LAURA RAMBIN 6973 Colton Blvd, Oakland, CA. 94611

31 October 2026

Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety
715 P Street, 20th Floor
Sacramento, CA. 95814

Subject: Concerns About PG&E's 2028–2037 Undergrounding Plan — Montclair Hills

To the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety:

I am a resident of Montclair Hills in Oakland, writing with serious concern about PG&E's proposed 2028–2037 Electrical Undergrounding Plan. My concerns:

- **Extreme wildfire exposure.** Dense tree cover, narrow winding roads, and strong seasonal winds through Shepherd Canyon can turn a single spark from an overhead line into a firestorm.

- **Shutoffs are not a solution.** On October 15–16, our power was shut off for two days as a preventive measure because high winds threatened to bring down lines. Shutoffs disrupt residents, endanger those who rely on power for medical needs or communication, and confirm that overhead lines remain a known hazard here.

- **Limited evacuation routes.** A single downed pole or line could block a critical road, trapping hundreds or thousands of residents and delaying firefighters.

- **An interconnected road network.** Main evacuation routes depend on smaller connecting streets. A blockage on any of them can cut residents off, so PG&E should evaluate the whole network, not individual roads.

- **Risks the models don't capture.** PG&E acknowledges its models do not fully account for evacuation constraints or tree-fall hazards, yet the plan gives greater weight to benefit-cost comparisons.

- **Compounding risks assessed separately.** Limited access, population exposure, tree-fall risk, and overhead lines act together and must be evaluated together.

I urge OEIS to require PG&E to quantify these cumulative risks and show how they shape its undergrounding decisions, and not to approve a methodology that lets economic comparisons override risks to human life that have not been adequately evaluated.

Respectfully,

Laura Rambin
Montclair Hills, Oakland, California