PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
10 Year Electrical Undergrounding Plan (EUP)
Data Response

PG&E Data Request No.:	OEIS_004-Q009
PG&E File Name:	EUP-PhI_DR_OEIS_004-Q009
Request Date:	October 23, 2026
Requester DR No.:	Energy Safety-DR-EUP-26-09
Requesting Party:	Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
Requester:	Adam Berlinerman
Date Sent:	October 29, 2026
Responsible Person(s):	Justin Sadler

SUBJECT: CPUC DATA TEMPLATE, PRESENT VALUE CALCULATION, SCREEN 2 BCR INPUTS, RISK MODELING

QUESTION 009

Screen 2 BCRs

a. Provide a version of CPUC Table 1 for all BCRs that were submitted in Energy Safety's Screen 2 Table (Table C.1.11). The following conditions must be satisfied.

   i. For purposes of this request, PG&E will need to generate unique rru_ids. PG&E's current rru_ids utilize project_id (e.g. Proj00001-3UG-ALT1). Given that not every Circuit Segment in the Screen 2 Table has a project_id, describe the naming convention for the generated rru_id and how it relates to a row in the Screen 2 Table.

   ii. The cost_benefit_ratio value in Energy Safety Table C.1.11 should exactly match the benefit_cost_ratio_scaled value in CPUC Table 1 for a given Circuit Segment.

   Iii. PG&E only provided BCRs for costs and benefits discounted under the WACC discount rate in Table C.1.11 for their EUP submission. In CPUC Table 1, data was provided for all three discount rates. Provide CPUC Table 1 data under the WACC scenario to match the level of detail of Table C.1.11. Data for the Societal and Hybrid scenarios can be provided, if available, but is optional.

b. Provide Screen 2 BCRs calculated using the methodology that would be required if the CPUC Joint Application (A.26-02-005) Proposed Decision is adopted for each circuit segment in the Screen 2 Table.

ANSWER 009

a. PG&E will not be able to produce the CPUC Table 1 Version requested for the reasons detailed below.

   i. Project IDs are only assigned to Confirmed Projects which have passed Screen 3. RRU IDs relate to Confirmed Projects or their Undergrounding Subprojects. Non-EUP Projects do not have Project IDs because they do not include any undergrounding work that is eligible for cost recovery within the EUP. Therefore, an RRU ID cannot be generated for a Circuit Segment which does not have a Confirmed Project.

   ii. The cost_benefit_ratio value calculated in Screen 2 and displayed in Table C.1.11 will never match the values in benefit_cost_ratio in SPD37 Table 1. The cost_benefit_ratio value in Table C.1.11 is an output of the WBCA risk model and is based on high level assumptions about the circuit segment. The benefit_cost_ratio value in SPD37 T1 is based on a detailed analysis of the Confirmed Project. It is not possible to calculate a benefit_cost_ratio value at the same level of detail as a Confirmed Project, for a Circuit Segment which has only passed Screen 2 and for which no Project has been scoped.

   Effectively, this request asks PG&E to assume what the RRU/Subproject scope will be for projects that have not yet been scoped—and populate SPD37 Table 1 with BCRs for those yet-to-be defined Subprojects, which is not possible.

   iii. PG&E cannot provide this data for the reasons listed above in a.ii.

b. As discussed with Energy Safety on October 27, 2026 and via email on October 28, 2026, PG&E will provide a response to this question following the CPUC's Final Decision on the Joint IOU Application (A.26-02-005).