TRANSMITTED VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

DATA REQUEST

Request Date: October 30, 2026

Response Due: November 4, 2026

To:

Kim Sackett
kim.sackett@pge.com
Electric Program Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)
300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, CA 94612

Wade Greenacre
wade.greenacre@pge.com
Regulatory Relations Advocacy Director
Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E)
300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, CA 94612

EUP Info
EUPinfo@pge.com
300 Lakeside Drive, Oakland, CA 94612

Originator: Richard Cao
Richard.Cao@energysafety.ca.gov

Data Request Number: Energy Safety-DR-EUP-26-11

Subject: Screen 2 Benefit-Cost Ratio Inputs

INSTRUCTIONS

a. Provide all information in your possession, custody, or control, or the possession, custody, and/or control of your affiliates or agents, that is responsive to these data requests by the due date identified above.

b. Responses and documents may be produced and served electronically, but they shall be fully machine-readable and searchable.

c. If you have any questions about the meaning or scope of the data requests herein, direct such questions to the Energy Safety staff identified as the "Originator" of this request at your earliest opportunity.

    1. Lack of clarity on meaning or scope of requests, without prior request for clarification from the "Originator," will not be a permissible reason for incomplete responses and will be regarded as non-compliance with the request.

d. Identify the personnel (employees, consultants, agents, etc.) who provided information responsive to each of the data requests below. As used in this context herein, "identify" means to provide the full name, business address, and title of each employee, consultant, or agent who provided such information.

e. If you do not know the exact answer to any of the requests below, please so indicate and provide your best estimate.

f. Provide data in its original format (i.e., PDF, Excel, GIS shapefile, etc.), unless otherwise specified in the request.

g. Send your response to Richard Cao (Richard.Cao@energysafety.ca.gov), and include a copy to:

    Simone.Brant@energysafety.ca.gov,
    Shaun.Richards@energysafety.ca.gov,
    electricalundergroundingplans@energysafety.ca.gov

h. E-file a copy of the response on the PG&E EUP Docket #EUP-PGE.

REQUEST

This Data Request pertains to the PG&E EUP.

Q01. Screen 2 Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) Inputs

i. In response to DR-EUP-26-09 Q09.a, PG&E stated that they could not produce a version of CPUC Table 1 for Screen 2 Benefit-Cost Ratios (BCRs) as that specific data table can only be generated for Confirmed Projects that have gone through scoping. In lieu of CPUC Table 1, provide detailed cost and benefit inputs for all Screen 2 BCRs reported in Energy Safety's Screen 2 Table (Table C.1.11). At minimum, include the following fields:
   i. Circuit Segment ID
   ii. Comparison Name
   iii. Total Mitigation Benefit (Scaled and Unscaled)
   iv. Installation Capital Cost
   v. Baseline O&M Costs (Per-Year and Total Present Value)
   vi. Incremental O&M Costs[1] (Per-Year and Total Present Value)
   vii. Incremental O&M Savings[2] (Per-Year and Total Present Value)
   viii. Screen 2 BCR (Scaled and Unscaled)

b. In WP006, PG&E states that "O&M costs are estimated for the baseline and applicable mitigation alternatives, and the incremental difference relative to the baseline is included in the lifetime cost component of the BCR calculation." No explicit mention is made of "O&M Savings" as defined in CPUC's SB 884 Project List Data Requirements Guidelines.

[1] Defined in CPUC Staff White Paper on Benefit-Cost Ratio Methodology as "the additional O&M expenditures required by the project or mitigation relative to the No-Build Baseline." https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M604/K545/604545276.PDF, pg. 18

[2] Defined in CPUC Staff White Paper on Benefit-Cost Ratio Methodology as "the avoided O&M expenditures associated with the NoBuild Baseline that are eliminated by the project or mitigation." https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M604/K545/604545276.PDF, pg. 18

i. Are "O&M Savings" implicitly included in the incremental difference between baseline and O&M Costs as described in WP006?

ii. Describe how to derive the "incremental lifetime O&M costs" used in the BCR denominator from the baseline O&M Costs, mitigation O&M Costs and O&M Savings.

END OF REQUEST