SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON®
An EDISON INTERNATIONAL® Company

Brandon Tolentino
VP of Wildfire and Business Resiliency
Brandon.Tolentino@sce.com

October 30, 2026

Elizabeth McAlpine, Supervisory Env. Scientist
Environmental Science Division
Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
California Natural Resources Agency
715 P Street 20th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

BY ENERGY SAFETY E-FILING

SUBJECT: Southern California Edison Company's Response to Notice of Non-Performance (**NON_ESD_SCE_RGA_20260917_0950**)

Dear Mrs. McAlpine:

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) appreciates the opportunity to provide this response to the finding identified in the Notice of Non-Performance – **NON_ESD_SCE_RGA_20260917_0950** which SCE received on **September 30, 2026 (Notice),** based on the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety's (Energy Safety) field inspections conducted in SCE's service area on **September 17, 2026.** SCE appreciates Energy Safety's efforts to identify, communicate and work together to resolve potential wildfire risks.

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact Liz Leano at 626-302-3662 or Elizabeth.Leano@sce.com.

Sincerely,

//s//
Brandon Tolentino
Vice President of Wildfire and Business Resiliency
Southern California Edison

SCE Response

Finding:

Notice	#	Structures
NON_ESD_SCE_RGA_20260917_0950	1	5008480E

Summary of Findings:

Energy Safety's Notice states that on September 17, 2026, Energy Safety conducted an inspection of SCE's WMP initiatives in the vicinity of Wilkerson, California in High Fire Threat District (HFTD) Tier 2 and State Responsibility Area (SRA). The inspection report is enclosed herewith. Energy Safety found the following deficiency:

Deficiency 1. Energy Safety observed that SCE failed to implement its 2026 WMP Clearance 9.2.1.3 initiative, which requires compliance with Public Resource Code 4293, by not maintaining a four-foot clearance distance around the primary covered conductors near coordinates 37.27417661367399, -118.38703594289174.

Energy Safety states that it "considers this non-performance to be in the Moderate risk category

Response:

An SCE Senior Specialist (SSP – ISA Certified Arborist) was dispatched to the location on 10/1/26. The elm was found to be within approximately 18" of the primary covered conductors. While the SSP remained on site, a contractor tree crew was immediately dispatched, and trimming of the elm was completed the same day and left with greater than 12 feet clearance.

According to SCE's work management system records, the elm was originally trimmed on 4/13/26 and left with a clearance of greater than 12 feet. QC inspection was performed on 7/29/26 by the independent QC contractor and found to have greater than 9 feet of clearance and expected to hold until its next scheduled inspection/trim in 2027.

See photographs below of elm on 4/13/26, 7/29/26 and 10/1/26.



Tree following initial trimming on 4/13/26.



Tree at time of QC inspection on 7/29/26



Tree as found by SSP on 10/1/26



Tree as-left on 10/1/26 – Estimated clearance greater than 12 feet