Count	Party Name	Data Set	Data Request	Question No.	Question ID	Supp / Rev
1	OEIS	001	OEIS_001	001	OEIS_001_Q001	No
2	OEIS	001	OEIS_001	002	OEIS_001_Q002	No
3	OEIS	001	OEIS_001	003	OEIS_001_Q003	No
4	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	001	MGRA_001_Q001	No
5	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	002	MGRA_001_Q002	No
6	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	003	MGRA_001_Q003	No
7	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	004	MGRA_001_Q004	No
8	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	005	MGRA_001_Q005	No
9	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	006	MGRA_001_Q006	No
10	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	007	MGRA_001_Q007	No
11	MGRA	001	MGRA_001	008	MGRA_001_Q008	No

Question Text
Q01. KDMM Calculations a. Climate Escalation a. Provide Baseline Wildfire Risk, Baseline EPSS Risk, and Baseline PSPS Risk without climate
Q02. Benefit-Cost Ratios (BCRs) and CPUC Data Template a. WP006 states that PG&E is using a real discount rate of 4.61% (nominal ATWACC adjusted for inflation) for decision making. PG&E also provides discounted values under the Hybrid and
Q03. Circuit Segment Length a. Define the denominator in the overall utility risk density per mile used to evaluate the High-Risk Threshold in the EUP as 'program_length'. Describe PG&E's process for
Please provide a redline version of the updates made compared to Revision 0.
Figure 11 of this document is identical to Figure 10 of the previous version, purportedly showing “TDI Predicted Wildfire Outcomes”. PG&E acknowledged the data used in this figure was erroneous in a data request response to MGRA.
PG&E states that it “currently faces an estimated average annual loss of $512 million due to damage to our distribution infrastructure caused by wildfires” and cites to the Marsh Impact Analysis paper (WP005). Provide the calculations
PG&E also states that: “All else being equal, it is estimated that during the 10-year EUP period, the wildfire risk reduction achieved from undergrounding could facilitate a reduction in homeowner insurance premiums for commercially
G&E states that it “assumes that undergrounding eliminates PSPS and EPSS events on the underground portions of a Circuit Segment, resulting in 100 percent effectiveness for those risk categories.” (p. 67)
Regarding Spatial Data Submissions (p. 254), a. provide non-confidential versions of Project-Level Data, b. provide a detailed explanation for the confidentiality of data files that cannot be
Please provide Excel files for PG&E tables in the EUP containing numerical data, specifically including: a. Table 3-4 (p. 104)
Regarding the flowchart in Figure 3-1 PROJECT ACCEPTANCE FRAMEWORK – SCREEN 1: All decision paths appear to lead to Eligible CPZs. It would appear the horizontal arrow joining the “Ignition Tail Risk Threshold Ineligible” and the Eligible CPZ

Responses
Climate Escalation a. Please see the “Baseline Risks – Q001a.a” tab in the provided attachment “EUP-PhI_DR_OEIS_Q001Atch01.xlsx”.
a. a. For the Hybrid discount rate scenario, a real discount rate of 3.73% was used for safety and reliability terms and the WACC real discount rate of 4.61% was
a. For non-Fire Rebuild Projects, the denominator of the Overall Utility Risk (OUR) density metric is the total HFTD/HFRA union primary overhead conductor mileage associated with the project. This mileage is calculated as the sum of
Please see “EUP-PhI_DR_MGRA_001-Q001Atch01.pdf” for a redline of the Wildfire Consequence Model v4 Documentation Rev 2 against the baseline of Rev 0.
In WMP-Discovery2026-2028_DR_MGRA_006-Q005Supp01, our response states: The calculations in the section of documentation that includes Figures 9 and 10 were included as examples from a development version of the model,
In WMP-Discovery2026-2028_DR_MGRA_006-Q005Supp01, our response states: The calculations in the section of documentation that includes Figures 9 and 10 were included as examples from a development version of the model,
a.Marsh’s approach, including data sources, modeling, assumptions, and calculations, is described in the Methodology Overview sections of WP005. That approach includes Marsh’s proprietary modeling and calculations. Further detail on Marsh’s calculations underlying the estimated reduction in homeowner
a. PG&E’s PSPS risk methodology accounts for risks associated with upstream overhead lines and equipment through its PSPS risk calculation. Under this methodology, customer-experienced PSPS risk is allocated to upstream circuit segments located within the historical PSPS event polygon based on the
a. PG&E reviewed the requested project-level GIS data provided to Energy Safety to determine whether a non-confidential version could be produced. However, the confidential information is inherent to the datasets and cannot be reasonably segregated from the non-confidential information. Because the data contains
Please see “EUP-PhI_DR_MGRA_001-Q007Atch01.zip” for the requested files. Please note, the tables requested in subpart (d) are part of Workpaper 011, which has been added to the attachment folder.
The horizontal arrow is not an error. Screen 1 assigns an eligibility determination (e.g., High Risk Threshold Eligible, High Frequency Outage Threshold Eligible, Ignition Tail Risk Threshold Eligible, or EUP Ineligible) to each circuit segment but does not end the

Requestor	Date Received	Final Due Date	Date Sent
Dmitriy Lysak, Richard Cao, Adam Berlinerman	10/7/2026	10/12/2026	10/12/2026
Dmitriy Lysak, Richard Cao, Adam Berlinerman	10/7/2026	10/12/2026	10/12/2026
Dmitriy Lysak, Richard Cao, Adam Berlinerman	10/7/2026	10/12/2026	10/12/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026
Joseph Mitchell	10/9/2026	10/14/2026	10/14/2026

# of Atchs	Confidential (Y/N)	Links	2026 EUP Section No.
1	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
1	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
1	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
1	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.5
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.5
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.8
1	N	https://www.pge.com	2.7
	N	https://www.pge.com	2.4

2026 EUP Section Name	Category
Risk Modeling	KDMM Calculations
Risk Modeling	Benefit-Cost Ratios (BCRs) and CPUC Data Template
Risk Modeling	Circuit Segment Length
Risk Modeling	WDRM v4 Consequence Model
Risk Modeling	Marsh Third Party Impact Analysis
Cost and Benefits	Marsh Third Party Impact Analysis
Cost and Benefits	Marsh Third Party Impact Analysis
Risk Modeling	PSPS and EPSS
Reporting Metrics	Geodatabase Files
Risk Modeling	Excel Files
Project Acceptance Framework	Project Acceptance Framework

Subcategory
Climate Escalation; Inflation Escalation
Discount Rates; Cost Inputs
Risk Density; Eligibility Metrics
Documentation Updates; Revision Tracking
Data Validation
Infrastructure Losses; Cost Valuation
Insurance Premiums; Customer Benefits
Upstream Risk Allocation; Mitigation Effectiveness
GIS Data; Confidentiality
Supporting Data
Eligibility Determination





















