | meeting at each Construction & Operations (C&O) center. | |
| Community Relations: Underserved Communities | 2024 giving includes SDG&E direct, and SDG&E directed San Diego stipulated funding to go to diverse and underserved communities. Diverse and underserved communities defined as any grant that is coded as serving any of the following groups: ethnic minority (people of color), females, LGBTQ, homeless, ill, in crisis, low income, military status, physically/mentally disabled, seniors, students, or youth. | Percentage of direct and directed charitable giving towards diverse & underserved communities coded in the system. | |
| Supplier Diversity | Total dollars spent (tiers 1, 2 & 3) with certified diverse suppliers as a percentage of eligible total company spend. Regulatory guidelines to continue governing the type of spend subject to this metric. | Percentage of dollars awarded to certified diverse suppliers. | |
| SDG&E Earnings | SDG&E Earnings (After Interest and Taxes), are earnings generated primarily from our electric and gas operations after debt financing costs and income taxes. If SDG&E's earnings are at or below minimum, the ICP payout for the Operational Goals, other than Safety, and Individual Performance measures shall be discretionary as determined by the SDG&E Board of Directors. | Earnings generated primarily from electric and gas operations after debt financing costs and income taxes. | Predefined Adjustment to SDG&E Earnings: Exclude the impact of any unplanned changes in tax laws or regulations and accounting rule changes. Exclude certain nonrecurring items at the discretion of the Committee, provided that such items do not have a |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | material adverse impact |
| | | | on the Company's stock |
| | | | price, also as determined |
| | | | by the Compensation |
| | | | Committee. Such items |
| | | | would include but not be |
| | | | limited to: |
| | | | o ther pro forma |
| | | | earnings impact of |
| | | | any acquisition or |
| | | | divestiture to the |
| | | | extent the earnings |
| | | | impact of such |
| | | | acquisition or |
| | | | divestiture |
| | | | correlated transaction and |
| | | | |
| | | | integration costs is not included in the |
| | | | SDG&E ICP |
| | | | earnings target. |
| | | | Exclude 90% of gains or |
| | | | losses related to asset |
| | | | sales and impairments in |
| | | | connection with a sale to |
| | | | the extent the earnings |
| | | | impact of such items is not |
| | | | included in the ICP |
| | | | earnings target. |
| | | | Exclude items that are |
| | | | required to be excluded |
| | | | from ICP under SDG&E |
| | | | CPUC decisions. |
| | | | • Exclude the variance from |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | plan for accelerated amortization of the California AB 1054 |
| | | | Wildfire Fund. Exclude any earnings impact of the Wildfire Fund asset amortization if the expense is adjusted |
| | | | for external reporting purposes. |
| | | | Exclude any earnings impact of wildfire mitigation costs deemed unrecoverable in rates. |
| | | | Exclude the variance from plan of the liability insurance expense not recoverable through |
| | | | balancing accounts. Exclude any earnings impact associated with the disallowance of the FERC CAISO participation return on equity adder. |
| | | | Exclude any earnings impact associated with legacy litigation matters. |
| | | | • Exclude any earnings impact associated with decommissioning of the |
| | | | San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS). |
| | | | Exclude the variance from |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | plan associated with any changes in the CPUC Cost of Capital. Exclude the impact of authorized decisions of the Board that could impact earnings including, but not limited to, issuing debt or preferred stock securities exceeding planned amounts to fund dividends, legal settlements, or other strategic expenses approved by the board. Exclude any earnings impact of overhead pool costs deemed unrecoverable in rates. Exclude any earnings impact from reputation management investment, including related charitable contributions, and digital bill redesign. Exclude the variance from plan for the deferral and recognition of return on equity for incremental |
| | | | balanced capital programs.Exclude the net variance |
| | | | from plan of the pending 2024 General Rate Case |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | Adjustments/Exclusions decision as follows: o unfavorable variances in excess of 15% of the minimum to target range; or o favorable variances in excess of 15% of the target to maximum range. In addition, after year-end close, and at the discretion of the SDG&E Board of Directors, 2024 SDG&E Earnings for ICP purposes may exclude the after-tax effect of the difference between: • the actual and planned Corporate and SoCalGas ICP allocations to |
| | | | SDG&E and the actual and planned Corporate and SoCalGas departments' Shared Service charge. |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| SRE Earnings | Sempra ICP Earnings are revenue minus expense, less tax. Employees can influence earnings by either increasing revenue or decreasing expenses. Earnings are determined after accounting for the appropriate accrued level of incentive compensation expense. | Revenue minus expense, less tax. | Pre-Defined Adjustments to Sempra ICP Earnings: • Exclude the impact of any unplanned changes in tax laws or regulations and accounting rule changes. • Exclude certain nonrecurring items at the discretion of the Committee, provided that such items do not have a material adverse impact on the Company's stock price, also as determined by the Compensation Committee. Such items would include but not be limited to: • the pro forma earnings impact of any acquisition or divestiture to the extent the earnings impact of such acquisition or divestiture or related transaction and integration cost is not included in the Plan earnings target. • non-recurring gains or losses related to RBS |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Sempra Commodities. Exclude the variance from plan of the foreign exchange gains or losses, net of inflation, including any associated cost of hedging. Exclude unrealized Mark- to-Market gains or losses Exclude gains or losses related to legacy litigation matters. Exclude 90% of gains or losses related to asset sales and impairments in connection with a sale to the extent the earnings impact of such item is not included in the Plan earnings target. Exclude items that are required to be excluded from the annual incentive plans under the SDG&E and/or SoCalGas general rate case decisions. Exclude any earnings impact associated with decommissioning of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS). Exclude the variance from |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | plan of the liability insurance expense not recoverable through balancing accounts. Exclude variance from plan of any impairments of the California AB 1054 Wildfire Fund. Exclude variance to plan of the impact of pending regulatory matters related to the Cost of Capital and ISO adder. Exclude one-time nonqualified pension settlement charges and Long-Term Incentive Plan tax windfall or shortfall to the extent such items are not included in the Plan earnings target. Limit impact of Rabbi trust results (net of deferred compensation) to +/-5% (percentage points) of the Sempra ICP Earnings metric as calculated without such gains or losses, to the extent such impacts are not included in the Plan earnings target. |
| | | | Limit the impact of the California GRC outcomes |

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustments/Exclusions |
|----------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | to +/-15% (percentage points) of the Sempra ICP Earnings metric to the extent such impacts are not included in the Plan earnings target. |
Section 1.3.5: STIP Changes

Instructions: The electrical corporation must describe any changes between 2023 and 2024 in terms of STIP eligibility, structure, modifiers, metrics (including changes to minimum/threshold, target, and maximum performance values), weightings and definitions. The electrical corporation must explain the reason for the change(s).

SDG&E annually engages in an extensive goal development process that reviews and establishes Company priorities for the year, concluding with approval of the ICP Structure by the SDG&E Board of Directors. Minimum, Maximum and Target goals are reviewed and updated annually considering measurements including but not limited to prior year performance, external benchmarks, internal priorities, and project plans. Success measures may be reviewed and adjusted to account for recent and historical performance, availability of resources, improvements in the means of calculating performance, or other developments.

New measures for 2024 include the following:

- Driving observations reinforce safe driving behaviors through feedback and coaching. This new leading indicator metric replaces the prior Controllable Motor Vehicle Incident (CMVI) lagging metric and incentivizes proactive and preventive measures that reduce the risk of vehicle accidents and its impact to employees and the community.
- Field Safety Engagements, which incentivizes employee safety through direct observation of employee conditions and behaviors and documentation of needs for follow-up, replaces the prior Field Safety Observations metric.
- Customer Service Value, which incentivizes operational behaviors and outcomes highly correlated with customer satisfaction, is a composite metric comprising two metrics. The weightings for each metric were adjusted to equally weigh each metric.
- ESG Project Progress and DE&I Action Plan Progress goal definitions have been updated to reflect 2024 subgoal definitions that correspond to the goal's performance value.
- Alternative metrics to capture PSPS preparedness and customer support in the event SDG&E does not initiate a PSPS event in 2024 have been updated.
- SDG&E has clarified the Vegetation Contacts in the HFTD goal to reflect the intent to reduce hazardous vegetation conditions during conditions of increased wildfire risk.

Section 1.3.6: Historical STIP Data

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide five years of historical performance data for 2024 STIP metrics. If data is lacking, or should be considered in a certain context, explain in the Notes/Context field provided why there is no data for a given year(s) and the relevant context. The electrical corporation must provide historical STIP data for any newly added metric in the current performance period. The electrical corporation may add rows as necessary.

| Metric/ Measure | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|--|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|
| Wildfire & PSPS System | | | | | |
| Hardening | N/A | 253.3 | 163.60 | 180.00 | 169.26 |
| Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | 6 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 21 |
| PSPS Average Circuit Restoration | | | | | |
| Time (Hours) | N/A | N/A | 3.28 | 0 | 0 |
| Electric Overhead Fault Rate | | | | | |
| During Elevated Fire Potential | 1.204 | 1.171 | 1.278 | 0.90 | 0.72 |
| Distribution Integrity Management Program (Miles of Vintage Mains and Services Replaced) | 46.93 | 51.19 | 52.06 | 54.70 | 56.09 |
| Damage Prevention (Damages per USA ticket rate) | | | | | |
| | 2.04 | 1.66 | 1.66 | 1.27 | 1.19 |
| P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | 32.02 | 29.6 | 29.1 | 28.6 | 28.3 |
| Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | 0.46 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.39 |
| Driving Observations | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Field Safety Engagements | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Near Misses Reported | N/A | N/A | 251 | 371 | 436 |
| | | | | | |
| Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | 49% | 56% | 68% | 73% | 78.25% |
| | | | | | |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | 66.00 | 65.25 | 70.10 | 68.93 | 62.00 |
| Customer Service Value | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 120% |
| ESG Project Progress | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3 |
| Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Action Plan Progress | N/A | N/A | Goal Met | 3 | 3 |
| Community Relations | N/A | N/A | 100% | 82.80% | 99.10% |
| Supplier Diversity | 40.86% | 41.57% | 39.13% | 39.75% | 43.50% |
| | | | | | |
| SRE Earnings (\$M) | 1,980 | 2,339 | 2,558 | 2,947 | 2,977 |
| SDG&E Earnings (\$M) | 766 | 823 | 822 | 922 | 955 |

Table 1.3.7 STIP Metric Historical Actual Performance

Notes/Context:

Shaded cells indicate that the performance measure was not included in the ICP target for the year indicated but performance data is available.

Not applicable ("N/A") indicates that the performance metric was not traced previously or tracked reflecting a different methodology or definition than applicable to the current year, and thus comparable performance data is not available.

Section 1.3.7: 2023 STIP Adjustments

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide a explanation of any increases and decreases in STIP compensation in 2023 due to failure to meet safety or other targets. The electrical corporation must separately describe any adjustments to STIP compensation levels made by the Compensation Committee or executive management and the amount and reason for the reduction. The electrical corporation must detail any adjustments made to increase compensation beyond the levels warranted by the actual performance (in any metric classification) and the reasons for the adjustments.

1) Actual performance lower than target due to failure to meet safety target(s):

Vegetation Contacts in HFTD - 2023 actual performance (21) fell below the minimum target (19) due to the unusually high number vegetation-caused contacts in the HFTD caused by winter storms and the historic tropical storm.

2) Actual performance lower than target due to failure to meet other target(s):

N/A

3) Any deductions due to failure to meet "foundational goals":

N/A

4) Any deductions due to failure to meet earnings targets or thresholds:

N/A

5) Any additional deductions, or upward adjustments, to individual metrics or overall performance payout made by executive management, the Compensation Committee, or full Board of Directors:

Vegetation Contacts in HFTD – In 2023, SDG&E experienced 21 events related to this goal, which resulted in performance below the minimum target range (19). Analysis of the events revealed that the performance related to this goal was correlated with significant winter storm activity as well as a historical tropical storm in SDG&E's service territory. Due to SDG&E Vegetation Management's continuous commitment to safety, only one of the 21 events occurred during elevated Fire Potential Index (FPI) conditions, posing a potential wildfire risk. The SDG&E Board of Directors authorized an upward adjustment to the performance payout level for this goal to the Target level in recognition that the intent of this goal was to address the mitigation of wildfire risk through management and minimization of vegetation-related hazards during conditions conducive to wildfire. As explained in Section 1.3.5, the 2024 ICP structure included clarification of this goal to reflect this intent.

Section 1.3.8: 2024 STIP Metric Ties to Other Metrics

Rationale: Understand how an electrical corporation's Executive Compensation Structure metrics relate to its WMP, SPMs and SOMs. The CPUC requires PG&E, SCE, Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and SDG&E (collectively the investorowned utilities or IOUs) to annually report on 32 safety performance metrics (SPMs) to measure achieved safety improvements.⁴ Additionally, the CPUC adopted 32 Safety and Operational Metrics (SOMs) for PG&E⁵ to be used in accordance with the approved PG&E's post-bankruptcy reorganization plan.⁶

Instructions: For each metric included in the 2024 STIP, all electrical corporations must indicate whether the metric is tied to its WMP (and the associated initiative number) and whether the metric is similar in nature to SPM metrics (and the associated SPM number). PG&E must also indicate whether the metric is similar in nature to SOM metrics (and the associated SOM number). For metrics similar in nature to a SOM, PG&E must explain any differences between its calculation of that metric and the required SOM method of calculation of that metric. Other electrical corporations may also indicate whether each metric included in the 2024 STIP is similar in nature to SOM metrics and to explain any differences between their calculation of that metric and SOM method of calculation of the metric.

| Executive Compensation Structure Submission STIP Measure/Metric | Related to WMP (Yes/No) | Related to WMP (Initiative Number) | Similar to SPM (Yes/No) | Similar to SPM (SPM Number) | Similar to SOM (Yes/No) | Similar to SOM (SOM Number) | Description of Computational/Definitional Differences |
|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Wildfire & PSPS System Hardening | | WMP.455 (8.1.2.1); WMP.473 (8.1.2.2); WMP.475 | | | | | |
| | Yes | (8.1.2.5.1); | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |

Table 1.3.8 2024 STIP – Ties to WMP, SMPs, and SOMs

⁴ CPUC Decision 19-04-020 (accessed September 5, 2023):

https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Published/G000/M288/K389/288389255.PDF.

⁵ CPUC Decision 21-11-009 in eight separate files (accessed September 5, 2023):

https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/SearchRes.aspx?DocFormat=ALL&DocID=421107805.

⁶ CPUC Decision 20-05-053 (accessed September 5, 2023): <u>https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-</u>website/files/uploadedfiles/cpucwebsite/content/news_room/newsupdates/2020/d2005053-for-i1909016.pdf.

| Executive Compensation Structure Submission STIP Measure/Metric | Related to WMP (Yes/No) | Related to WMP (Initiative Number) | Similar to SPM (Yes/No) | Similar to SPM (SPM Number) | Similar to SOM (Yes/No) | Similar to SOM (SOM Number) | Description of Computational/Definitional Differences |
|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | WMP.543 (8.1.2.5.2); WMP.545 (8.1.2.5.2) | | | | | |
| Vegetation Contacts in HFTD | Yes | WMP.494 (8.2.2.1); WMP.508 (8.2.2.2); WMP.501 (8.2.3.3); WMP.494 (8.2.3.4) | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| PSPS Average Circuit | | | | | | | |
| Restoration Time (Hours) | Yes | Section 8.4.5 | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Electric Overhead Fault Rate | Yes | Sections 8.1 and 8.2 | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| | res | 0.2 | INO | N/A | NO | IN/A | IN/A |
| Distribution Integrity Management Program (Miles replaced) | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Damage Prevention (Damages per USA ticket rate) | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| P1 Gas Response Time (Minutes) | No | N/A | Yes | SPM #11 | No | N/A | N/A |
| Lost Time Incident (LTI) Rate | No | N/A | Yes | 14 | No | N/A | Employee DART Rate (DART Cases times 200,000 divided by employee hours worked) |

| Executive Compensation Structure Submission STIP Measure/Metric | Related to WMP (Yes/No) | Related to WMP (Initiative Number) | Similar to SPM (Yes/No) | Similar to SPM (SPM Number) | Similar to SOM (Yes/No) | Similar to SOM (SOM Number) | Description of Computational/Definitional Differences |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Driving Observations (percent) | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Field Safety Engagements | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Near Misses Reported | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Annual Average Phishing Report Rate | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) | No | N/A | No | N/A | Yes | 2.1 | SAIDI (Unplanned) |
| Customer Service Value | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| ESG Project Progress | Yes | WMP.462 (8.1.2.7) | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| DE&I Action Plan Progress | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Community Relations | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| Supplier Diversity | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| | | | | | | | |
| SRE Earnings | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |
| SDG&E Earnings | No | N/A | No | N/A | No | N/A | N/A |

Section 1.4: Long-Term Incentive Program (LTIP) Public Utilities Code Section 8389(e)(4)

Rationale: For the issuance of a Safety Certification, Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(4) requires that electrical corporations' executive incentive compensation structures are "structured to promote safety as a priority and to ensure public safety and utility financial stability with performance metrics, including incentive compensation based on meeting performance metrics that are measurable and enforceable, for all executive officers....." To ensure that the executive compensation structure for electrical corporation executive officers is structured to promote safety as a priority and ensure public safety and utility financial stability, Energy Safety must have an in-depth understanding of the performance-based components of an executive compensation structure.

Instructions: The LTIP includes all performance-based compensation awarded on a performance term of three or more years. If the electrical corporation uses more than one long-term incentive mechanism, the electrical corporation must repeat this information for each mechanism (e.g., three-year, four-year).

Section 1.4.1: LTIP Structure

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide name, title/function, grant date and estimated award percentage of TIC for each executive officer listed in Table 1.1.1 that receives or is expected to receive direct compensation under a LTIP for the applicable years. For the purpose of calculating the grant value as a percentage of TIC, the electrical corporation must use the grant value of the compensation as determined for accounting purposes. Grant value is the value that is disclosed in proxy statement summary compensation tables for executive officers who are proxy officers. For purposes of calculating Earned Value as a percentage of TIC, the electrical corporation must use the value at the date of vesting. The electrical corporation position levels. The electrical corporation must provide a table for each executive officer. The electrical corporation may make copies of Table 1.4.1 as necessary.

| | 2023 Performance Year | 2024 Performance Year |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| LTI Туре | Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TIC | Target Value as a % of TIC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 23% | 23% |
| Performance Share Unit PSU)/Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 49% | 50% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a |

Table 1.4.12023 and 2024 LTIP Grants

| | 2023 Performance Year | 2024 Performance Year |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| LTI Туре | Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TIC | Target Value as a % of TIC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 23% | 23% |
| Performance Share Unit PSU)/Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 50% | 51% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a |

| | | - |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | 2023 Performance Year | 2024 Performance Year |
| LTI Туре | Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TIC | Target Value as a % of TIC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 23% | 23% |
| Performance Share Unit PSU)/Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 50% | 51% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a |

| | 2023 Performance Year | 2024 Performance Year |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| LTI Туре | Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TIC | Target Value as a % of TIC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 21% | 21% |
| Performance Share Unit PSU)/Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 45% | 45% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a |

| | | - |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | 2023 Performance Year | 2024 Performance Year |
| LTI Туре | Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TIC | Target Value as a % of TIC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 23% | 22% |
| Performance Share Unit PSU)/Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 49% | 49% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a |

If Other LTIP Type indicated, provide explanation:

1) Is any LTIP compensation not at risk?

Yes: □ No: ⊠

Describe/Explain whether answering either Yes or No:

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Share Units are settled in shares of Sempra common stock, which is impacted by company performance and external factors, such as economic and market conditions. LTIP performance is tied to company safety performance in that if the company experiences an adverse safety event, it may impact financial and stock performance.

2) Were the 2023 LTIP payouts determined based on a performance range (i.e., below minimum/threshold, minimum/threshold, target, maximum)? Check one:

Yes: 🛛 No: 🗆

3) Did the electrical corporation use one range for all 2023 LTIP metrics or differing ranges based on the category of metric)? Check one:

One range for all metrics: \Box Multiple ranges: \boxtimes

4) Provide the 2023 LTIP metric range(s):

Table 1.4.22023 LTIP Performance Range(s)

| Metric | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum |
|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | See Table 1 Below | | | |
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Index | | See Tabl | e 1 Below | |
| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth | See Table 2 Below | | | |

Table 1

| Sempra Total Shareholder Return Percentile Ranking vs. Peer Group ¹ | Percentage of Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|---|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 80 th | 175% |
| 70 th | 150% |
| 60 th | 125% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 40 th | 70% |
| 35 th | 55% |
| 30 th | 40% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies. Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date.

Table 2

| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth 2022-2025 | Percentage of Target Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|--|
| 9.10% | 200% |
| 7.90% | 150% |
| 6.50% | 100% |
| 6.20% | 25% |
| Below 6.2% | 0% |

Describe the interpolation method between categories (e.g., straight line):

Straight line

5) Provide the 2024 LTIP metric range(s):

Table 1.4.32024 LTIP Performance Range(s)

| Metric | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum |
|--|-------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | See Table 1 Below | | | |
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Index | See Table 1 Below | | | |
| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth | See Table 2 Below | | | |

Table 1

| Sempra Total Shareholder Return Percentile Ranking vs. Peer Group ¹ | Percentage of Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|---|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 80 th | 175% |
| 70 th | 150% |
| 60 th | 125% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 40 th | 70% |
| 35 th | 55% |
| 30 th | 40% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies. Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date.

| Tabl | e 2 |
|------|-----|
|------|-----|

| Sempra Adjusted EPS CAGR Percentile vs. S&P 500 Utilities ¹ 2023 - 2026 | Percentage of Target Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|--|---|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 75 th | 150% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on Adjusted EPS Growth vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies.

Describe the interpolation method between categories (e.g., straight line):

Straight line

6) Use of Any Performance Triggers

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 LTIP use any performance triggers (e.g., must achieve annual earnings per share of at least XYZ before any LTIP payments are made)? Check one:

Yes: 🗆 No: 🖂

If "Yes", describe any performance triggers:

7) Use of Any Automatic, Non-Discretionary Deductions

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 LTIP have any automatic, non-discretionary deductions (e.g., failure to achieve WMP targets results in X% reduction, catastrophic wildfire results in zeroing out all safety metrics)? Check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes", describe all automatic, non-discretionary deductions:

8) Use of Any Specifically Defined Discretionary Deductions

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 LTIP have any defined deductions (e.g., foundational goal(s)) that are part of the compensation structure? Check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes," describe all specific/defined discretionary deductions that are part of the structure:

Section 1.4.2: LTIP General Eligibility

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide a description of the executive officers eligible for the electrical corporation's LTIP, including the target percentage of base salary by position and the target for each individual in the filing. The electrical corporation must describe any changes in LTIP eligibility from the prior period. The electrical corporation may add additional rows as needed.

Table 1.4.4 LTIP Eligibility

| All executive officers of SDG&E participate in Sempra's LTIP. Target values of annual LTIP awards are based on a percentage of salary. 2024 targets are set forth below: | Target Percentage |
|--|----------------------|
| | 210% |
| | 160% |
| | 160% |
| | 80% |
| | 120% |

¹2023 target percentage was 200%.

Section 1.4.3: LTIP Measures, Weighting and Award Basis

Instructions: For each LTIP type, the electrical corporation must indicate weighting and basis of award. If the basis of an award differs amongst position or person, the electrical corporation must copy Table 1.4.5 and Table 1.4.6 as necessary and indicate who the table applies to in space provided at the top of the table. The electrical corporation may add additional tables if LTIP varies for certain officer classifications.

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: All Executive Officers | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| LTIP Type | 2023 Weight | 2023 Performance Year LTIP Award Basis | | | | |
| Stock Grant | 0% | | | | | |
| Stock Option | 0% | | | | | |
| RSU | 33.3% | | | | | |
| PSU/ PRSU | 66.7% | Performance-based restricted stock units (weighted at two-thirds, collectively): One-third based on 3-year relative total shareholder return (TSR) – Half based on 3-year relative TSR vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index – Half based on 3-year relative TSR vs. S&P 500 Index One-third based on 3-year EPS CAGR with payout scale set based on forward consensus estimates of S&P 500 Utilities peers. | | | | |
| Cash | 0% | | | | | |
| Other | 0% | | | | | |
| Weighting Total: | 100% | | | | | |

Table 1.4.52023 LTIP Measures, Weighting and Award Basis

Table 1.4.62024 LTIP Measures, Weighting and Award Basis

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: All Executive Officers | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| LTIP Type | 2024 Weight | 2024 Performance Year LTIP Award Basis | | | | | |
| Stock Grant | 0% | | | | | | |
| Stock Option | 0% | | | | | | |
| RSU | 33.3% | | | | | | |
| PSU/ PRSU | 66.7% | Performance-based restricted stock units (weighted at two-thirds, collectively): One-third based on 3-year relative total shareholder return (TSR) – 70% based on 3-year relative TSR vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index – 30% based on 3-year relative TSR vs. S&P 500 Index One-third based on 3-year Adjusted EPS CAGR relative to S&P 500 Utilities peers. | | | | | |
| Cash | 0% | | | | | | |
| Other | 0% | | | | | | |
| Weighting Total: | 100% | | | | | | |

Section 1.4.4: 2024 LTIP Measures, Definitions and Calculations

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide definitions and calculations for the 2024 LTIP metrics. For each metric, the electrical corporation must provide a definition of the metric, any adjustments or exclusions, the basis for the definition and the actual calculation such that if Energy Safety requested the source data/ inputs, the electrical corporation would be able to derive the reported results. The electrical corporation must also provide the weight given to the metric and the minimum, target, and maximum values for the metric.

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustment/ Exclusions | Weight | Min. | Target | Max. |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--------|------|------------|------|
| Relative Total Shareholder Return (TSR) vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | Measures TSR over the three-year performance period for Sempra relative to the S&P 500 Utilities Index peers, excluding water companies. Peers are based on the constituents of the index as of the grant date. | TSR percentile ranking for the performance period (based on the 30-day average closing stock price immediately preceding the start of the performance period compared to the 30-day average closing stock price immediately preceding the end of the performance period) of Sempra's cumulative total shareholder return (consisting of per share appreciation in common stock plus reinvested dividends and other distributions paid on common stock) among the companies (ranked by cumulative total shareholder returns) in the S&P 500 Utilities Index (excluding water companies) | N/A | 23.3% | | e 1.4.3 at | |
| Relative Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Index | Measures TSR over the three-year performance period for Sempra relative to the S&P 500 Index peers. Peers are | TSR percentile ranking for the performance period (based on the 30-day average closing stock price immediately preceding the start of the performance period compared | N/A | 10.0% | Se | e 1.4.3 ab | oove |

Table 1.4.72024 LTIP Measures

| Measure/Metric | Definition | Calculation Methodology | Any Adjustment/ Exclusions | Weight | Min. | Target | Max. |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|--------|------|------------|------|
| | based on the constituents of the index as of the grant date. | to the 30-day average closing stock price immediately preceding the end of the performance period) of Sempra's cumulative total shareholder return (consisting of per share appreciation in common stock plus reinvested dividends and other distributions paid on common stock) among the companies (ranked by cumulative total shareholder returns) in the S&P 500 Index | | | | | |
| Earnings per share growth | Measures Sempra's Adjusted EPS three-year compound annual growth rate (CAGR) relative to the S&P 500 Utilities Index peers, excluding water companies. Peers are based on the constituents of the index as of the grant date. | Based on the CAGR of Sempra's 2023-2025 Adjusted Earnings Per Share vs. the Adjusted Earnings Per Share CAGRs of the constituents of the S&P 500 Utilities Index, excluding water companies. | Adjusted EPS of Sempra and peers differs from EPS calculated under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Typical exclusions include but are not limited to foreign exchange gains and losses, gains and losses related to acquisitions and divestitures, and the impact of changes in accounting and tax laws and regulations. Sempra's Adjusted EPS also excludes the effect of any common stock buybacks not contemplated as of the grant date. | 33.3% | Se | e 1.4.3 at | oove |

Section 1.4.5: Historical LTIP Data

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide historical performance data (five years) for 2024 LTIP metrics. If data is lacking, or should be considered in a certain context, the electrical corporation must explain in the Notes/Context field provided why there is no data for a given year(s) and the relevant context. The electrical corporation may add rows as necessary.

| Table 1.4.8 |
|---|
| LTIP Metric Historical Actual Performance |

| Metric/Measure | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Year Granted | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| TSR vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | 125% | 115% | 64% | 131% | 145% |
| TSR vs. S&P 500 Index | 169% | 103% | 2% | 81% | 109% |
| EPS Growth | 200% | 200% | 200% | 200% | 200% |

Notes/Context:

Section 1.4.6: 2023 LTIP Adjustments

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide an explanation of any increases and decreases in 2023 LTIP compensation due to failing to meet safety or other targets. The electrical corporation must separately describe any adjustments to LTIP compensation levels made by the Compensation Committee or executive management and the amount and reason for the reduction. The electrical corporation must detail any adjustments made to increase compensation beyond the levels warranted by the corporation's actual performance (in any metric classification) and the reasons for the adjustments.

1) Actual performance lower than target due to failure to meet safety target(s):

| N/A | | |
|-----|--|--|
| | | |

2) Actual performance lower than target due to failure to meet other target(s):

| N/A |
|-----|
| |

3) Any additional deductions, or upward adjustments, made by the executive management, the Compensation Committee, or full Board of Directors and the reason for each adjustment:

N/A

Section 1.4.7: LTIP Prior Year Actuals

Instructions: For any prior year LTIP programs that vested in 2023, provide details of projected and actual payouts/performance.

| LTIP Program Name ⁷ | Performance Measure | Projected % of TIC at Time of Grant | Actual % of TIC at Vesting Date | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | 24% | 35% | | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs.11%S&P 500 Index11% | | 9% | | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | EPS Growth | 13% | 30% | | | | | |
| 2020 RSU | Third Installment | 7% | 7% | | | | | |
| 2021 RSU | Second Installment | 8% | 10% | | | | | |
| 2022 RSU | First Installment | 8% | 9% | | | | | |

Table 1.4.9LTIP Program Vesting in 2023

| LTIP Program Name ⁸ | | | Actual % of TIC at Vesting Date | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | 23% | 33% | | | |
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Index | 11% | 9% | | | |
| 2020 PSU | EPS Growth | 12% | 28% | | | |
| 2020 RSU | Third Installment | 6% | 7% | | | |
| 2021 RSU | Second Installment | 8% | 10% | | | |
| 2022 RSU | First Installment | 8% | 9% | | | |

⁷ See footnote 1 above.

⁸ See footnote 1 above.

| LTIP Program Name ⁹ | Performance Measure | Projected % of TIC at Time of Grant | Actual % of TIC at Vesting Date | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2021 RSU | Second Installment | 8% | 10% | | | |
| 2022 RSU | First Installment | 7% | 8% | | | |

| LTIP Program Name ¹⁰ | Performance Measure | Projected % of TIC at Time of Grant | Actual % of TIC at Vesting Date | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | 16% | 24% | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs.8%S&P 500 Index8% | | 6% | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | EPS Growth | 9% | 20% | | | | |
| 2020 RSU | Third Installment | 4% | 5% | | | | |
| 2021 RSU | Second Installment | 7% | 9% | | | | |
| 2022 RSU | First Installment | 7% | 8% | | | | |

| LTIP Program Name ¹¹ | Performance Measure | Projected % of TIC at Time of Grant | Actual % of TIC at Vesting Date | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | 25% | 37% | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | Total Shareholder Return vs. S&P 500 Index | 12% | 10% | | | | |
| 2020 PSU | EPS Growth | 14% | 32% | | | | |
| 2020 RSU | Third Installment | 7% | 8% | | | | |
| 2021 RSU | Second Installment | 8% | 10% | | | | |
| 2022 RSU | First Installment | 7% | 9% | | | | |

⁹ See footnote 1 above.
¹⁰ See footnote 1 above.
¹¹ See footnote 1 above.

Section 1.5: Fixed versus Incentive Compensation

Rationale: Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(6)(A)(i)(I) requires for the issuance of a Safety Certification, that "the electrical corporation has established a compensation structure for any new or amended contracts for executive officers" that meets several principles, including "strict limits on guaranteed cash compensation, with the primary portion of the executive officers' compensation based on achievement of objective performance metrics." To evaluate an electrical corporation's compliance with this requirement, Energy Safety needs to know: (a) who are the electrical corporation's executive officers and (b) what compensation structure exists.

Definition: "Executive officer" is defined in Public Utilities Code section 451.5(c) and "means any person who performs policy making functions and is employed by the public utility subject to the approval of the board of directors, and includes the president, secretary, treasurer, and any vice president in charge of a principal business unit, division, or function of the public utility." Energy Safety considers divisions or units responsible for electrical operations, gas operations or wildfire-related functions principal business units, divisions, or functions of the public utility. For the purposes of compliance with section 8389(e)(6)(A), executive officers are presumed to have a compensation contract under California law.

Instructions: In Table 1.5.1, for each executive officer with a new or amended contract, the electrical corporation must provide the executive title and function, the executive name (if the executive is classified as an Officer of the Company per the Company's website), the target percentage of Base Salary, Short-Term Incentives (STIP), Long-Term Incentives (LTIP), and Indirect and Ancillary Compensation as a proportion of Total Compensation (TC) for the appropriate 2024 filing year. See the definition of the proceeding terms in **Attachment 2**.

Exclude all pension plans, whether qualified or non-qualified from Table 1.5.1. The total indirect and ancillary service costs reported in Table 1.5.1 must reconcile with the corresponding values in Table 1.6.1.

For purposes of calculating the percentage of TC, use the grant value of the compensation as determined for accounting purposes. Grant value is the value that is disclosed in proxy statement summary compensation tables for executive officers who are proxy officers. Percentages must be specified for each executive officer and not a range for various position levels.

Table 1.5.1Fixed versus Incentive Compensation at the Target Level

| Executive Title/ Function and Name (where applicable) | Target Base Salary as a Percent of TC | Target Annual STIP as a Percent of TC | Target Quarterly STIP as a Percent of TC | Target LTIP as a Percent of TC ¹² | Indirect and Ancillary Compensation as a Percent of TC |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | 24% | 21% | n/a | 54% | 1% |
| | 30% | 18% | n/a | 51% | 1% |
| | 30% | 18% | n/a | 51% | 0% |
| | 43% | 19% | n/a | 36% | 2% |
| | 36% | 18% | n/a | 45% | 1% |

List all types of indirect and ancillary compensation included in Table 1.5.1:

Executive benefit program allowance, supplemental disability insurance, personal liability insurance, medical evacuation program and financial planning. See Table 1.6.1 for eligibility.

¹² See footnote 1 above.

Section 1.6: Indirect or Ancillary Compensation

Rationale: Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(6)(A)(iv) requires, for the issuance of Safety Certification, that "the electrical corporation has established a compensation structure for any new or amended contracts for executive officers" that meets several principles, including "minimization or elimination of indirect or ancillary compensation that is not aligned with shareholder and taxpayer interest in the electrical corporation." To ensure that the compensation structure for new or amended contracts for executive officers is based on this principle of minimization of indirect or ancillary compensation, Energy Safety must understand what indirect or ancillary compensation are given to executive officers with new or amended contracts.

Section 1.6.1: Indirect and Ancillary Compensation (not including Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs))

Instructions: The electrical corporation must list all indirect and ancillary compensation (excluding SERP) provided to executive officers with new or amended contracts. See **Attachment 2** for the definition of and a list of typical indirect or ancillary compensation. If the electrical corporation provides indirect or ancillary compensation, the electrical corporation must provide the current estimated proportion of TC for each executive officer. The total indirect and ancillary service costs reported in Table 1.6.1 must reconcile with the corresponding values Table 1.5.1. For purposes of calculating the percentage of TC, use the grant value of the compensation as determined for accounting purposes. Grant value is the value that is disclosed in proxy statement summary compensation tables for executive officer and not a range for various position levels. The electrical corporation must exclude all pension plans whether qualified or non-qualified in Table 1.6.1. The electrical corporation may add rows and explanatory notes as necessary.

 Table 1.6.1

 2024 Indirect or Ancillary Compensation Example (Excluding SERP)

| Title | 2024 Indirect or Ancillary Compensation Element | Eligibility Requirements | Frequency (One-time, Annual, Other) | Current Estimated Proportion of 2024 TC |
|-------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| | Executive Benefits Program Allowance | | Annual | Approximately 1% |
| | Supplemental Disability | | Annual | Less than 1% |
| | Personal Liability Insurance | | Annual | Less than 1% |
| | Medjet | | Annual | Less than 1% |
| | Financial Planning | | Annual | Less than 1% for Less than 2% for |

Section 1.6.2: Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (SERPs)

Instructions: Provide details of the SERP for all executive officers as defined in Public Utilities Code Section 451.5I and Attachment 2.

1) Availability of Supplemental Retirement Plans

Does the electrical corporation have supplemental retirement plans for non-Executive Officers? Check one:

Yes: ⊠ No: □

If "Yes", describe the eligibility requirements for the plan(s):

Participation in the SERP is subject to approval by the Compensation and Talent Development Committee of the Sempra Board of Directors and the SDG&E Board.

2) Structure of Supplemental Retirement Plans

If supplemental retirement plans are available, describe:

- The eligibility requirements for participation in the plan(s).
- The award basis for plan(s) (e.g., years of service, company stock performance over the period of service, etc.).
- The type of payment made (e.g., cash, stock, combination of cash and stock).
- The award schedule for the plan(s).

participates in the Supplemental Executive Retirement plan. The other executive officers participate in the Cash Balance Restoration Plan.

The Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan is a defined benefit plan that considers age at retirement, years of service and final average pay (average base salary for the two consecutive years of highest base salary prior to retirement plus the average of the three highest annual bonus (STIP) awards in the 10 years prior to retirement). Benefits payable under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan are reduced by benefits payable under the Cash Balance Plan.

The Cash Balance Restoration Plan restores the benefits that would otherwise be provided under the qualified Cash Balance Plan but for Internal Revenue Service limits applicable to tax-qualified plans.

Benefits are paid in cash (both Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and Cash Balance Restoration Plan).

Benefits are paid in a lump sum or as an annuity following retirement, based on the employee's payout election.

3) Supplemental Retirement Plan Benefits

Instructions: Provide SERP values for all executive officers described in the electrical corporation's executive compensation submission. If an executive officer is not eligible for the SERP, please indicate.

| Title | Number of Years Credited Service as of 2023 | 2023 SERP/Cash Balance Restoration Service Cost as a % of 2023 TDC ¹⁴ | 2023 Cash Balance Service Cost as a % of 2023 TDC ¹⁵ |
|-------|---|---|---|
| | 38 | 1% | 1% |
| | 19 | 1% | 1% |
| | 4 | 1% | 1% |
| | 9 | 3% | 3% |
| | 25 | 1% | 1% |

| Table | 1.6 | .2 ¹³ |
|-------|-----|------------------|
|-------|-----|------------------|

¹³ See footnote 1 above.

¹⁴ 2023 SERP Service Cost, consistent with proxy pay versus performance disclosure methodology, as a

percentage of 2023 TDC. ¹⁵ 2023 Cash Balance Service Costs, consistent with proxy pay versus performance disclosure methodology, as a percentage of 2023 TDC.

Section 1.7: Long-Term Incentive Program (LTIP) Public Utilities Code Section 8389(e)(6)A

Rationale: Public Utilities Code section 8389(e)(6)(A)(iii) requires, for the issuance of Safety Certification, that "the electrical corporation has established a compensation structure for any new or amended contracts for executive officers" that meets several principles, including "a long-term structure that provides a significant portion of compensation, which may take the form of grants of the electrical corporation's stock, based on the electrical corporation's long-term performance and value. This compensation shall be held or deferred for a period of at least three years."

To ensure that the executive compensation structure for electrical corporation executive officers is structured to provide a significant proportion of the compensation based on the electrical corporations long-term performance and value, held or deferred for a period of at least three years, as well as to promote safety as a priority and ensure public safety and utility financial stability, Energy Safety must have an in-depth understanding of the long-term compensation components of an executive compensation structure.

Instructions: The LTIP includes all performance-based compensation awarded on a performance term of three or more years. If the electrical corporation uses more than one long-term incentive mechanism, the electrical corporation must repeat this information for each mechanism (e.g., Three-year, Four-Year).

Section 1.7.1: LTIP Structure

Instructions: The electrical corporation must provide the name, title/function, grant date, vesting schedule, and estimated award percentage of TC for each executive officer <u>with any</u> <u>new or amended contract</u> that receives or is expected to receive direct compensation under a LTIP for the applicable years. For purposes of calculating the grant value as a percentage of TC, the electrical corporation must use the grant value of the compensation as determined for accounting purposes. Grant value is the value that is disclosed in proxy statement summary compensation tables for executive officers who are proxy officers. For the purposes of calculating Earned Value as a percentage of TC, the electrical corporation must use the value that specify percentages for each executive officer and not a range for various position levels. The electrical corporation must provide a table for each executive officer. The electrical corporation may make copies of Table 1.7.1 as necessary.

Table 1.7.1 2023 and 2024 LTIP Grants

| Executive Title/ F | Executive Title/ Function and Name: | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| LTIP Type ¹⁶ | 2023 PY Grant Date (1) | 2023 PY Vesting Schedule | 2023 PY Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TC | 2024 PY Anticipated Grant Date | 2024 PY Vesting Schedule | 2024 PY Target Value as a % of TC | | |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests ratably over three years | 17% | 1/2/2024 | Vests ratably over three years | 17% | | |
| Performance Share Unit (PSU)/ Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.2 for performance measure information) | 36% | 1/2/2024 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.3 for performance measure information) | 37% | | |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Other | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |

¹⁶ See footnote 1 above.

| Executive Title/ F | Executive Title/ Function and Name: | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| LTIP Type ¹⁷ | 2023 PY Grant Date (1) | 2023 PY Vesting Schedule | 2023 PY Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TC | 2024 PY Anticipated Grant Date | 2024 PY Vesting Schedule | 2024 PY Target Value as a % of TC | | |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests ratably over three years | 16% | 1/2/2024 | Vests ratably over three years | 16% | | |
| Performance Share Unit (PSU)/ Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.2 for performance measure information) | 35% | 1/2/2024 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.3 for performance measure information) | 35% | | |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| Other | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |

(1) Performance year

¹⁷ See footnote 1 above.

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| LTIP Type ¹⁸ | 2023 PY Grant Date (1) | 2023 PY Vesting Schedule | 2023 PY Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TC | 2024 PY Anticipated Grant Date | 2024 PY Vesting Schedule | 2024 PY Target Value as a % of TC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests ratably over three years | 16% | 1/2/2024 | Vests ratably over three years | 16% |
| Performance Share Unit (PSU)/ Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.2 for performance measure information) | 35% | 1/2/2024 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.3 for performance measure information) | 35% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

¹⁸ See footnote 1 above.

| Executive Title/ F | Function and Nan | ne: | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| LTIP Type ¹⁹ | 2023 PY Grant Date (1) | 2023 PY Vesting Schedule | 2023 PY Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TC | 2024 PY Anticipated Grant Date | 2024 PY Vesting Schedule | 2024 PY Target Value as a % of TC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests ratably over three years | 11% | 1/2/2024 | Vests ratably over three years | 11% |
| Performance Share Unit (PSU)/ Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.2 for performance measure information) | 25% | 1/2/2024 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.3 for performance measure information) | 25% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

¹⁹ See footnote 1 above.

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| LTIP Type ²⁰ | 2023 PY Grant Date (1) | 2023 PY Vesting Schedule | 2023 PY Grant Date Fair Value as a % of TC | 2024 PY Anticipated Grant Date | 2024 PY Vesting Schedule | 2024 PY Target Value as a % of TC |
| Stock Grant | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests ratably over three years | 14% | 1/2/2024 | Vests ratably over three years | 14% |
| Performance Share Unit (PSU)/ Performance Restricted Stock Unit (PRSU) | 1/3/2023 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.2 for performance measure information) | 31% | 1/2/2024 | Vests following completion of three-year performance period, subject to performance (see Table 1.4.3 for performance measure information) | 31% |
| Cash Performance Payment | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Other | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

²⁰ See footnote 1 above.

If "Other" LTIP Type indicated, provide an explanation:

1) Is any LTIP compensation not at risk?

Yes: □ No: ⊠

Describe/Explain for answering either Yes or No:

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Share Units are settled in shares of Sempra common stock, which is impacted by company performance and external factors, such as economic and market conditions. LTIP performance is tied to company safety performance in that if the company experiences an adverse safety event, it may impact financial and stock performance.

2) Were the 2023 LTIP payouts determined based on a performance range (i.e., below minimum/threshold, minimum/threshold, target, maximum)? Check one:

Yes: ⊠ No: □

3) Did the electrical corporation use one range for all 2023 LTIP metrics or differing ranges based on the category of metric)? Check one:

One range for all metrics: \Box Multiple ranges: \boxtimes

4) Provide the 2023 LTIP metric range(s):

Table 1.7.22023 LTIP Performance Range(s)

| Metric | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum |
|--|------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | | See Tabl | e 1 Below | |
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Index | | See Tabl | e 1 Below | |
| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth | | See Tabl | e 2 Below | |

| Table | 1 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

| Sempra Total Shareholder Return Percentile Ranking vs. Peer Group ¹ | Percentage of Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|---|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 80 th | 175% |
| 70 th | 150% |
| 60 th | 125% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 40 th | 70% |
| 35 th | 55% |
| 30 th | 40% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies. Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date.

Table 2

| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth 2022-2025 | Percentage of Target Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|--|
| 9.10% | 200% |
| 7.90% | 150% |
| 6.50% | 100% |
| 6.20% | 25% |
| Below 6.2% | 0% |

Describe the interpolation method between categories (e.g., straight line):

Straight line

5) Provide the 2024 LTIP metric range(s):

| Table 1.7.3 |
|--------------------------------|
| 2024 LTIP Performance Range(s) |

| Metric | Below Minimum | Minimum | Target | Maximum |
|--|-------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Utilities Index | See Table 1 Below | | | |
| Sempra total shareholder return vs. S&P 500 Index | | See Table | e 1 Below | |
| Sempra Earnings Per Share Growth | | See Table | e 2 Below | |

Table 1

| Sempra Total Shareholder Return Percentile Ranking vs. Peer Group ¹ | Percentage of Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|---|---|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 80 th | 175% |
| 70 th | 150% |
| 60 th | 125% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 40 th | 70% |
| 35 th | 55% |
| 30 th | 40% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies. Peer group for awards based on total shareholder return vs. the S&P 500 Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date.

| Tabl | e 2 |
|------|-----|
|------|-----|

| Sempra Adjusted EPS CAGR Percentile vs. S&P 500 Utilities ¹ 2023 – 2026 | Percentage of Target Number of Restricted Stock Units that Vest |
|--|--|
| 90 th | 200% |
| 75 th | 150% |
| 50 th | 100% |
| 25 th | 25% |
| Below 25 th | 0% |

¹Peer group for awards based on Adjusted EPS Growth vs. the S&P 500 Utilities Index is the constituents of the index as of the grant date, excluding water companies.

Describe the interpolation method between categories (e.g., straight line):

Straight line

6) Use of Any Performance Triggers

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 LTIP use any performance triggers (e.g., must achieve annual earnings per share of at least XYZ before any LTIP payments are made)? Check one:

Yes: □ No: ⊠

If "Yes", describe any performance triggers:

7) Use of Any Automatic, Non-Discretionary Deductions

Does the electrical corporation's 2024 LTIP have any automatic, non-discretionary deductions (e.g., failure to achieve WMP targets results in X% reduction, catastrophic wildfire results in zeroing out all safety metrics)? Check one:

Yes: \Box No: \boxtimes

If "Yes", describe all automatic, non-discretionary deductions:

Section 1.7.2: LTIP Measures, Weighting and Vesting

Instructions: For each LTIP Type, the electrical corporation must indicate vesting period and type. If the basis of award differs amongst position or person, copy Table 1.7.4 and Table 1.7.5 as necessary and indicate who the table applies to in space provided at the top of the table. The electrical corporation must add additional tables if LTIP varies for certain officer classifications.

| Table 1.7.4 | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| 2023 LTIP Measures Vesting | | |

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: All Executive Officers | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| LTIP Type | Vesting Period and Type | |
| Stock Grant | n/a | |
| Stock Option | n/a | |
| RSU | Equal installments over 3 years | |
| PSU/ PRSU | 3-year cliff | |
| Cash | n/a | |
| Other | n/a | |
| Weighting Total: | 100% | |

Table 1.7.52024 LTIP Measures Vesting

| Executive Title/ Function and Name: All Executive Officers | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| LTIP Type | Vesting Period and Type |
| Stock Grant | n/a |
| Stock Option | n/a |
| RSU | Equal installments over 3 years |
| PSU/ PRSU | 3-year cliff |
| Cash | n/a |
| Other | n/a |
| Weighting Total: | 100% |

Section 1.8: ACR 9 Executive Compensation Proposal

As per D. 20-05-053, the Commission has obligated PG&E to comply with the requirements of the ACR Executive Compensation Proposal 9. PG&E must note in its submission how it is addressing the various additional requirements.

Other electrical corporations may, but are not required, to review and consider adopting measures from the ACR Executive Compensation Proposal 9 in the spirit of transparency and furthering the purpose of AB 1054

Section 1.8.1: ACR Executive Compensation Proposal Alignment

Instructions: PG&E must demonstrate how it complies with the additional requirements set forth in ACR 9. PG&E must provide an explanation of how its compensation structure aligns or does not align with the element for each element of ACR 9.

Other electrical corporations may demonstrate how they comply with the additional requirements set forth in ACR 9. Other electrical corporations may provide an explanation of how their compensation structure aligns or does not align with the element for each element of ACR 9.

SDG&E's Review of Assigned Commissioner Ruling Executive Compensation Proposal 9:

The 2024 Guidelines encourage electrical corporations other than PG&E to review and consider adopting the executive compensation requirements that apply to PG&E pursuant to the final decision approving PG&E's reorganization plan (D.20-05-053), which obligated PG&E to comply with the requirements proposed in ACR Executive Compensation Proposal 9 ("ACR-9"). SDG&E has reviewed these executive compensation requirements for PG&E. As explained below, while SDG&E is under no obligation to comply or adhere to the requirements of ACR-9, the intent and substance of SDG&E's executive compensation structure align with most of the ACR-9 requirements. Since 2020, SDG&E has also adopted additional practices described in ACR-9, including the retention of an independent compensation consultant in 2023.

To the extent that SDG&E's executive compensation program differs from the ACR-9 requirements for PG&E, the features of SDG&E's program reflect the independent judgment and discretion of SDG&E's Board of Directors, as well as the Board's Safety Committee and Compensation Committee. As Energy Safety has recognized since the passage of Assembly Bill 1054, SDG&E's executive compensation structure complies with the requirements of Public Utilities Code Section 8389, promotes safety as a priority, and helps ensure public safety and utility financial stability.

1) Publicly disclosed compensation arrangements for executives.

As part of its annual report pursuant to General Order No. 77-M, SDG&E publicly discloses compensation for executives with base salaries of at least \$250,000.

2) Written compensation agreements for executives.

SDG&E does not have employment contracts for executive officers. SDG&E's short-term incentive plan and long-term incentive plan award agreements are updated annually.

3) Guaranteed cash compensation as a percentage of total compensation that does not exceed industry norms.

SDG&E places an emphasis on variable performance-based pay, providing a greater proportion of target annual compensation through performance-based short-term incentives and LTIP rather than base salary.

4) Holding or deferring the majority or super-majority of incentive compensation, in form of equity awards, for at least 3 years.

SDG&E's performance-based restricted stock unit awards are subject to three-year cliff vesting and our restricted stock unit awards vest over a three-year period. Executive officers are subject to stock ownership guidelines that require them to achieve and maintain significant equity holdings.

5) Basing a significant component of long-term incentive compensation on safety performance, as measured by a relevant subset of by the Safety and Operational Metrics to be developed, as well as customer satisfaction, engagement, and welfare. The remaining portion may be based on financial performance or other considerations.

As explained above, SDG&E's long term compensation program is based on company financial performance. SDG&E's financial performance, however, is inexorably tied to company safety performance, as well as overall customer satisfaction, engagement, and welfare, in that if the company experiences an adverse safety event, it will likely adversely impact financial and stock performance – thus reducing overall long-term compensation. This is illustrated by the financial performance of PG&E, whose stock was at an all-time high in 2017 prior to a precipitous drop after the 2018 wildfires.

6) Annual review of awards by an independent consultant.

SDG&E's Board of Directors retains an independent compensation consultant to review and advise on aspects of executive compensation and ICP awards.

7) Annual reporting of awards to the CPUC through a Tier 1 advice letter compliance filing.

As part of its annual report pursuant to General Order No. 77-M, SDG&E publicly discloses compensation for executives with base salaries of at least \$250,000, including awards to those executives.

8) A presumption that a material portion of executive incentive compensation shall be withheld if the PG&E is the ignition source of a catastrophic wildfire, unless the Commission determines that it would be inappropriate based on the conduct of the utility.

The Board of Directors has discretion to reduce or eliminate an annual incentive award in the event of a significant lapse in safety or compliance, including if SDG&E is the ignition source of a catastrophic wildfire. Consistent with Public Utilities Code Section 8389(e)(4), "this may include tying 100 percent of incentive compensation to safety performance and denying all incentive compensation in the event the electrical corporation causes a catastrophic wildfire that results in one or more fatalities."

SDG&E does not believe it would be prudent for the company to implement the presumption that is required for PG&E because (i) the presumption of ACR-9 was tied to conduct specific to PG&E and unrelated to SDG&E, and (ii) implementing such a presumption would unnecessarily make positions at SDG&E less attractive for recruitment purposes, especially when compared to compensation packages from the companies and industries where we recruit (including utilities other than the California electrical corporations).

9) Executive officer compensation policies will include provisions that allow for restrictions, limitations, and cancellations of severance payments in the event of any felony criminal conviction related to public health and safety or financial misconduct by the reorganized PG&E, for executive officers serving at the time of the underlying conduct that led to the conviction. Implementation of this policy should take into account PG&E's need to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers.

SDG&E's severance agreements provide that severance benefits are not paid in connection with a termination for cause, which can include, but is not limited to, grossly negligent performance of the executive's duties and willful criminal conduct involving acts of moral turpitude resulting in an adverse effect for the company. SDG&E does not believe that it would be prudent to include this specific provision from ACR-9 because i) implementing these severance provisions would unnecessarily make positions at SDG&E less attractive for recruitment purposes, and ii) this provision of ACR-9 addresses actions specific to PG&E and its reorganization in the context of the concurrent criminal investigations of PG&E's conduct leading to catastrophic wildfires.